Form Letters

Form Letters (P1), Distributed, Collected Submitted by the I-45 Coalition

- 1. Abercrombie, Catherine
- 2. Aguilar, Linda
- 3. Akacha, Kathryn
- 4. Alaniz, Carolina
- 5. Alecy, Eduardo
- 6. Alvarez, Roman
- 7. Astreeh, Bobby
- 8. August, Pam
- 9. Barnard, Jannis
- 10. Barnard, John
- 11. Bash, Melanie
- 12. Baugh, Charleen
- 13. Benson, Dawn
- 14. Beolni, Anna-Maria
- 15. Berzins, William
- 16. Blackwell, Roderick
- 17. Bonilla, Pedro
- 18. Boutte, Anthony
- 19. Buckland, Suzanne
- 20. Caldwell, J.
- 21. Cantu, Laura
- 22. Cepistran, Josephine
- 23. Cerling, Penelope
- 24. Chenn, Steve
- 25. Chinelli, Jeanette
- 26. Courtland, Aaron
- 27. Cram, Cathy
- 28. Cruz, Richard
- 29. Dekan, Judy
- 30. Done, Tammy
- 31. Durbin, Donna
- 32. Dvwez, Catherine
- 33. Englander, Jan
- 34. Evans, Elizabeth
- 35. Felco, Vivianne
- 36. Fomee, Richard
- 37. Fong, Art
- 38. Fong, Samantha
- 39. Fugate, Ryan
- 40. G., Jose
- 41. Gatzke, Kathleen

- 42. Goldesberry, Nancy
- 43. Goldesberry, Oliver
- 44. Gonzalez, Esmeralda
- 45. Gouda, Alberto
- 46. Griffin, Rob
- 47. Guest, Chris
- 48. Gurshe, Marcus
- 49. H., Mark
- 50. Hale, Taylor
- 51. Harris, Lawrence
- 52. Harris, Robert
- 53. Hart, Robert
- 54. Henderson, William
- 55. Heroe, Tamra
- 56. Herrera, Ernest
- 57. Higley, Tim
- 58. Hordes, Vivian
- 59. Horton, Ronnie
- 60. House, Dawn
- 61. laconis, Sandy
- 62. Jacob, Brandon
- 63. Jacob, Kelly
- 64. Jones, Ellvera
- 65. Kaiser, Bruce
- 66. Kirkwood, Charles
- 67. Kleinheim. Patric
- 68. Kolkmeier, Stephen
- 69. Kuklis, Kevin
- 70. Lopez, David
- 71. Lozano, Ricardo
- 72. Mahaffey, Lissette
- 73. Mahaffey, Manson
- 74. Malakoff, Morris
- 75. Marroquin, Linda
- 76. Martinez, Oscar
- 77. Mateos, Angelina
- 78. Maurer, Darin
- 79. May, Lewis
- 80. Mazza, Ed
- 81. McKee, Carol
- 82. McMahan, Arielle

- 83. McMahan, Harry
- 84. Menchaca, Mark
- 85. Meyer, Richard
- 86. Meyer, Tracey
- 87. Miller, Willam
- 88. Milnarich, Gary
- 89. Montes, Edward
- 90. Moschioni, John
- 91. North, Richard
- 92. Parente, Nicola
- 93. Parker, Karne
- 94. Parthie, Mark
- 95. Patel, Bhavana
- 96. Patterson, Jim
- 97. Peterson, Tonja
- 98. Phan, Andy
- 99. Phan, Richard
- 100.Price, Jenny
- 101.Prodo, Francisco
- 102. Reil, John
- 103. Reins, S.
- 104. Richter, Coyia
- 105. Ritts, Janet
- 106. Roberts, D.
- 107. Robertson, Jim
- 108. Ruck, Dean
- 109. Ruschau, Jill
- 110. Salazar, Miguel
- 111. Scellenberg, Sarah
- 112. Schindler, Guido
- 113. Schindler, Mary Ann
- 114. Shields, Catherine
- 115. Shumway, Dawn
- 116. Shumway, Robert
- 117. Silkwood, Chris
- 118. Sline, Royce
- 119. Slocillo, Raymond
- 120. Smith, Maria
- 121. Starostina, Olga
- 122. Stephenson, Jenni
- 123. Stewart, Lawrence

- 124. Stroller, Waltraud
- 125. Strong, Rickey
- 126. Taylor, Suzanne
- 127. Tee, Rebekah
- 128. Tencer, Lizbeth
- 129. Tickell, Vicky
- 130. Torres, Chloe
- 131. Trevino, Jeff
- 132. Turner, Sean
- 133. Vadie, Mojan
- 134. Valdez, Aida
- 135. Varela, Richard 136. Watson, Jeff
- 137. Wayte, C.
- 138. Welch, Henry
- 139. Willson, Ky
- 140. Wright, Anthony
- 141. Wyatt, Grace



Save our Neighborhoods from

I-45 Expansion by commenting

Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business by neither the I-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown.

Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection perfectly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner near the I-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown.

<u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

address or neighborhood of residence)

Da⁄te



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner near the I-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown.

Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

address or neighborhood of residence)

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner near the I-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown.

<u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

⁷1-4



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner near the I-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown.

Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 — Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

(adgress or neighborhood of residence)

2 / 60 /11

D1 5

1-5



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner near the I-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown.

<u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name Signature

1507 Hickory

11-8-12

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner near the I-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown.

<u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

11 /8/10



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner near the I-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is **November 9th**. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a HUGE waste of money spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

> Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

> Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown.

Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

Signature

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner near the I-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is **November 9th**. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a HUGE waste of money spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

> Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

> Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

rinted Name

Signature

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown.

Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name
Signature (address or neighborhood of residence)

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

V (address or neighborhood of resider

12



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner near the I-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown.

Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

ILCHOLD CLAS

Signature

1st Ward

11-06-26

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, $\S 201.811(a)(5)$: I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner near the I-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is **November 9th**. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a HUGE waste of money spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

> Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

> Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Edward Montes Edward montes 1406/2 Goliad 11/6/12

Printed Name Signature (address or neighborhood of residence) Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth-Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.iH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

Signature

address or neighborhood of residence)

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

Signature

Printed Name

Signature

Signa



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is **November 9th**. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a HUGE waste of money spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

> Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

> Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

> Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

(address or neighborhood of residence) Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

ESMIRA Ida (70172/12 Esmovalda Hamzalez 13/0 Bingham St. HOKTN Ty. 7700 Printed Name Signature (address orneighborhood of residence) Date

21-20



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Dath



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

Signature

address or neighborhood of residence)

Hows on X

Date

P1-22

77007



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown.

<u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

AWAREZ

address or neighborhood of residence)



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

DAWN Shumway

Printed Name

Signature

dress or neighborhood of residence)

ate /



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West. Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

1719 HOUSTON AVIS

JBERT SHUMWAY

ignature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

Signature

address or neighborhood of residence)



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along 1-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West. Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

AWARET

(address or neighborhood of residence)



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Signature

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, \$201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Oscar H. Martiner Green Marting 43/8 Waycross St. 27035 11/05/12

Printed Name Signature (address or neighborhood of residence) Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, \$201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Arielle McMahan MeMahan 1st Ward Wet 8 20/2
Printed Name Signature (address or neighborhood of residence) Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, \$201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name Signature (address or neighborhood of residence) Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation 2pde, \$201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

Signature (address or neig

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Jate



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is **November 9th**. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a HUGE waste of money spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

> Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

> Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth, Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

xas|Transportation Code, \$201.81(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

2101 Winter Sucot 776 (address or neighborhood of residence



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Sighature

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 — Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, \$201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Sarah Schellenberg

Printed Name

(address or neighborhood of residence

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, \$201.81(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

address or neighborhood of residence)



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

> Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

> Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along 1-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

taddress or neighborhood of residence)

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of recidence)



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

July Oug Perelope Cerling 419 WILLARD 11.7.2012
Printed Name Signature (address or neighborhood of residence) Date
P1-39



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

HOUSTON, TX
WILLIAM MILLER William F.M. W. 1820 LVBBOCK ST. 77007 11/7/12
Printed Name Signature (address or neighborhood of residence) Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

KONNIE HORTON
Printed Name

Signature

ddress or neighborhood of residence)

Dato

D1 /1



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

ture (address or neighborhood

Data



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

Sanature

address or neighborhood of residence)



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

MELANIE BASH

Melain Bosh

(address or neighborhood of residence)

11/08/2012



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is **November 9th**. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a HUGE waste of money spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TXDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

> Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along 1-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

> Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

> Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a HUGE waste of money spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

> Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

> Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

> Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

3 HERMANP MUSBUM (IRCLE

108/

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

ANDY PHAN

GLEN LAURD

14.08.12

ed Name V Signati

(address or neighborhood of residence)



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Signature

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

25222 NWIST FWY #35

11-08-2012

ddress or neighborhood of residence)



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is **November 9th**. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a HUGE waste of money spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

> Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

> Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

> Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

(address or neighborhood of residence) Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

Signature

address or neighborhood of residence)

Date^{*}



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is **November 9th**. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a HUGE waste of money spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TXDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

> Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

> Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

> Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

BT Palel 736 Hartmanst Hous Tov TX 7/00 1
Date (address or neighborhood of residence) Date
11/8/20



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

54



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is November 9th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a HUGE waste of money spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

> Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

> Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

ANNA-MARIA Beolin

1718-Houstow AV. 11/8/12

(address or neighborhood of residence)



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name Signature (address or neighborhood of residence) Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

RICARDO LOZANO

Printed Name

20) S. HECHTPPLVD,
(address or neighborhood of residence

9/11/12



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

TEPHEN KOLKMETER

Printed Name Signa

(address or neighborhood of residence)



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

EWIST-MAY Dignatur

327 WYCUFF

8/11/2 Date

address or neighborhood of residence



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Atrie Hankein Panukuum 919 Teetshorn Houston & 11/5/12

Printed Name Signature (address or neighborhood of residence) Date Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Namel

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Data



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: 1-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Ayondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Kelly Jacob Suey Dron 2803 Julian Hov, Tx 77009 11/3/12

Printed Name Signature (address or neighborhood of residence) Date P1-62



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name Signature (address or neighborhood of residence) Date Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is **November 9th**. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a HUGE waste of money spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

> Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

> Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

731 Teetshorn St.
77009

Teetshorn St.
77009

III5/12

Ited Name Signature (address or neighborhood of residence) Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Printed Name

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

MARY ANN SCHINDLEL

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown.

Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Guido Schindler MMMML 1802 Corockett 4. Nov-2

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

- 4 - 5015

1-67



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner near the I-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown.

<u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown.

Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.iH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Aida leal Va dez Old Crockeff Elen word 11512
Printed Name Signature (address or neighborhood of residence) Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Suzanne Taylor Juanne Hant Crocke & Clem 11/5/12

Printed Name Signature (address or neighborhood of residence) Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner near the I-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown.

Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West. Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

11-6-12

Date

21×72



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner near the I-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown.

<u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

P1-**7**∕3



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

herine Syelds

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

P1-74



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

GRACE WYAH LIACE My att 1307 Beachtan 11-6-12

Printed Name Signature (address or neighborhood of residence) Date



Save our Neighborhoods from I-45 Expansion by commenting drop in mailbox at WSS # 15...by November 7th 9pm

Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion

alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

WSS 2101 Winter Street Houston, TX 77008

2101 WINTER St. #AZ

rinted Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date



Save our Neighborhoods from I-45 Expansion by commenting drop in mailbox at WSS # 15...by November 7th 9pm

Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown.

Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion

alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

WSS 2101 Winter Street Houston, TX 77008

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

P1-**ሽ**



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Drinted Name

Signaturo

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

P1-*1*9



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

ARTHIE

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Data



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown.

Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.lH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

logan Variet

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

P1-**8**₹



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

address or neighborhood of residence

Date/

つ保急2



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

JII Kuschau

(address or neighborhood of residenc

rload (an

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The 1-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a HUGE waste of money spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

> Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

> Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

O. Boldeshur



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is **November 9th**. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a HUGE waste of money spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

> Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

> Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Waltrand Stoller W.

(address or neighborhood of residence)



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Man es Bolderbrow

NANCY GOLDESBERRY

r neighborhood of residence)

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Fillver A Jones Vera Jones 5550 N Braceword 11-3-12

Printed Name Signature (address or neighborhood of residence) Date 05

P1²87



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

≥1<u>5</u>88



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Tanja Petenson Petanson diegno 1/03/12

Printed Name Signature (address or neighborhood of residence) Date
PER



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

P1-90



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/vour views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a HUGE waste of money spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

> Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

> Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

D1 02



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence) Howsがのび

י ט

77.3 21-93

77007



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is **November 9th**. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.iH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

> Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

> Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

2035 West Main Houston / Tx 11-3-



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

[/]Signature

address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

P1-95



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

21-96°



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.lH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

11035

address or neighborhood of residence)

03 WW 12

.....

te q

P1-97



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

P**129**8



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The 1-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

1-99



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Tim HIGLEY

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

⊃1<u>′</u>9700



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Drinted Name

Signaturo

(address or neighborhood of residence)

11-2-12

Date

P1/1601



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is **November 9th**. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of 1-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a HUGE waste of money spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

> Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

> Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Suzanne Buckland Summe Buckland Spring Branch Acres

Printed Name Signature (address or neighborhood of residence)

11-3-12P



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 — Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

CAROC MCKEE Signature (address or neighborhood of residence

P1-103



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Rignature

address or neighborhood of residence)

NOV. 3 2012

P1-f64



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a HUGE waste of money spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

> Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

> Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name Signature (address or neighborhood of residence) Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

Address or neighborhood of residence)



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date P1-108



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

address or neighborhood of residence)

Date / / / /



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for 1-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name Signature (address or neighborhood of residence)

P1-110



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

dianature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

⊃1-19°1



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

(address or neighborhood of residence)

11.2.2612

P1-143



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is **November 9th**. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a HUGE waste of money spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

> Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

> Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

BARY Milwarich Mun



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.</u>

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

P1-114



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a HUGE waste of money spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

> Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

> Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

+37-Cortland+St.77007 77/3

(address or neighborhood of residence)



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

P1-116[°]



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required — Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name Signature (address or neighborhood of residence)

P1-117



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

BRUCE KAISER
Printed Name Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date ((&



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along 1-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence

Date

1 110

21-118



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

P1-120



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is **November 9**th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C – the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 – no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 – Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: **Alternates: 3, 7 or 10** because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date 121



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is November 9th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 – less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name Signature (address or neighborhood of residence) Date

(rr



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is November 9th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a HUGE waste of money spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

> Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along 1-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarity from

the project above

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is November 9th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.lH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 – less ROW required – BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 – less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

ENGBROOK DR.

×. 77099

Jucc



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is November 9th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TXDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

> Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name Signature
William Berzins William Promis

(address or neighborhood of residence)

7226 Shevelson Houston TX 77055

Date



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is November 9th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TXDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 – less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetanily from the project above.

Printed Name

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

126



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is November 9th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least Impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a HUGE waste of money spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TXDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

> Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

> Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5); I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

1312 Part St. Houston TX Would 12 (address or neighborhood of residence)



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is November 9th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The i-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 – less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from

the project above.

Printed Name

Signature\

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

(18



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is November 9th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TXDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 – less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along 1-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

Signature

address or neighborhood of residence)

129



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is November 9th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

130



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is November 9th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion-but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TXDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along 1-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

t31



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is November 9th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TXDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 – no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

ith ony South

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Anthony Boutle

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

132



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is November 9th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BiLLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a HUGE waste of money spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 – less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along 1-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

Signature

address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

P1-133



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is November 9th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

Signature

address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

134



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is November 9th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TXDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

ARIN S. MAURER Dann J. Maurer

2203 Briarmead

Date

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is November 9th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 – less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along 1-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Henry Welch Henry Welch 1111 W. Montgomery 804 11-7-12
Printed Name Signature (address or neighborhood of residence) Date
1.36



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is November 9th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 – less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 – no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

Signature

ddress or neighborhood of residence)

Date

37



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is November 9th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The i-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 – less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along 1-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 – Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Morris MMAKOTT P.O. Box 1457 11/6/12

Printed Name Signature (address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

C18



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is November 9th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TXDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 – less ROW required – Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

39

P1-139



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which will impact you as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. Public Comment Deadline is November 9th. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to www.IH45Northandmore.com comment w/your views.

The I-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a HUGE waste of money spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TXDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

> Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

> Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

(address or neighborhood of residence)

146



Dear Neighbors, TxDOT has plans for I-45, which <u>will impact you</u> as a resident or business owner in or near IH-45 Corridor in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, Near North Side, Montrose, Midtown and Downtown. <u>Public Comment Deadline is November 9th</u>. If you agree with the Coalition's selection of I-45 Expansion alternates, please sign today or go directly to <u>www.IH45Northandmore.com</u> comment w/your views.

The i-45 Coalition needs your input. We must tell TxDOT what we want and don't want, or TxDOT will do what THEY want! Oppose the expansion- but agree to alternates with the least impact in our neighborhoods!

I think that spending over \$2 BILLION of taxpayer's money (in 2004 dollars) to get an improvement of only 3 MPH in general traffic lanes is a **HUGE waste of money** spent on managed toll lanes. In addition, TxDOT is using traffic data that is over 12 years old (from 2000) that probably does not reflect the current traffic situation we are facing today. I am against spending ANY money until TxDOT updates their traffic studies and determines cost-effective solutions to today's congestion. However, if TxDOT proceeds, the following alternatives are the best that have been proposed to minimize additional right-of-way (ROW) and protect neighborhoods:

Segment 1: I-45 from Beltway to Loop 610

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 3 & 3C - the least amount of additional ROW is required. Managed toll lanes on existing and new expansion of Hardy to downtown.

Alternate 7 - less ROW required - BUT TxDOT must provide method for noise abatement on all elevated structures and feeder roads to reduce increased noise levels with landscaping.

Alternate 8 - less ROW required - Provide noise abatement on all elevated structures to reduce increase noise levels with landscaping.

Oppose: Alternates: 4, 5 or 6 because they all require 150' in additional ROW which would destroy or devastate existing businesses and/or homes.

Segment 2: I-45 from Loop 610 to I-10

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 15 - no additional ROW at 610 to Elysian/Hardy. Provide sound walls for noise abatement from elevated lanes to neighborhoods with landscaping. This is also the extension of Hardy expansion in Segment 1.

Alternate 14 - no additional right of way along I-45. This allows for tunnel into downtown Segment 3.

Alternate 10 - no additional right of way with depressed lanes w/ concrete beams covered and converted into usable green space/park land between Heights and Near North Side.

Segment 3: I-45 thru Downtown / Pierce Elevated / 59

IN FAVOR:

Alternate 4 - Tunnel along Elysian/Hardy down Crawford and La Branch; no additional ROW. Add an exit for traffic to 45 South.

Alternate 5 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Bagby Street. TxDOT must move away from & not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Alternate 6 - Tunnel in existing 45 ROW, Pierce Elevated and Jefferson Street. TxDOT must not take any additional ROW from Avondale West, Audubon Place or First Montrose.

Oppose: Alternates: 3, 7 or 10 because they all require additional ROW in Heights, Old Sixth Ward, First Ward, possibly Montrose and Midtown neighborhoods.

Per Texas Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5): I am not employed or do business with or benefit monetarily from the project above.

Printed Name

Signature

(address or neighborhood of residence)

Date

(41

Petition Protesting Eastward Expansion of I-45 between Loop 610 and Parker Road Form Letters (P2), Cecil

	Years in		
Organization	Business	# Employees	# Signatures
Ameri Health Chiropractic	3	3	3
Bap-Geon Import Auto Parts	6	8	7
BNW Auto Repair	18	12	12
Chacho's Mexican Restaurant	5	60	39
Funeraria Del Angel Funeral Home	10	52	52
Global Learning USA	3	10	10
Good Luck Wireless	2	4	2
Johnson GT Truck Accessory Store	8	3	3
Northern Service Center	33	7	11
One Stop Multi Services & Income Tax	3	3	2
Premium Autoplex	3 to 4	2	2
Ranchero King Buffet	3	42	42
Sherwin-Williams Paint Stores Group	n/a	7	3
Subway	12	9	9
Sun Mart (Texaco)	8	5	1
Texas Tool Traders	32	5	5
Thomas B.B.Q.	11	12	10

The following petitions have been signed by approximately 237 people. This is about one third of the actual number of people directly affected by your proposed project in this section of Segment 1. The only proposal we will accept is alternatives 3 or 4 – provided TxDOT does not infringe in our existing property lines on the east side of the freeway. Please take any and all required right of way (ROW) from the west side of I-45, in the section from Airline Dr to Parker Rd.

Thank you for your consideration.

If you wish, you may contact Cecil directly (713-692-1235 or 713-385-9552). Or Nathan (917-501-6040).

Sincerely,

Cecil

TX DOT RECEIVED NOV 1 2 2012

NOV 1 3 2012

SCANNED

PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

P2-1

The following petitions have been signed by approximately 237 people. This is about one third of the actual number of people directly affected by your proposed project in this section of Segment 1. The only proposal we will accept is alternatives 3 or 4 – provided TxDOT does not infringe in our existing property lines on the east side of the freeway. Please take any and all required right of way (ROW) from the west side of I-45, in the section from Airline Dr to Parker Rd.

Thank you for your consideration.

If you wish, you may contact Cecil directly (713-692-1235 or 713-385-9552). Or Nathan (917-501-6040).

Sincerely,

Cecil

The following petitions have been signed by approximately 237 people. This is about one third of the actual number of people directly affected by your proposed project in this section of Segment 1. The only proposal we will accept is alternatives 3 or 4 – provided TxDOT does not infringe in our existing property lines on the east side of the freeway. Please take any and all required right of way (ROW) from the west side of I-45, in the section from Airline Dr to Parker Rd.

Thank you for your consideration.

If you wish, you may contact Cecil directly (713-692-1235 or 713-385-9552). Or Nathan (917-501-6040).

Sincerely,

Cecil

The following petitions have been signed by approximately 237 people. This is about one third of the actual number of people directly affected by your proposed project in this section of Segment 1. The only proposal we will accept is alternatives 3 or 4 – provided TxDOT does not infringe in our existing property lines on the east side of the freeway. Please take any and all required right of way (ROW) from the west side of I-45, in the section from Airline Dr to Parker Rd.

Thank you for your consideration.

If you wish, you may contact Cecil directly (713-692-1235 or 713-385-9552). Or Nathan (917-501-6040).

Sincerely,

Cecil

Dear TxDOT,

I. <u>SEYED ALT TABATABAT</u> hereby file a formal complaint against the Texas Department of Transportation's proposal for the I-45 highway expansion and land acquisition along the east side of I-45. I am specifically protesting the eastward expansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd, illustrated by the *Universe of Alternatives Segment 1* and *Universe of Alternatives Segment 1 - IH 45 aerial map*, found at http://www.IH45NorthandMore.com.

I currently own NORTHTOWN CENVICE CONFIR , which is on the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I have operated my business on I-45 North for a But 33 YEARS. The eastward expansion of I-45 North will have a deleterious effect on my business, my finances, and the well-being of my ______ employees. I will be without my business and my employees will be without work should TxDOT acquire my business location for the I-45 North expansion. The expansion of I-45 North eastward will stagnant the only lively part of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. More than 100 businesses are located between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd on the east side of I-45 North. All recent successes in developing this area will be lost should TxDOT acquire land on the east side of I-45 North for highway expansion. I certainly want to retain my business and employees. I also want to continue to help build the surrounding neighborhoods and help the US economy in a time of slow economic recovery following several financial recessions. Additionally, the land acquisition should be limited to the less developed side of I-45 North. Displacing businesses and acquiring land on the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd will be doubly negative to taxpayers because land is much more expensive on the east side than it is on the west. Taxpayer money will be needlessly wasted as well, destroying a needed tax revenue stream for Harris County and the City of Houston. This area has struggled economically for the last several decades. In the last five years, it has begun to prosper. In this time of difficult economic recovery, we need to be careful how we spend taxpayers' money.

Texas Governor Rick Perry has enticed out of state businesses to relocate to the State of Texas. Yet, thus far, the State of Texas refuses to acknowledge or support existing business owners and their right to prosper in this great State of Texas.

As a business owner, taxpayer, and concerned citizen, I implore TxDOT to refrain from acquiring land along the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. Land acquisition should be limited to the less expensive west side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I am voicing my complaint before the November 9, 2012 deadline and the less expensive west side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I am

Sincerely.

lame: SEYED ALT TABATIBA

Signature: Style IIII

TX DOT RECEIVED

NOV 1 3 2012

Date: 1/-6-20/2

SEYED ALT TOBATABAI 5600 North Freeway 77076 Houston T.X.



Texas Department of Transportation Director of project Development Attention Dat Henry RO. Box 138677251-1386 Housto TX 77251-1386

77251138686

thathadalabhdaathadlabhdallabhallath

he undersigned employees of <u>NoRTHToW</u>	V SERVICE CENTER, located at
5610 NEWY HOUSTON TX 7	27076 are against the Texas Department of
ransportation's proposal for the I-45 highway expa	nsion and land acquisition along the east side of I-45.
We are specifically protesting the eastward expa	ansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd.	
1. Leones Truillo	27
2 Charles BUINS	28
3. JOSE A. MARTINE	29
4. Supple Cottable	30.
5. Malyn Church	31,
6. Suplan Talleri	32.
7. M. L.	33
8. Jose de Alva	34
9. Saeld AMINI	35
10. Roberto Tovar	36
11. Jeres Colymon	37
12	38
13.	39.
14	40
15	41
16	42.
17.	43
18.	44.
19.	45
20.	46
21	47.
22	48
23.	49.
24	50.
25	51
26	52
Date:	

Dear IXDOT,
hereby file a formal complaint against the Texas Department of
Transportation's proposal for the I-45 highway expansion and land acquisition along the east side of I-45.
I am specifically protesting the eastward expansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd, illustrated by the Universe of Alternatives Segment 1 and Universe of
Alternatives Segment 1 - IH 45 aerial map, found at http://www.iH45/NorthandWore.com.
Chacho's Mexican Restaurant, which is on the east
side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I have operated my business on I-45
North for 5 yours. The eastward expansion of I-45 North will have a
deleterious effect on my business, my finances, and the well-being of my 60 employees. I will be
without my business and my employees will be without work should TxDOT acquire my business location
for the I-45 North expansion. The expansion of I-45 North eastward will stagnant the only lively part of I-
45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. More than 100 businesses are located between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd on the east side of I-45 North. All recent successes in developing this area
will be lost should TxDOT acquire land on the east side of I-45 North for highway expansion. I certainly
want to retain my business and employees. I also want to continue to help build the surrounding
neighborhoods and help the US economy in a time of slow economic recovery following several financial
recessions. Additionally, the land acquisition should be limited to the less developed side of I-45 North.
Displacing businesses and acquiring land on the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and
Parker Rd will be doubly negative to taxpayers because land is much more expensive on the east side
than it is on the west. Taxpayer money will be needlessly wasted as well, destroying a needed tax
revenue stream for Harris County and the City of Houston. This area has struggled economically for the
last several decades. In the last five years, it has begun to prosper. In this time of difficult economic
recovery, we need to be careful how we spend taxpayers' money.
Texas Governor Rick Perry has enticed out of state businesses to relocate to the State of Texas. Yet,
thus far, the State of Texas refuses to acknowledge or support existing business owners and their right to
prosper in this great State of Texas.
As a business owner, taxpayer, and concerned citizen, I implore TxDOT to refrain from acquiring land
along the east side of -45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. Land acquisition should be
limited to the less expensive west side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I am
voicing my complaint before the November 9, 2012 deadline.
Sincerely,
Name:
<i>h</i>
Signature:

The undersigned employees of Chacke's	Mexican Prestournet, located at
5350 North Freeway	are against the Texas Department of
Transportation's proposal for the I-45 highway expans	
We are specifically protesting the eastward expan	sion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd.	27. Francisco Elynthe
1. Cachay W. Copeland	LIANDEN
2 Mi core la Castillo	28. <u>KUN WELL</u>
3. Hessy Tocker.	29. Slam Percey
4 Tema Wasquez	30. Labers (Score)
5. Maria Avilas	31. Kinken Mentlose
6. marita Villarry	32. Done Lyanio
7. Rosy Avila Sons	33. Land A Janes
8. Peletterny	34. Hrmando R.
9. Ana Rodinge	35. Angelica Bull
10. KAVREGY	36. Moseilier Pals
11. 1 blu umon	37. Mr ander Wester
12. Armando Hernarde?	38. Ma Teusa Soque
13. Tohne (our)	39 Tuan e matdishado
14. Marra V Rojas	40.
15. Karla Castillo	41
16. Cina Elielin Benaviole	42
17. Jonathan M. Innguez.	43.
18. Definds freed	44
19. Billy	45
20. Herberto Bentez	46.
21. June June	47.
22. amy Melos	48.
23. Jagne Castillo	49.
24. Jeg Allent	50
25.	51
26. Chrothe Rais	52.
Data	

PETITION TO TXDOT

We, the attached signers are concerned about Segment 1; more specifically, to the east side of I-45, between 610 North Loop and Parker Rd.

We are in favor of alternatives 3/3C and 4 IF TxDOT does not require additional property on the east side of the existing highway feeder road along I-45. In other words, we do not want any Texas state authority to extend their right of way (ROW) past existing property lines east of I-45.

We will not support alternatives 3/3C and 4 if TxDOT does require additional right of way to the east side of I-45, between 610 North Loop and Parker Rd.

TxDOT Universe of Alternatives, Segment 1: Inquiry

Nathan Watkins ≼npwatkins@gmail.com> To: Pat.Henry@txdot.gov Mon. Nov 5, 2012 at \$1:34 AM

Mr. Henry:

I have a question regarding the Universe of Alternatives, Segment 1. I am specifically concerned about the proposed ROW or the east side of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between Airline and Parker Rd.

At the 2nd Pub™c Sopring Meeting held on October 2012, Segment 1 afternatives remaining were 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, & 8.

My question is:

Which alternative under Segment 1 WOULD NOT AFFECT the ROW on the east side of I-65 between Airline and Parker Rd?

I would greatly appreciate a response as we have been protesting against TxDOT regarding the proposed ROW on the east side of I-45. If we could choose one of the alternatives which **does not affect this area**, we could support TxDOTs expansion.

In point of fact, we would support any alternative TxDOT would have as long as it leaves this area alone. We are not anti-TxDOT we are just anti-expansion of the ROW to the east of 1-45 between the Airline and Parker Rd.

Thank you in advance for your help.

Sincerely,

Nathan Watkins 917.801.6643

Pat Henry <Pat.Henry@txdot.gov> To: Nathan Watkins <npwatkins@gmail.com>

Mon, Nov 5, 2012 at 3:56 PM

at the control of the

Atternatives 3 and 4 would not require right of way (RCW) on the east sloe. Afternative 5 would recults 133 full a tile two do need 75 ft., at 17 would require 30 ft and alt. 8 would require 15 ft.

From: Nathan Walkins [mailto:coworbing (genances)]

Sent: Monday, November C5, 2012 10:35 AM

To: Pat Henry

Subject: TxDOT Universe of Alternatives, Segment 1: Inquiry

[Guoled text hidden]

Dear TxDOT,	
I, DAVICE Merces hereby file a formal complaint against the Texas Department of	
Transportation's proposal for the I-45 highway expansion and land acquisition along the east side of I-45.	
I am specifically protesting the eastward expansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the	
610 North Loop and Parker Rd, illustrated by the Universe of Alternatives Segment 1 and Universe of	
Alternatives Segment 1 - IH 45 aerial map, found at http://www.IH45NorthandMore.com.	
Sherwin-Williams 1-currently own 4940 N. F. How Tx 77022 which is on the east	
side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I have operated my business on I-45	
North for The eastward expansion of I-45 North will have a	
deleterious effect on my business, my finances, and the well-being of my employees. I will be	
without my business and my employees will be without work should TxDOT acquire my business location	
for the I-45 North expansion. The expansion of I-45 North eastward will stagnant the only lively part of I-	
45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. More than 100 businesses are located between the	
610 North Loop and Parker Rd on the east side of I-45 North. All recent successes in developing this area	
will be lost should TxDOT acquire land on the east side of I-45 North for highway expansion. I certainly	
want to retain my business and employees. I also want to continue to help build the surrounding	
neighborhoods and help the US economy in a time of slow economic recovery following several financial	
recessions. Additionally, the land acquisition should be limited to the less developed side of I-45 North.	
Displacing businesses and acquiring land on the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and	
Parker Rd will be doubly negative to taxpayers because land is much more expensive on the east side	
than it is on the west. Taxpayer money will be needlessly wasted as well, destroying a needed tax	
revenue stream for Harris County and the City of Houston. This area has struggled economically for the	
last several decades. In the last five years, it has begun to prosper. In this time of difficult economic	
recovery, we need to be careful how we spend taxpayers' money.	
Texas Governor Rick Perry has enticed out of state businesses to relocate to the State of Texas. Yet,	
thus far, the State of Texas refuses to acknowledge or support existing business owners and their right to	
prosper in this great State of Texas.	
As a business owner, taxpayer, and concerned citizen, I implore TxDOT to refrain from acquiring land	
along the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. Land acquisition should be	
limited to the less expensive west side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I am	
voicing my complaint before the November 9, 2012 deadline	

Sincerely,

Signature:

P2-11

The undersigned employees ofShero	WIN- WILLAS 7458, located at
4940 N. Fwy How.	1 x 17022 are against the Texas Department of
Transportation's proposal for the I-45 highway e	expansion and land acquisition along the east side of I-45.
	expansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd.	
1. January Mary	
2. De Romany	28
3. Juli Moli	29
4.	30
5	31
6	32
7	
8	34
9.	35
10	36
11	37
12	
13	
14	40
15	41
16	42
17	43
18	
19	45
20	
21	
22.	48
23	49
24	50
25	51
26.	52.

Date: _____

I, FNE MINDULL hereby file a formal complaint against the Texas Department of		
Transportation's proposal for the I-45 highway expansion and land acquisition along the east side of I-45.		
I am specifically protesting the eastward expansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the		
610 North Loop and Parker Rd, illustrated by the Universe of Alternatives Segment 1 and Universe of		
Alternatives Segment 1 - IH 45 aerial map, found at http://www.IH45NorthandMore.com.		
I currently own TUNERAULA DEL AWGVE I , which is on the east		
side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I have operated my business on I-45		
North for The eastward expansion of I-45 North will have a		
deleterious effect on my business, my finances, and the well-being of my _ 62_ employees. I will be		
without my business and my employees will be without work should TxDOT acquire my business location		
for the I-45 North expansion. The expansion of I-45 North eastward will stagnant the only lively part of I-		
45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. More than 100 businesses are located between the		
610 North Loop and Parker Rd on the east side of I-45 North. All recent successes in developing this area		
will be lost should TxDOT acquire land on the east side of I-45 North for highway expansion. I certainly		
want to retain my business and employees. I also want to continue to help build the surrounding		
neighborhoods and help the US economy in a time of slow economic recovery following several financial		
recessions. Additionally, the land acquisition should be limited to the less developed side of I-45 North.		
Displacing businesses and acquiring land on the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and		
Parker Rd will be doubly negative to taxpayers because land is much more expensive on the east side		
than it is on the west. Taxpayer money will be needlessly wasted as well, destroying a needed tax		
revenue stream for Harris County and the City of Houston. This area has struggled economically for the		
last several decades. In the last five years, it has begun to prosper. In this time of difficult economic		
recovery, we need to be careful how we spend taxpayers' money.		
The second to the careful to the spend and and spend to the second to th		
Texas Governor Rick Perry has enticed out of state businesses to relocate to the State of Texas. Yet,		
thus far, the State of Texas refuses to acknowledge or support existing business owners and their right to		
prosper in this great State of Texas.		
prosper in the great otate of Toxas.		
As a business owner, taxpayer, and concerned citizen, I implore TxDOT to refrain from acquiring land		
along the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. Land acquisition should be		
limited to the less expensive west side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I am		
voicing my complaint before the November 9, 2012 deadline.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Sincerely,		
Name: EDIE MARTINEZ		
11 2 -		
Signature: Date:		

Dear TxDOT,

I INDVINA TO	1 MMA
The undersigned employees of TUITIUNU LT SIDD UDAN FROLUNIU ADJUMENT TO	Mare against the Texas Department of
Transportation's proposal for the I-45 highway expansion an	
We are specifically protesting the eastward expansion of	f Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd.	27. Janie alvarale
2. Justia Part	28. Jage & Nuccolo
3. Olya Campos	29. Heelen Pirall
4	30. Lano
5. Maxica Arguello	31. Margaret Helton
6. Maria Villatoro	32. Kineta Kenus
7. Waried Jon.	33. Mano Rubalcava
8. ESmualda Alvarado	34. Undew Nelto-
9. Xodrit Ramirez	35. Equa Liveryo.
10. Itsel Pamirez	36. <u>alexander 1984</u> .
11. Jorge L. Mercado	37. Maravith Battle
12. Migael Charle	38. Therese Vela.
13. Aulssa Charl	39. Kichard Salinas
14. Slandte Jadran	40. Kisa Baran
15. Mana Claim	41. Rachel Valgas.
16. Meli Chya	42. Lauren Kalaur
17. Micha Salagae.	43. Terri Rodnguy
18. Lety Hennander	44. Deborsh Ruiz
19. LOSE CABEZAS	45. Lay Forman
20. Milagros VILLA	46. Martra Cabril
21. Martia Jaines	47. ELECUS SNEED + UNDRY
22. Knon Aguilar	48. Bella Castello
23. Miarael Garcia	49. <u>Santos Cedillo</u>
24. Marda Macias	50. <u>Jinny Cedillo</u>
25. Lee Lidurer.	51. Vicky Matamorres
26. Jan Juana Beltran	52. Angela turcies

Dear TxDOT,

I, LEOMARO AMERS hereby file a formal complaint against the Texas Department of Transportation's proposal for the I-45 highway expansion and land acquisition along the east side of I-45. I am specifically protesting the eastward expansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd, illustrated by the Universe of Alternatives Segment 1 and Universe of Alternatives Segment 1 - IH 45 aerial map, found at http://www.IH45NorthandMore.com.

I currently MANAGE TEXAS TOOL TRANERS ___, which is on the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I have operated my business on I-45 deleterious effect on my business, my finances, and the well-being of my _______ employees. I will be without my business and my employees will be without work should TxDOT acquire my business location for the I-45 North expansion. The expansion of I-45 North eastward will stagnant the only lively part of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. More than 100 businesses are located between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd on the east side of I-45 North. All recent successes in developing this area will be lost should TxDOT acquire land on the east side of I-45 North for highway expansion. I certainly want to retain my business and employees. I also want to continue to help build the surrounding neighborhoods and help the US economy in a time of slow economic recovery following several financial recessions. Additionally, the land acquisition should be limited to the less developed side of I-45 North. Displacing businesses and acquiring land on the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd will be doubly negative to taxpayers because land is much more expensive on the east side than it is on the west. Taxpayer money will be needlessly wasted as well, destroying a needed tax revenue stream for Harris County and the City of Houston. This area has struggled economically for the last several decades. In the last five years, it has begun to prosper. In this time of difficult economic recovery, we need to be careful how we spend taxpayers' money.

Texas Governor Rick Perry has enticed out of state businesses to relocate to the State of Texas. Yet, thus far, the State of Texas refuses to acknowledge or support existing business owners and their right to prosper in this great State of Texas.

As a business owner, taxpayer, and concerned citizen, I implore TxDOT to refrain from acquiring land along the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. Land acquisition should be limited to the less expensive west side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I am voicing my complaint before the November 9, 2012 deadline.

Sincerely,

29210UA CIARMOSIL

Signature: Leonard Judies

Date: 11-9-2012

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	are against the Texas Department of
	xpansion and land acquisition along the east side of I-45.
	expansion of interstate Highway 45 (i-45), between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd.	
1.	
2. Rado Je Velyado	28
3. Jesus Arredondo	29
4. Gluan Varque	30
5. RENE LOZANO	
6	32
7	33
8.	34
9.	35
10	36
11	37
12	38
13	39
14	40
15	41
16 '	42
17	43
18	44
19.	45
20	46
21	47
22	48
23	49
24	50
25	51
26	

Date:



Dear TxDOT,		
, MIKG	hereby file a formal complaint against the Texas Department of	
Transportation's proposal fo	or the I-45 highway expansion and land acquisition along the east side of I-45.	
I am specifically protesting	g the eastward expansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the	
610 North Loop and Parke	er Rd, illustrated by the Universe of Alternatives Segment 1 and Universe of	
Alternatives Segment 1 - IH	45 aerial map, found at http://www.lH45NorthandMore.com.	; • € .
_	good tuck wise eloss @ yohoo. com	•
I currently own	Good Luck wise class & Johns Office Com. Good Luck wise class & Johns Office Com. Which is on the east the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. Lhave operated my business on 1-45	
Oldo Oli To Holdi Dolli Coli C	and o to trotal 200p and talker that opposition my backness of the	
North for	. The eastward expansion of I-45 North will have a	
	siness, my finances, and the well-being of my employees. I will be	
	y employees will be without work should TxDOT acquire my business location	
for the I-45 North expansion	n. The expansion of I-45 North eastward will stagnant the only lively part of I-	
45 North between the 610 N	North Loop and Parker Rd. More than 100 businesses are located between the	;
610 North Loop and Parker	Rd on the east side of I-45 North. All recent successes in developing this area	ı
will be lost should TxDOT a	acquire land on the east side of I-45 North for highway expansion. I certainly	
want to retain my business	and employees. I also want to continue to help build the surrounding	
neighborhoods and help the	e US economy in a time of slow economic recovery following several financial	
recessions. Additionally, the	e land acquisition should be limited to the less developed side of I-45 North.	
Displacing businesses and	acquiring land on the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and	
Parker Rd will be doubly ne	egative to taxpayers because land is much more expensive on the east side	
than it is on the west. Taxpa	ayer money will be needlessly wasted as well, destroying a needed tax	
revenue stream for Harris C	County and the City of Houston. This area has struggled economically for the	
last several decades. In the	e last five years, it has begun to prosper. In this time of difficult economic	
recovery, we need to be car	reful how we spend taxpayers' money.	
Texas Governor Rick Perry	has enticed out of state businesses to relocate to the State of Texas. Yet,	
thus far, the State of Texas	refuses to acknowledge or support existing business owners and their right to	
prosper in this great State of		
, .		
As a business owner, taxpa	ayer, and concerned citizen, I implore TxDOT to refrain from acquiring land	
•	North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. Land acquisition should be	
-	e west side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I am	
•	e the November 9, 2012 deadline.	
Sincerely,		
Name: MIKQ Signature: MIKQ		
Simple MIVA	Date: 11/5/12	
Signature.	Zate: Value	

4. 30. 5. 31. 6. 32. 7. 33. 8. 34. 9. 35. 10. 36. 11. 37. 12. 38. 13. 39. 14. 40. 15. 41. 16. 42. 17. 43. 18. 44. 19. 45. 20. 46.	The undersigned employees of	, located at
We are specifically protesting the eastward expansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between 1610 North Loop and Parker Rd. 1. M\KL 27. 2. Image: Line of the color of the c		are against the Texas Department of
610 North Loop and Parker Rd. 27. 1. MYCL 28. 2. VANLY 28. 3. 29. 4. 30. 5. 31. 6. 32. 7. 33. 8. 34. 9. 35. 10. 36. 11. 37. 12. 38. 13. 39. 14. 40. 15. 41. 16. 42. 17. 43. 18. 44. 19. 45. 20. 46.		
1. MYKE 27. 2. Yarret 28. 3. 29. 4. 30. 5. 31. 6. 32. 7. 33. 8. 34. 9. 35. 10. 36. 11. 37. 12. 38. 13. 39. 14. 40. 15. 41. 16. 42. 17. 43. 18. 44. 19. 45. 20. 46.		ward expansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between th
2.	•	27
3. 29. 4. 30. 5. 31. 6. 32. 7. 33. 8. 34. 9. 35. 10. 36. 11. 37. 12. 38. 13. 39. 14. 40. 15. 41. 16. 42. 17. 43. 18. 44. 19. 45. 20. 46.		
4. 30. 5. 31. 6. 32. 7. 33. 8. 34. 9. 35. 10. 36. 11. 37. 12. 38. 13. 39. 14. 40. 15. 41. 16. 42. 17. 43. 18. 44. 19. 45. 20. 46.	2. Janet	28
5. 31. 6. 32. 7. 33. 8. 34. 9. 35. 10. 36. 11. 37. 12. 38. 13. 39. 14. 40. 15. 41. 16. 42. 17. 43. 18. 44. 19. 45. 20. 46.	3.	
6. 32. 7. 33. 8. 34. 9. 35. 10. 36. 11. 37. 12. 38. 13. 39. 14. 40. 15. 41. 16. 42. 17. 43. 18. 44. 19. 45. 20. 46.	4.	30
7. 33. 8. 34. 9. 35. 10. 36. 11. 37. 12. 38. 13. 39. 14. 40. 15. 41. 16. 42. 17. 43. 18. 44. 19. 45. 20. 46.	5.	31
8. 34. 9. 35. 10. 36. 11. 37. 12. 38. 13. 39. 14. 40. 15. 41. 16. 42. 17. 43. 18. 44. 19. 45. 20. 46.	6	32
9. 35. 10. 36. 11. 37. 12. 38. 13. 39. 14. 40. 15. 41. 16. 42. 17. 43. 18. 44. 19. 45. 20. 46.	7.	33
10. 36. 11. 37. 12. 38. 13. 39. 14. 40. 15. 41. 16. 42. 17. 43. 18. 44. 19. 45. 20. 46.	8.	34
10. 36. 11. 37. 12. 38. 13. 39. 14. 40. 15. 41. 16. 42. 17. 43. 18. 44. 19. 45. 20. 46.	9.	35
12. 38. 13. 39. 14. 40. 15. 41. 16. 42. 17. 43. 18. 44. 19. 45. 20. 46.		
13. 39. 14. 40. 15. 41. 16. 42. 17. 43. 18. 44. 19. 45. 20. 46.	11	37
13. 39. 14. 40. 15. 41. 16. 42. 17. 43. 18. 44. 19. 45. 20. 46.	12	38
14. 40. 15. 41. 16. 42. 17. 43. 18. 44. 19. 45. 20. 46.		
15. 41. 16. 42. 17. 43. 18. 44. 19. 45. 20. 46.		
16. 42. 17. 43. 18. 44. 19. 45. 20. 46.		
17. 43. 18. 44. 19. 45. 20. 46.		
19. 45. 20. 46.		
19. 45. 20. 46.	18	
20 46		
	20	46
22 48		

Date:

Dear TxDOT,
I, Tony Ayala hereby file a formal complaint against the Texas Department of
Transportation's proposal for the I-45 highway expansion and land acquisition along the east side of I-45.
I am specifically protesting the eastward expansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd, illustrated by the Universe of Alternatives Segment 1 and Universe of
Alternatives Segment 1 - IH 45 aerial map, found at http://www.IH45NorthandMore.com.
2.07 ± 0.0
I currently even Manga BAP-Geon Inport Ato Park, which is on the east
side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I have operated my business on I-45
North for 16 Years
deleterious effect on my business, my finances, and the well-being of my employees. I will be
without my business and my employees will be without work should TxDOT acquire my business location
for the I-45 North expansion. The expansion of I-45 North eastward will stagnant the only lively part of I-
45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. More than 100 businesses are located between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd on the east side of I-45 North. All recent successes in developing this area
will be lost should TxDOT acquire land on the east side of I-45 North for highway expansion. I certainly
want to retain my business and employees. I also want to continue to help build the surrounding
neighborhoods and help the US economy in a time of slow economic recovery following several financial
recessions. Additionally, the land acquisition should be limited to the less developed side of I-45 North.
Displacing businesses and acquiring land on the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and
Parker Rd will be doubly negative to taxpayers because land is much more expensive on the east side
than it is on the west. Taxpayer money will be needlessly wasted as well, destroying a needed tax
revenue stream for Harris County and the City of Houston. This area has struggled economically for the
last several decades. In the last five years, it has begun to prosper. In this time of difficult economic
recovery, we need to be careful how we spend taxpayers' money.
Texas Governor Rick Perry has enticed out of state businesses to relocate to the State of Texas. Yet,
thus far, the State of Texas refuses to acknowledge or support existing business owners and their right to
prosper in this great State of Texas.
As a business sum of the second and a second affine the second at DOTA and the second at the second
As a business owner, taxpayer, and concerned citizen, I implore TxDOT to refrain from acquiring land

As a business owner, taxpayer, and concerned citizen, I implore TxDOT to refrain from acquiring land along the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. Land acquisition should be limited to the less expensive west side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I am voicing my complaint before the November 9, 2012 deadline.

Sincerely,

Signature:

P2-19

Date: //-03.20/2

The undersigned employees of	, located at
5900 W FREEWAY POLIC	are against the Texas Department of
Transportation's proposal for the 1-45 highway expans	· ·
We are specifically protesting the eastward expans	sion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd.	07
	27
2 Jary Western	28
max del	29.
4. John Top	30
5. Julkanne	31
6. Elsa Silva	32
7. Idilia Portillo	33.
8	34
9.	35
10	36
11.	37
12	38
13	39
14.	40.
15	41
16	42
17	43
18	44
19	45
20.	46.
21	47
22	48
23	49
24	50
25	51.
26	52.
	:

Date: _

Dear IXDOI,
I, hereby file a formal complaint against the Texas Department of
Transportation's proposal for the I-45 highway expansion and land acquisition along the east side of I-45.
I am specifically protesting the eastward expansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd, illustrated by the Universe of Alternatives Segment 1 and Universe of
Alternatives Segment 1 - IH 45 aerial map, found at http://www.IH45NorthandMore.com.
I currently own
without my business and my employees will be without work should TxDOT acquire my business location
for the I-45 North expansion. The expansion of I-45 North eastward will stagnant the only lively part of I-
45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. More than 100 businesses are located between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd on the east side of I-45 North. All recent successes in developing this area
will be lost should TxDOT acquire land on the east side of I-45 North for highway expansion. I certainly
want to retain my business and employees. I also want to continue to help build the surrounding
neighborhoods and help the US economy in a time of slow economic recovery following several financial
recessions. Additionally, the land acquisition should be limited to the less developed side of I-45 North.
Displacing businesses and acquiring land on the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and
Parker Rd will be doubly negative to taxpayers because land is much more expensive on the east side
than it is on the west. Taxpayer money will be needlessly wasted as well, destroying a needed tax
revenue stream for Harris County and the City of Houston. This area has struggled economically for the
last several decades. In the last five years, it has begun to prosper. In this time of difficult economic
recovery, we need to be careful how we spend taxpayers' money.
Texas Governor Rick Perry has enticed out of state businesses to relocate to the State of Texas. Yet, thus far, the State of Texas refuses to acknowledge or support existing business owners and their right to prosper in this great State of Texas.
As a business owner, taxpayer, and concerned citizen, I implore TxDOT to refrain from acquiring land along the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. Land acquisition should be limited to the less expensive west side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I am voicing my complaint before the November 9, 2012 deadline.
Sincerely,
Name: Min Box Signature: Date: 1/6/12
Signature: Daniel Bay Date: 11/6/12

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	are against the Texas Department o	
	ansion and land acquisition along the east side o	
	pansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between	en 1
1. Court Communication	27	
2. Losh Bax		
Da A Hard	28	
	29	
4	30	
5	31	
6	32	
7	33	
8	34	
9	35	
10	36	
11	37	
12	38	
13	39	
14	40	
15	41	
16	42	
17	43	
18	44	
19.	45	
20	46	
21	47	
22	48	
23	49	
24	50	
25	51	
26 ate:///6//2	52	

P2-22

Dear TxDOT. hereby file a formal complaint against the Texas Department of Transportation's proposal for the I-45 highway expansion and land acquisition along the east side of I-45. I am specifically protesting the eastward expansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd, illustrated by the Universe of Alternatives Segment 1 and Universe of Alternatives Segment 1 - IH 45 aerial map, found at the newww.retennormanownore com. I currently own Ameri Health Chiro Practic side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I have operated my business on I-45 North for 3 Veary ... The eastward expansion of I-45 North will have a deleterious effect on my business, my finances, and the well-being of my 3 employees. I will be without my business and my employees will be without work should TxDOT acquire my business location for the I-45 North expansion. The expansion of I-45 North eastward will stagnant the only lively part of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. More than 100 businesses are located between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd on the east side of I-45 North. All recent successes in developing this area will be lost should TxDOT acquire land on the east side of I-45 North for highway expansion. I certainly want to retain my business and employees. I also want to continue to help build the surrounding neighborhoods and help the US economy in a time of slow economic recovery following several financial recessions. Additionally, the land acquisition should be limited to the less developed side of I-45 North. Displacing businesses and acquiring land on the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd will be doubly negative to taxpayers because land is much more expensive on the east side than it is on the west. Taxpayer money will be needlessly wasted as well, destroying a needed tax revenue stream for Harris County and the City of Houston. This area has struggled economically for the last several decades. In the last five years, it has begun to prosper. In this time of difficult economic recovery, we need to be careful how we spend taxpayers' money.

Texas Governor Rick Perry has enticed out of state businesses to relocate to the State of Texas. Yet, thus far, the State of Texas refuses to acknowledge or support existing business owners and their right to prosper in this great State of Texas.

As a business owner, taxpayer, and concerned citizen, I implore TxDOT to refrain from acquiring land along the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. Land acquisition should be limited to the less expensive west side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I am voicing my complaint before the November 9, 2012 deadline.

Sincerely.

Name: HAMID AMERI

Signature:

Date: 11-07-12

he undersigned employees of Awari	Health Chirofront, located at are against the Texas Department of
	pansion and land acquisition along the east side of I-45.
	cpansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the
10 North Loop and Parker Rd.	
1. the thon	27
2. (haw P-	28
3. Lvey Dhay	29.
4.	30
5	31.
6	32.
7.	33
8.	
9.	35
10	
11	
12	38
13	
14	
15	
16	42
17	
18.	44
19.	45
20	
21	47.
22.	48
23	49
24	50.
25	51
26.	52.

. .

Dear TxDOT, I, RICHMOND MARTHU hereby file a formal complaint against the Texas Department of Transportation's proposal for the I-45 highway expansion and land acquisition along the east side of I-45. I am specifically protesting the eastward expansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd, illustrated by the Universe of Alternatives Segment 1 and Universe of Alternatives Segment 1 - IH 45 aerial map, found at http://www.IH45NorthandMore.com. I currently own _____ SUN MART (TEXACO), 5716, W. Freeway , which is on the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I have operated my business on I-45 North for ______. The eastward expansion of I-45 North will have a deleterious effect on my business, my finances, and the well-being of my ____5 ___ employees. I will be without my business and my employees will be without work should TxDOT acquire my business location for the I-45 North expansion. The expansion of I-45 North eastward will stagnant the only lively part of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. More than 100 businesses are located between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd on the east side of I-45 North. All recent successes in developing this area will be lost should TxDOT acquire land on the east side of I-45 North for highway expansion. I certainly want to retain my business and employees. I also want to continue to help build the surrounding neighborhoods and help the US economy in a time of slow economic recovery following several financial recessions. Additionally, the land acquisition should be limited to the less developed side of I-45 North. Displacing businesses and acquiring land on the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd will be doubly negative to taxpayers because land is much more expensive on the east side than it is on the west. Taxpayer money will be needlessly wasted as well, destroying a needed tax revenue stream for Harris County and the City of Houston. This area has struggled economically for the last several decades. In the last five years, it has begun to prosper. In this time of difficult economic recovery, we need to be careful how we spend taxpayers' money. Texas Governor Rick Perry has enticed out of state businesses to relocate to the State of Texas. Yet, thus far, the State of Texas refuses to acknowledge or support existing business owners and their right to prosper in this great State of Texas. As a business owner, taxpayer, and concerned citizen, I implore TxDOT to refrain from acquiring land along the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. Land acquisition should be limited to the less expensive west side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I am voicing my complaint before the November 9, 2012 deadline. Sincerely,

Name: MAMUN FARUQUE
Signature:

Date: 11/6/12

	are against the Texas Department of pansion and land acquisition along the east side of land
	pansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between
10 North Loop and Parker Rd.	
1. MAMUN FAREQUE	27
2.	28
3.	29
4.	30
5.	31.
6.	32
7	33
8.	34
9	35
10	36
11	37
12	38
13	39
14	40
15	41.
16	42.
17	43
18	44
19.	45
20	46
21	47
22	48
23	49.
24	50
25 26	51 52

Date: _____

Dear TxDOT, I, Thomas B. D. W hereby file a formal complaint against the Texas Department of Transportation's proposal for the I-45 highway expansion and land acquisition along the east side of I-45. I am specifically protesting the eastward expansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd, illustrated by the Universe of Alternatives Segment 1 and Universe of Alternatives Segment 1 - IH 45 aerial map, found at http://www.lH45NorthandMore.com. I currently own Thomas B. O. Q. 5394 N. Tewy Hous tomTa ? which is on the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I have operated my business on I-45

North for // years. The eastward expansion of I-45 North will have a deleterious effect on my business, my finances, and the well-being of my ____/__ employees. I will be without my business and my employees will be without work should TxDOT acquire my business location for the I-45 North expansion. The expansion of I-45 North eastward will stagnant the only lively part of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. More than 100 businesses are located between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd on the east side of I-45 North. All recent successes in developing this area will be lost should TxDOT acquire land on the east side of I-45 North for highway expansion. I certainly want to retain my business and employees. I also want to continue to help build the surrounding neighborhoods and help the US economy in a time of slow economic recovery following several financial recessions. Additionally, the land acquisition should be limited to the less developed side of I-45 North. Displacing businesses and acquiring land on the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd will be doubly negative to taxpayers because land is much more expensive on the east side than it is on the west. Taxpayer money will be needlessly wasted as well, destroying a needed tax revenue stream for Harris County and the City of Houston. This area has struggled economically for the last several decades. In the last five years, it has begun to prosper. In this time of difficult economic recovery, we need to be careful how we spend taxpayers' money.

Texas Governor Rick Perry has enticed out of state businesses to relocate to the State of Texas. Yet, thus far, the State of Texas refuses to acknowledge or support existing business owners and their right to prosper in this great State of Texas.

As a business owner, taxpayer, and concerned citizen, I implore TxDOT to refrain from acquiring land along the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. Land acquisition should be limited to the less expensive west side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I am voicing my complaint before the November 9, 2012 deadline.

Sincerely,

Name: Young Park
Signature:

Date: 11/05/12

The undersigned employees of Thomas	OBB.Q	, located at
5594 N. FWY, Houston, TX	1096 are against the	Texas Department of
Transportation's proposal for the I-45 highway expansion	nsion and land acquisition	along the east side of I-45.
We are specifically protesting the eastward expa	insion of Interstate Highv	vay 45 (I-45), between the
1. Soon Falco	27	
DON LA		
2. garena Laentho Scott		
3. Of Chille Flat	29	
4. June Soloto	30	
5.	31	
6. MRACIE DAVIS	32	
7. Jedo Bustanache	33	
8. You 30 Sork	34	
9. Kope	35	
10. Deputa Petty		
11.		
12		
13	39	
14	40	
15	41	
16	42	
17	43	
18.	44.	
19	45	
20	46.	
21	47	
22.	48	on the state of th
23	49	
24	50	
25	51	Standard Standard Commission of the Standard Com
20	F0	

Dear TxDOT,
I, MIVICIA (all E hereby file a formal complaint against the Texas Department of
Transportation's proposal for the I-45 highway expansion and land acquisition along the east side of I-45.
I am specifically protesting the eastward expansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd, illustrated by the Universe of Alternatives Segment 1 and Universe of
Alternatives Segment 1 - IH 45 aerial map, found at http://www.IH45NorthandMore.com . EMAILEST mod. com
I currently own 7 Stop Multisewes & Incometate, which is on the east
side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I have operated my business on I-45
North for The eastward expansion of I-45 North will have a
deleterious effect on my business, my finances, and the well-being of my employees. I will be
without my business and my employees will be without work should TxDOT acquire my business location
for the I-45 North expansion. The expansion of I-45 North eastward will stagnant the only lively part of I-
45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. More than 100 businesses are located between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd on the east side of I-45 North. All recent successes in developing this area
will be lost should TxDOT acquire land on the east side of I-45 North for highway expansion. I certainly
want to retain my business and employees. I also want to continue to help build the surrounding
neighborhoods and help the US economy in a time of slow economic recovery following several financial
recessions. Additionally, the land acquisition should be limited to the less developed side of I-45 North.
Displacing businesses and acquiring land on the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and
Parker Rd will be doubly negative to taxpayers because land is much more expensive on the east side
than it is on the west. Taxpayer money will be needlessly wasted as well, destroying a needed tax
revenue stream for Harris County and the City of Houston. This area has struggled economically for the
last several decades. In the last five years, it has begun to prosper. In this time of difficult economic
recovery, we need to be careful how we spend taxpayers' money.
Texas Governor Rick Perry has enticed out of state businesses to relocate to the State of Texas. Yet,
thus far, the State of Texas refuses to acknowledge or support existing business owners and their right to
prosper in this great State of Texas.
As a business owner, taxpayer, and concerned citizen, I implore TxDOT to refrain from acquiring land
along the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. Land acquisition should be
limited to the less expensive west side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I am
voicing my complaint before the November 9, 2012 deadline.
Sincerely,

he undersigned employees of 7570P1	are against the Texas Department of
	pansion and land acquisition along the east side of I-45.
	pansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the
10 North Loop and Parker Rd	
1. May (xome)	27
2. Althor	28
3.	29
4	30
5	31
6	32
7	33
8	34
9	35
10	36
11	37
12	38
13	39
14	40
15	41
16	42.
17	43
18	44
19	
20	46
21	47
22	48
23	49.
24	50.
25	51.
26	52

.

Dear TxDOT,
Dear TxDOT, I, Prenium Autopex Inches file a formal complaint against the Texas Department of
Transportation's proposal for the I-45 highway expansion and land acquisition along the east side of I-45.
I am specifically protesting the eastward expansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd, illustrated by the Universe of Alternatives Segment 1 and Universe of
Alternatives Segment 1 - IH 45 aerial map, found at http://www.IH45NorthandMore.com . Fig. 72.55
I currently own Premium Aurulex 5380 North Freeway, which is on the east
side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I have operated my business on I-45
North for 5 and 4. The eastward expansion of I-45 North will have a
deleterious effect on my business, my finances, and the well-being of my employees. I will be
without my business and my employees will be without work should TxDOT acquire my business location
for the I-45 North expansion. The expansion of I-45 North eastward will stagnant the only lively part of I-
45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. More than 100 businesses are located between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd on the east side of I-45 North. All recent successes in developing this area
will be lost should TxDOT acquire land on the east side of I-45 North for highway expansion. I certainly
want to retain my business and employees. I also want to continue to help build the surrounding
neighborhoods and help the US economy in a time of slow economic recovery following several financial
recessions. Additionally, the land acquisition should be limited to the less developed side of I-45 North.
Displacing businesses and acquiring land on the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and
Parker Rd will be doubly negative to taxpayers because land is much more expensive on the east side
than it is on the west. Taxpayer money will be needlessly wasted as well, destroying a needed tax
revenue stream for Harris County and the City of Houston. This area has struggled economically for the
last several decades. In the last five years, it has begun to prosper. In this time of difficult economic
recovery, we need to be careful how we spend taxpayers' money.
Texas Governor Rick Perry has enticed out of state businesses to relocate to the State of Texas. Yet,
thus far, the State of Texas refuses to acknowledge or support existing business owners and their right to
prosper in this great State of Texas.

As a business owner, taxpayer, and concerned citizen, I implore TxDOT to refrain from acquiring land along the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. Land acquisition should be limited to the less expensive west side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I am voicing my complaint before the November 9, 2012 deadline.

Sincerely,

Signature:

The undersigned employees of Yrenion	1) Auropey.	, located at
5330 NUNTH Freeway	77022 are agains	t the Texas Department of
Transportation's proposal for the I-45 highway ex	xpansion and land acquis	ition along the east side of I-45.
We are specifically protesting the eastward e	xpansion of Interstate h	lighway 45 (I-45), between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd.		
1.	. 27.	
2. Marco vergner	28	
3.	29	
4.	30	
5.	31	
6	32	
7.	33	
8.	34	
9.	35	
10.	36	
11.	37	
12.	•	
13.	39	
14	40	
15		
16		
17.	43	
18	44	1
19	_ 45	
20	_ 46	
21	47	
22.	48	
23	49	
24	50	
25		
26		
`		`
Date:		

Dear TxDOT,
I, Flius Ouran hereby file a formal complaint against the Texas Department of
Transportation's proposal for the I-45 highway expansion and land acquisition along the east side of I-45.
I am specifically protesting the eastward expansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd, illustrated by the Universe of Alternatives Segment 1 and Universe of
Alternatives Segment 1 - IH 45 aerial map, found at http://www.IH45NorthandMore.com.
I currently own Ranchura Kinz Buffel , which is on the east
side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I have operated my business on I-45
North for The eastward expansion of I-45 North will have a
North for The eastward expansion of I-45 North will have a deleterious effect on my business, my finances, and the well-being of my employees. I will be
without my business and my employees will be without work should TxDOT acquire my business location
for the I-45 North expansion. The expansion of I-45 North eastward will stagnant the only lively part of I-
45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. More than 100 businesses are located between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd on the east side of I-45 North. All recent successes in developing this area
will be lost should TxDOT acquire land on the east side of I-45 North for highway expansion. I certainly
want to retain my business and employees. I also want to continue to help build the surrounding
neighborhoods and help the US economy in a time of slow economic recovery following several financial
recessions. Additionally, the land acquisition should be limited to the less developed side of I-45 North.
Displacing businesses and acquiring land on the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and
Parker Rd will be doubly negative to taxpayers because land is much more expensive on the east side
than it is on the west. Taxpayer money will be needlessly wasted as well, destroying a needed tax
revenue stream for Harris County and the City of Houston. This area has struggled economically for the
last several decades. In the last five years, it has begun to prosper. In this time of difficult economic
recovery, we need to be careful how we spend taxpayers' money.
reservery, we need to be careful new the openia taxpayore money.
Texas Governor Rick Perry has enticed out of state businesses to relocate to the State of Texas. Yet,
thus far, the State of Texas refuses to acknowledge or support existing business owners and their right to
prosper in this great State of Texas.
As a business summy tourness and consequent eitiness I involves TuDOT to refusin from sequiring land
As a business owner, taxpayer, and concerned citizen, I implore TxDOT to refrain from acquiring land
along the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. Land acquisition should be
limited to the less expensive west side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I am
voicing my complaint before the November 9, 2012 deadline.
Sincerely,
Name: Elius Duran
Name: <u>Ilius Duran</u> Signature: <u>Un l'am</u> Date: <u>//- 4-/2</u>

P2-33

The undersigned employees of	, located at
5900 N. Fruy # 135 Houston I tro	7/are against the Texas Department of
Transportation's proposal for the I-45 highway expansion a	and land acquisition along the east side of I-45.
We are specifically protesting the eastward expansion	of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd.	and College May
1. The firm	27. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
2. and	28.
3.	29. Jenny July
4. alpm Simborn	36. Henrietta Santan
5.	31. Own and
6. Sigrisalinas,	32. Myngula Hang
7. Mario Markinar.	33. July
8. Lanin Kanin	34.
9. Clariful Casver	35.
10. January	36.
11. TRivero	37. Maria Jongous
12. CRISTOBAL . S	38. Myn'a / a//e
13. Carks D	39. Hamferto Kanh
14. Sandra Martinez	40. laidi Sam
15. martin Vargas	41. Ca Sinter Montage
16. David Sanchez	42. Jonfry Mary
17. Alex Smy	43
18. The Dang	44
10 fam	45
20. all sale suit	46
21. JAGOC 1500 AB/BC	47
22. Mineria Contea,	48
23. Coolings threat	49
24. Cansvelo Garcia	50
25. Venaci Ven	51
26. Dary Salas	52
\cup	

Date: _

PETITION TO TXDOT

We, the attached signers are concerned about **Segment 1**; more specifically, **to the east side of I-45**, between 610 North Loop and Parker Rd.

We are **in favor of alternatives 3/3C and 4 IF** TxDOT **does not** require additional property on the east side of the existing highway feeder road along I-45. In other words, we do not want any Texas state authority to extend their right of way (ROW) past existing property lines east of I-45.

We will not support alternatives 3/3C and 4 if TxDOT does require additional right of way to the east side

of I-45, between 610 North Loop and Parker Rd.



TxDOT Universe of Alternatives, Segment 1: Inquiry

Nathan Watkins <npwatkins@gmail.com>
To: Pat.Henry@txdot.gov

Mon, Nov 5, 2012 at 11:34 AM

Mr. Henry:

I have a question regarding the Universe of Alternatives, Segment 1. I am specifically concerned about the proposed ROW on the east side of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between Airline and Parker Rd.

At the 2nd Public Scoping Meeting held on October 2012, Segment 1 alternatives remaining were 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, & 8.

My question is:

Which alternative under Segment 1 <u>WOULD NOT affect</u> the ROW on the east side of I-45 between Airline and Parker Rd?

I would greatly appreciate a response as we have been protesting against TxDOT regarding the proposed ROW on the east side of I-45. If we could choose one of the alternatives which <u>does not affect this area</u>, we could support TxDOT's expansion.

In point of fact, we would support any alternative TxDOT would have as long as it leaves this area alone. We are not anti-TxDOT we are just anti-expansion of the ROW to the east of I-45 between the Airline and Parker Rd.

Thank you in advance for your help.

Sincerely,

Nathan Watkins 917.501.6040

Pat Henry <Pat.Henry@txdot.gov> To: Nathan Watkins <npwatkins@gmail.com>

Mon, Nov 5, 2012 at 3:58 PM

Alternatives 3 and 4 would not require right of way (ROW) on the east side. Alternative 5 would require 150 ft., alt. 6 would need 75 ft., alt. 7 would require 30 ft, and alt. 8 would require 25 ft.

From: Nathan Walkins [mailto:npwatkins@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, November 05, 2012 10:35 AM

To: Pat Henry

Subject: TxDOT Universe of Alternatives, Segment 1: Inquiry

[Quoted text hidden]

Dear TxDOT,
I, Thi-Shen Fony hereby file a formal complaint against the Texas Department of
Transportation's proposal for the I-45 highway expansion and land acquisition along the east side of I-45.
I am specifically protesting the eastward expansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the 610
North Loop and Parker Rd. I am in favor of Segment 1, Alternative 3, designated as a preliminary
alternative at the 2 nd Public Scoping Meeting on October 2012.
I currently own Globa Learning USA, which is on the east
side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I have operated my business on I-45
North for 3
deleterious effect on my business, my finances, and the well-being of my employees. I will be
without my business and my employees will be without work should TxDOT acquire my business location
for the I-45 North expansion. The expansion of I-45 North eastward will stagnant the only lively part of I-
45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. More than 100 businesses are located between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd on the east side of I-45 North. All recent successes in developing this area
will be lost should TxDOT acquire land on the east side of I-45 North for highway expansion. I certainly
want to retain my business and employees. I also want to continue to help build the surrounding
neighborhoods and help the US economy in a time of slow economic recovery following several financial
recessions. Additionally, the land acquisition should be limited to the less developed side of I-45 North.
Displacing businesses and acquiring land on the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and
Parker Rd will be doubly negative to taxpayers because land is much more expensive on the east side
than it is on the west. Taxpayer money will be needlessly wasted as well, destroying a needed tax
revenue stream for Harris County and the City of Houston. This area has struggled economically for the
last several decades. In the last five years, it has begun to prosper. In this time of difficult economic
recovery, we need to be careful how we spend taxpayers' money.
Texas Governor Rick Perry has enticed out of state businesses to relocate to the State of Texas. Yet,
thus far, the State of Texas refuses to acknowledge or support existing business owners and their right to
prosper in this great State of Texas.
As a business owner, taxpayer, and concerned citizen, I implore TxDOT to refrain from acquiring land
along the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. Land acquisition should be
limited to the less expensive west side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I am
voicing my complaint before the November 9, 2012 deadline.
Sincerely,
Ti-Chan Form
1 6 has to now

P2-36

Date: Nov. 8, 20/2.

Gl	Let land	م مدلا م	1151	
The undersigned employees of Glo Glo	on, TX 77076 are	against the	Texas Depart	cated at ment of
Transportation's proposal for the I-45 highway e				
We are specifically protesting the eastward expansion	ansion of Interstate	e Highway 4	5 (I-45), betwe	en the 610
North Loop and Parker Rd. We are in favor of \$	Segment 1, Alterr	ıative 3, des	signated as a	oreliminary
alternative at the 2 nd Public Scoping Meeting on	October 2012.			
1. Allam I ychowel	_ 26			
2. Howaver Consider	27			
5 Jack of				
4. Soul Coul-				
5. Ma. Emilia v.O.				
6. Sergio Delgado	4			
7. LLOPOZ.	32			
8.	•			
9. Una aldape.	34	-		
10. <u>Dora Garza</u>	35			
11	_ 36			
12.	37		,	
13	38	-		
14	_ 39			
15.	_ 40	•		
16	_ 41			
17	_ 42			«
18	43.			
19	_ 44			
20	_ 45			
21	46			
22	_ 47			
23				
24	_ 49	,		
25	50			

Date: Nov. 8, 20/2

I, Sound AGraund hereby file a formal complaint against the Texas Department of
Transportation's proposal for the I-45 highway expansion and land acquisition along the east side of I-45.
I am specifically protesting the eastward expansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd, illustrated by the Universe of Alternatives Segment 1 and Universe of
Alternatives Segment 1 - II-l 45 aerial map, found at http://www.IH45NorthandMore.com
I currently own SUBWAY , which is on the east
side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I have operated my business on I-45
North for 12/7 2 The eastward expansion of I-45 North will have a
deleterious effect on my business, my finances, and the well-being of my employees. I will be
without my business and my employees will be without work should TxDOT acquire my business location
for the I-45 North expansion. The expansion of I-45 North eastward will stagnant the only lively part of I-
45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. More than 100 businesses are located between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd on the east side of I-45 North. All recent successes in developing this area
will be lost should TxDOT acquire land on the east side of I-45 North for highway expansion. I certainly
want to retain my business and employees. I also want to continue to help build the surrounding
neighborhoods and help the US economy in a time of slow economic recovery following several financial
recessions. Additionally, the land acquisition should be limited to the less developed side of I-45 North.
Displacing businesses and acquiring land on the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and
Parker Rd will be doubly negative to taxpayers because land is much more expensive on the east side
than it is on the west. Taxpayer money will be needlessly wasted as well, destroying a needed tax
revenue stream for Harris County and the City of Houston. This area has struggled economically for the
last several decades. In the last five years, it has begun to prosper. In this time of difficult economic
recovery, we need to be careful how we spend taxpayers' money.
Texas Governor Rick Perry has enticed out of state businesses to relocate to the State of Texas. Yet,
thus far, the State of Texas refuses to acknowledge or support existing business owners and their right to
prosper in this great State of Texas.
As a business owner, taxpayer, and concerned citizen, I implore TxDOT to refrain from acquiring land
along the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. Land acquisition should be
limited to the less expensive west side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I am
voicing my complaint before the November 9, 2012 deadline.
Sincerely,
Name: Gazing A Grayal
Name: Gazind A Graval Signature: Enumel found Date: 11-7-12
Signature:
7

The undersigned employees of	SANDWICHES	, located at
5586 NORTH FRWY		
Transportation's proposal for the I-45 highway expa		
We are specifically protesting the eastward expenses	ansion of Interstate Hig	hway 45 (I-45), between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd.		
1.	27.	
2. Roxanne Vair	28	
3. Julia Hdz	29	
4. Antonia Moreno	30	
5. Alexander Orteger	31	
6. Nama Itymaige	32.	
7. June Villaner	33	
8. Manie Cales	34	
9. Jornathan Ramirez	35	
10	36	
11.	37	
12.	38	
13	39	
14.	40	
15.	41	
16.	42	
17.	43	·
18	44	
19	45	
20.	· 46	
21.	47	
22.	48	
23.	49	
24.	50.	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N
25.	51	
26.	52	
		§

Date:

Dear TxDOT,
I, New Hernandez hereby file a formal complaint against the Texas Department of
Transportation's proposal for the I-45 highway expansion and land acquisition along the east side of I-45.
I am specifically protesting the eastward expansion of Interstate Highway 45 (I-45), between the
610 North Loop and Parker Pd. illustrated by the Universe of Alternatives Segment 1 and Universe of
E-MAR
P(29) =
I currently own 5346 1/2 N FREEWAY BNW AUREPAINWhich is on the east
side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I have operated my business on I-45
North for The eastward expansion of I-45 North will have a
deleterious effect on my business, my finances, and the well-being of my employees. I will be
without my business and my employees will be without work should TxDOT acquire my business location
for the I-45 North expansion. The expansion of I-45 North eastward will stagnant the only lively part of I-
45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. More than 100 businesses are located between the
610 North Loop and Parker Rd on the east side of I-45 North. All recent successes in developing this area
will be lost should TxDOT acquire land on the east side of I-45 North for highway expansion. I certainly
want to retain my business and employees. I also want to continue to help build the surrounding
neighborhoods and help the US economy in a time of slow economic recovery following several financial
recessions. Additionally, the land acquisition should be limited to the less developed side of I-45 North.
Displacing businesses and acquiring land on the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and
Parker Rd will be doubly negative to taxpayers because land is much more expensive on the east side
than it is on the west. Taxpayer money will be needlessly wasted as well, destroying a needed tax
revenue stream for Harris County and the City of Houston. This area has struggled economically for the
last several decades. In the last five years, it has begun to prosper. In this time of difficult economic
recovery, we need to be careful how we spend taxpayers' money.
Texas Governor Rick Perry has enticed out of state businesses to relocate to the State of Texas. Yet,
thus far, the State of Texas refuses to acknowledge or support existing business owners and their right to
prosper in this great State of Texas.
As a business owner, taxpayer, and concerned citizen, I implore TxDOT to refrain from acquiring land
along the east side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. Land acquisition should be
limited to the less expensive west side of I-45 North between the 610 North Loop and Parker Rd. I am
voicing my complaint before the November 9, 2012 deadline.
Sincerely,
Name: New Hernandez

The undersigned employ	lees of DNW	0020	located at
53461	South Freeway	1. 7 7 are against the	?
	al for the I-45 highway expan		
	testing the eastward expan	nsion of Interstate High	way 45 (I-45), between the
610 North Loop and Pa	c Moreno	27	
	Hernander		
	a Flores		
١ _	Avila		
Λ	to Agenci		
	dro Nieto		
()			
0 0	Gilbreath		-
8. Freddy			
	o Acosta P		
•	1 turcios		
2	Almanzan		
12. David	Almonran	38	t
13		39	1
14		40	
15		41	
16		42	
17		43	1
18		44	
19		45	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
20		46	
21		47	
22			
23		49	
24		50	
25	·	51	
26		52	
		·	