

Professional Engineering Procurement Services (PEPS) Division Solicitation No. 601CT0000006305 Pre-RFP Questions & Answers (Q&A) Q&A Posting Date: 08/26/2025

Ref#	Provider Question	TxDOT Answer
1.	The slides showed proposed Standard Work Categories for this contract. They included: 22.1.1 Owner Verification Testing and Inspection-Project Manager 24.1.1 O&M Project Manager (PM) Please clarify: i. Will there be two PM's proposed? One for NTE, and one for SH 130? ii. Will there be one PM and one Deputy PM? If so, which will rule? iii. If there is only one PM, which one will it be?	i. It has been determined that there will be two separate procurements. One procurement for the Alternative Delivery Division (ALD), and one procurement for the Fort Worth District. Each solicitation may include a Core Team restriction. See Revised Pre-RFP presentation. ii.Deputy PM is not required for this solicitation. iii.PM will be required to have certification 24.1.1 O&M Project Manager. All other certifications can be satisfied by another Task Lead. Please note that this information is subject to change. Final Work Categories and NLCs will be available in the TxDOT Procurement Portal once solicitations are advertised.
2.	Where can we find the plans for these planned projects?	Follow the project links bellow for more information: SH 130: https://www.txdot.gov/content/da https://www.txdot.gov/content/da https://txdot.app.box.com/s/8g0r wikwxqtx30ygiymfyaic2jrutq40 NTE 3: https://www.txdot.gov/content/da m/docs/division/ald/north-tarrant-

Ref#	Provider Question	TxDOT Answer
		express/nte-seg-3-amend-fac-
		agree-exhibit-2.pdf
3.	Can you provide the description for the NLC1?	Independent Assurance Engineer
		Description: This category includes the evaluation of the sampling and testing procedures, personnel, equipment, and laboratory used as part of the acceptance decision. This includes reviewing and auditing of testing procedures and technician certifications to verify that all sampling and testing requirements are met and ensuring adherence to the Quality Assurance Program for comprehensive development agreement (CDA)/Design-Build (DB) projects (DB QAP).
		Minimum Requirements: The team must include one Professional Engineer registered or licensed in Texas, as task leader, with a minimum of five years of demonstrated experience in: • the sampling and testing of highway materials. • either managing an accredited materials testing laboratory or serving as a laboratory quality assurance manager; • monitoring technician qualification procedures; and • at least one Alternative Delivery project that utilized the DB QAP.
		Please note that this information is subject to change. Final Work Categories and NLCs will be available in the TxDOT Procurement Portal once solicitations are advertised.

Ref #	Provider Question	TxDOT Answer
IXCI #	1 TOVIGET QUESTION	TADOT Allower
4.	Knowing the two projects considered for these two IE contracts, if a provider is precluded from one project under this procurement, does that automatically preclude them from participating in the other project as well?	It has been determined that there will be two separate procurements for these projects. One procurement for the Alternative Delivery Division (ALD), and one procurement for the Fort Worth District.
5.	Will the IE consultant for NTE be precluded from the future DB SH183 GEC contract?	Preclusion determinations are evaluated on a case-by-case basis.
6.	Will the IE consultant for NTE be precluded from the future DB SH183 OVTI contract?	Preclusion determinations are evaluated on a case-by-case basis.
7.	Can you provide a statement in the RFP to clarify whether a provider precluded from one project is also prevented from the other?	It has been determined that there will be two separate procurements for these projects. One procurement for the Alternative Delivery Division (ALD), and one procurement for the Fort Worth District. Each solicitation may include a Core Team restriction.
8.	Will providers have the option to select which contract or project they wish to pursue under this procurement?	It has been determined that there will be two separate procurements. One procurement for the Alternative Delivery Division (ALD), and one procurement for the Fort Worth District.
9.	Is it correct to assume that the highest- ranked firm will be awarded the NTE project directly, or will the top-ranked firm have the opportunity to select which project they prefer—based on factors such as location, available resources, or familiarity with the scope?	It has been determined that there will be two separate procurements. One procurement for the Alternative Delivery Division (ALD), and one procurement for the Fort Worth District.
10.	Would TxDOT consider implementing a mechanism—perhaps through Euna or a naming convention in Attachment 2—that allows firms to indicate their preferred project? This would help ensure that firms can align their qualifications and experience with the project that best matches their capabilities.	No. It has been determined that there will be two separate procurements for these projects. One procurement for the Alternative Delivery Division (ALD), and one procurement for the Fort Worth District.
11.	Can the prime provider alone satisfy the HUB (Historically Underutilized	Prime Provider can satisfy the HUB requirement, following the

Ref#	Provider Question	TxDOT Answer
	Business) requirement, or must it be	requirements of the HUB
	met through subprovider participation as	Subcontracting Plan (HSP).
	well?	However, the provider has to
		provide a detailed plan of how
		they will meet the requirements
		of the contract and project
		needs. For additional HUB &
		DBE information, please refer to
		the recent presentation on HUB
		& DBE Program Updates,
		presented by the Civil Rights
		Division.
12.	Would interviews be required for this	Interviews are not anticipated for
	solicitation?	these solicitations, but they are
		subject to change.
13.	Would a subprovider currently working	Preclusion determinations are
	on the NTE-GEC contract be precluded	evaluated on a case-by-case
	from participating in this procurement?	basis.
14.	If I am currently a subprovider to the	Preclusion determinations are
	developer, does that preclude me from	evaluated on a case-by-case
	participating in this procurement?	basis.
15.	As the current Independent Engineer	Preclusion determinations are
	(IE) on the NTE project, am I precluded	evaluated on a case-by-case
	from this procurement?	basis.
16.	Is there additional "new" construction	The project scope may include
	contemplated under these projects, or is	new construction. Final
	the construction-related inspection and	information will be available in
	quality control solely related to	the TxDOT Procurement Portal
	maintenance repairs and future major	once solicitations are advertised.
	lifecycle replacements?	
17.	Will the Consultant be required to	No further information will be
	respond to roadway incidents or	provided.
	emergency repairs 24/7 with limited	
40	response time?	It is an Other Direct F
18.	How will the Consultant be	It is an Other Direct Expense
	compensated for cost of tolls?	(ODE) that may be included
		during negotiations.
19.	Please confirm that the intent of the first	Preclusion determinations are
	paragraph of RFP Section 6 is to	evaluated on a case-by-case
	preclude the Independent Engineer	basis.
	prime provider and all subproviders for	
	the project named in the IE contract	
	from directly rendering services for that	
	project to either TxDOT or the	
	developer/design-builder, except as	
	permitted in the IE contract.	

Ref#	Provider Question	TxDOT Answer
20.	Will a Consultant Preclusion List be provided prior to the release of the RFP? On some of the proposed Work Categories, there are very few firms that qualify.	Preclusion determinations are evaluated on a case-by-case basis.
21.	Can a non-P.E. with at least 10 years of experience meet the minimum requirements for Work Category 23.5.1 – Alternative Delivery Cost Estimator?"	Refer to the Revised Pre-RFP Presentation slides for NLC-2. NLC-2 - Alternative Delivery Cost Estimator
		Description: This category includes the review, oversight, and potential development of total project costs for Alternative Delivery projects in planning, procurement, and implementation phases. Associated activities include supporting the development of estimates to be included with the Engineer's Report, which may be used for the sale of revenue bonds, supporting the development of documents in support of funding and/or Federal Highway Administration guidelines, supporting feasibility studies, Right of Way (ROW) cost estimate, and cost validation associated with proposal submissions.
		Minimum Requirements: The firm must employ one Professional Engineer with a minimum of five years of experience or one non- Professional Engineer with at least 10 years of experience in developing detailed, risk-based project cost estimates for projects including design and construction of large, complex, Alternative Delivery projects.
		Please note that this information is subject to change. Final Work Categories and NLCs will be

Ref#	Provider Question	TxDOT Answer
		available in the TxDOT
		Procurement Portal once
		solicitations are advertised.