100 Most Congested Roadways in Texas

2018 Report

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In response to increased roadway congestion throughout the state, in 2009 the Texas Legislature mandated that the Texas Department of Transportation annually produce a ranked list of the most congested roadways in the state. This list measures congestion by the number of extra hours of travel time (also called 'delay') experienced by travelers on each section of road analyzed. Because of the significant delay values in the most congested corridors, and the slow nature of solution implementation to address a congested roadway, the overall list changes little from year to year.

Table 1: 2018 Top 10 Most Congested Roads in Texas

2018	County	Road segment	From	То	2017
1	Harris	W Loop Fwy / IH 610	Katy Fwy / IH10 / US90	Southwest Fwy / US 59 / IH 69	1
2	Harris	Southwest Fwy / IH 69 / US 59	W Loop Fwy / IH 610	South Fwy / SH 288	3
3	Travis	IH 35	US 290 N / SS69	Ben White Blvd / SH 71	2
4	Dallas	Woodall Rodgers Fwy / SS 366	US 75	N Beckley Ave	4
5	Harris	Eastex Fwy / IH 69 / US 59	SH 288	IH 10	5
6	Tarrant	North Fwy / IH 35W / US 287	SH 183	IH 30	24
7	Harris	Katy Fwy / IH 10 / US 90	N Eldridge Pkwy	Sam Houston Tollway W	7
8	Dallas	Stemmons Fwy / IH 35E / US 77	John W Carpenter / SH 183	Tom Landry Fwy / IH 30	6
9	Dallas	US 75	LBJ / IH 635	Woodall Rodgers Fwy / SS 366	10
10	Harris	North Fwy / IH 45	Sam Houston Tollway N	N Loop Fwy / IH 610	9

Only one of these road sections is new to the top 10 list:

• North Fwy (IH35W) / SH 183 / IH 30 in Fort Worth, this year at #6, (#24 last, year);

Full results and multi-year comparisons of all road segments, nearly 1,800 in all, can be found in the full spreadsheet at (https://mobility.tamu.edu/texas-most-congested-roadways/).

And while congestion is sometimes interpreted as a sign of economic growth, for individuals attempting to navigate a congested roadway, it often simply feels like a problem. TxDOT is already seeking solutions to many of these problems in their standard planning documents, but the Texas Transportation Commission has accelerated those solutions for several road segments through the Texas Clear Lanes program, a 2015 initiative announced by Governor Abbott to provide relief at major chokepoints across the state. Many of the Texas Clear Lanes projects are on or adjacent to some of the most congested sections in the top 100 list.

INTRODUCTION

Everything is interconnected – that's the complicated reality behind the Texas 100 Most Congested Roadways list. And everyone feels it. Economic prosperity is connected to congestion, congested freeways are frequently connected to congested streets. Also, many elements create change, a fact that is also reflected in the 2018 report. If there was just one variable or one influence that contributed to congestion, it would be easy to explain the cause and to track reasons why roadway segments move up and down on the congestion list. But congestion is influenced by many factors. This report describes how a few of the most common factors affect a roadway and a region.

What has not changed since its beginning in 2009 is the goal of this effort: to use traffic volume and speed data to arrive at a measure of traffic congestion and the frustration that travelers and shippers feel. That measure quantifies how much more time it takes to travel a mile on a congested road than it does to travel that same mile of road during uncongested conditions. This year's report presents some of the findings from the most recent study, as well as describes some of the changes in technology and in data collection that have affected the research methodology over time.

WHAT'S ON THE LIST

Congestion is widespread, but its relevance can be subjective – what is very congested in small cities might be considered acceptable in larger cities. In an effort to demonstrate these contextual differences, this study tracks roughly 1,800 road sections across the state, in urban and suburban areas, including at least 18 sections (61 miles) in each of the 25 Texas metro areas (see map on the TTI website (https://mobility.tamu.edu/texas-most-congested-roadways/) for the urban regions). The resulting database is useful in tracking statewide congestion, and can be used to help prioritize projects that address congestion problems in each metro area. Congestion in Texas' rural regions is not tracked in this effort.

Figure 1 visualizes the rankings of the top 500 segments on the list, demonstrating that congestion is not evenly distributed across the Texas 100 list. The travel delay levels (extra travel time) drop dramatically on roadways listed below those in the top 10 ranks. The drop is significant from the top ranked section to about the 50^{th} section. After that point, congestion changes much less for the remaining sections.




Figure 1: Annual Delay Hours per Road Mile in the 500 Most Congested Roads in Texas

The 100 most congested roads on the list tend to be in the four largest metro areas of the state: Austin, Dallas/Fort Worth, Houston, and San Antonio. These are the areas where congestion is generally the most intense, and continues for long periods throughout the day. Highest on the list are urban segments where congestion also occurs outside the peak travel period. For example, Figure 2 shows that the highest ranking segment for 2017, I-610 West in Houston from the Katy Freeway to the Southwest Freeway, has about 45 percent of its delay outside of the traditional peak periods (6:00-9:00am and 4:00-7:00pm) which shows that this is not only a "rush hour" phenomenon. In contrast, the segment ranked at position #14 for 2017 (US-75 between President George Bush Turnpike and IH-635 in Dallas County) suffers much less off-peak period delay (about 22 percent of its delay is outside of the peak periods). Many of the highly ranked sections have much more delay outside the traditional peak periods than those sections further down the list.




Figure 2: Comparison of Segment #1 with Segment #14 for Off-Peak Period Congestion

Congestion is not a uniquely urban or downtown problem, or even one related only to the road section on the congestion list. An urban road segment that usually has relatively low traffic volumes could make the list if a nearby construction or maintenance project obstructed that road's few lanes and diverted traffic onto the facility.

WHAT INFLUENCES HELP TO PUT ROADS ON THE LIST

Economic Prosperity

The most enduring trend since 2009 has been growth – in population, jobs, travel demands, traffic volume – everything except road and transit capacity necessary to accommodate the growth. Traffic congestion may be an inevitable result of growth, but the congestion growth rate is not seen as reasonable.

Land Use

Land use changes along or near a corridor can have a dramatic impact on that corridor. In urban areas that are developing densely, thousands of trips may be added to a corridor very quickly when

people move into newly available housing units or take advantage of new offices, retail stores or restaurants. For example, recent high-density development along Westheimer Road in Houston between SH-6 and IH-610 is one reason that this segment of road is ranked at #57 on the list. That kind of change can send a roadway to a higher position on the list in a short period of time.

Construction

Construction on a road – or on a nearby road - can be the reason for congestion changes. Big construction projects often cause congestion on the road where the project is being built. In smaller cities, even short-term and smaller projects like pavement overlays, re-striping, traffic signal work at a single intersection or right-turn additions can affect annual congestion statistics.

Projects on nearby or connecting roads can also cause congestion on a road where there would otherwise be none. When the road under construction becomes congested, backed-up traffic shifts to connecting roads and they become congested as well. For example, construction on Austin's Loop 1/MoPac creates congestion on other nearby roadways (Cesar Chavez, Bee Caves, and South Lamar) when traffic along Loop 1 is slowed due to construction.

Congestion Outside the Peak Period

Congestion outside the normal peak traffic periods is another frequent condition that moves a road up on the congested list. These roads "where it's always rush hour" not only see regular congestion, but also see more intense problems from traffic crashes and stalled vehicles. This is the case with I-35 through Central Austin, or I-610 West in Houston.

Off-peak period delay can also be significant on arterials, or high-capacity urban thoroughfares, whose traffic lights are timed to serve all travel directions at smaller cross street intersections, rather than prioritizing the major street peak direction, causing delay on the bigger arterial streets. During rush hour, however, the arterials are prioritized and their delay time is lessened.

Weather

Even an exceptionally bad weather year can cause a road segment to appear in the congestion data. Heavy rains can slow traffic, regular flooding can block it, and high winds can deposit debris on the roads or down signs that obstruct traffic until removed or repaired. Extreme weather, especially high heat followed by excessive rains, can accelerate roadway damage, creating large cracks that worsen with the weight of traffic. That kind of damage can slow traffic, and the effort to repair the problem can also obstruct a road and cause congestion.

HOW HAS THE METHODOLOGY CHANGED OVER THE YEARS?

Eight years of this project have seen changes to road use in Texas. There have also been changes to speed data availability since the first year of this report, both for the time periods and the number of roadways for which it was captured. In 2009, the study's first year, there was very little directly collected speed data so speeds were estimated using traffic levels. Since 2010, however, speed data has continued to improve in both temporal and spatial coverage. In that year, private sector companies were supplying hourly speed data for the state's largest roadways, generally

during higher traffic periods, and during most daytime hours. However, by year four of the report, speeds were available for 15-minute periods, including overnight periods. As of the 2017 reporting period, speed data is available for over 95 percent of the 15-minute periods for all seven days of the week on all of the Texas 100 roadway sections.

In addition, data collection companies who once collected only truck or fleet data now collect passenger vehicle data from anonymized sources like cell phone and in-dash GPS sources. The result is that the reporting has become more accurate both in terms of the timeframes and vehicle types they measure. (See Figure 3 below).

Results now show that quite often throughout the off-peak times, vehicles are not moving at free flowing speeds. Reports of no delay, even in the off-peak times, are becoming rarer.



Figure 3: Timeline Showing Changes to Speed Data Availability

Conclusion

The Top 100 Most Congested Roadways report provides a birds-eye view of congestion in Texas. It is designed to show where delay hours are occurring, in order of severity. It also shows what type of traffic, whether commuter or truck, causes congestion, the differences between peak and off-peak period congestion levels, and more. What this report does not show is what specifically is causing the congestion on a given roadway, or identify specific solutions. However, the report's discussions on congestion describe a variety of reasons why it occurs, and give analysts some insight into what strategies might be effective.

This report also provides a brief description of the research methodology and the factors that influence it. Future reports will continue to note changes to methodologies as they occur.

Appendix A. Methodology & Definitions

Annual Hours of Delay

The annual measure of delay is the starting point for calculating all of the congestion measures below. To arrive at this measure, researchers must first acquire four data elements:

- Actual travel speed
- Free-flow travel speed
- Vehicle volume (passenger vehicles and trucks)
- Vehicle occupancy (persons per vehicle) to calculate delay in person-hours

Researchers use the traffic volume and traffic speed data for each section of road to create the large dataset that contains each of the Texas 100 reporting segments. For example, on a given point on a roadway, researchers gather the travel speed and traffic volume for each 15-minute time period of the average week. This means that data is gathered for 672 discreet periods of each week for each segment. They can then compare this data with free flow speeds to determine the difference between a congested period and a free flowing one. By factoring in vehicle occupancy, they are then able to calculate the delay time per person for each roadway. For details about the methodology used and any changes made since the prior year, see 100 Texas Congested – 2018 Method (final).

Measure Definitions

DELAY				
Annual Delay	The sum of the extra travel time in the weekday peak period, weekday off-			
,	peak period, and weekend.			
Annual Delay Per Mile	Annual hours of delay divided by segment length so that comparable values			
·	are obtained.			
Peak Period Delay	The hours of delay that occur on weekdays during the 6:00am-9:00am and			
,	4:00-7:00pm time periods.			
Off-Peak Period Delay	The hours of delay that occur on weekdays outside of the peak period.			
Weekend Delay	The hours of delay that occur on weekends.			
Texas Congestion Index	Score indicating the relationship between the peak-period, average travel			
	time and the free-flow travel time. The score is arrived at by dividing the			
	congested travel time by the free flow travel time. For example, for a			
	segment where a free-flow trip takes 30 minutes, and a trip during peak			
	periods takes 36 minutes, the TCI score would be 1.2.			
Planning Time Index	A travel time reliability measure indicating the amount of time that should			
	be planned to arrive on-time for 19 trips out of 20. A value of 2.50 means			
	that for a 30 minute trip in light traffic, 75 minutes should be planned.			
Commuter Stress Index	Score indicating the relationship between the peak period, average travel			
	time for the morning and evening peak travel direction and the free-flow			
	travel time for the peak direction of travel only.			
Annual Congestion Cost	The cost of wasted time and fuel associated with congestion.			
VOLUME, SPEED & FUNCTIONAL CLASS				
Peak Period Average Speed	The average speed during the 6:00am-9:00am and 4:00-7:00pm timeframe.			
Average Uncongested Speed	The average operating speeds during light traffic conditions, typically			
	during overnight hours.			
Functional Class	Coding system for road segments for purposes of analysis. 1=interstates and			
	freeways, 3=major and minor arterial streets.			
TRUCKS				
Annual Truck Delay	The portion of annual delay from trucks.			
Annual Truck Delay Per Mile	Annual hours of truck delay divided by the segment length.			
Peak Period Truck Delay	The hours of truck delay that occur during the 6:00am-9:00am and 4:00-			
0.65	7:oopm timeframe on weekdays.			
Off-peak Period Truck Delay	The hours of truck delay that occur on weekdays outside of the peak period.			
Weekend Truck Delay	The hours of truck delay that occur on weekends.			
Annual Truck Congestion Cost	The portion of annual congestion cost from trucks.			
Peak Period Average Truck	The average truck speed during the 6:00am-9:00am and 4:00-7:00pm			
Speed Average Upgens acted Truck	timeframe.			
Average Uncongested Truck	The average truck operating speeds during light traffic conditions, typically			
Speed	during overnight hours.			
FUEL & EMISSIONS Excess Fuel Consumed Due to Additional gallons of fuel consumed due to congestion.				
Congestion	Additional gallons of fuel consumed due to congestion.			
Excess Fuel Consumed Due to	The portion of excess fuel consumed due to congestion from trucks.			
Truck Congestion	The polition of excess fuel consumed due to congestion from trucks.			
Additional CO2 Produced Due	Pounds of additional carbon dioxide produced because of congestion.			
to Congestion	Transition and additional carbon dioxide produced because of congestion.			
Additional CO2 Produced Due	Pounds of additional carbon dioxide produced because of truck congestion.			
to Truck Congestion	1 3 3 1 4 3 3 4 4 4 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1			
to Frock Congestion	I .			