



# PROVIDER EVALUATION UPDATE



July, 2019

1 Provider evaluations in PS-CAMS	3-5
2 Viewing evaluations in the PS-CAMS portal	6-17
3 Responding to evaluations in the PS-CAMS portal	18
4 Appealing the results of a provider evaluation	19-20
5 Using the evaluation scores during selection	21-23
6 Who to contact with questions	24

PS-CAMS – the database system of record for all engineering, architecture, & surveying contracts

TxDOT began performing evaluations in PS-CAMS in April 2018

To date, about 1900 evaluations have been performed in PS-CAMS

## Evaluation criteria focus on both the Prime Provider's Contract PM and the Prime Firm

# TxDOT webpage includes info for getting access to and using PS-CAMS

TxDOT webpage also includes lists of Evaluation Criteria and FAQs

Provider Evaluation Update

## **Provider evaluations in PS-CAMS**

#### Manage Active Contracts with PS-CAMS

Texas Department of Transportation > Business > Consultants > Architectural, Engineering and Surveying Consultants

Professional Services - Contract Administration Management System (PS-CAMS) is an online reporting system designed for use by firms with active TxDOT contracts. Project managers can submit required reporting information directly into the system and view their contract data.

The PS-CAMS online reporting system supports direct entry of the following information:

- · Monthly reporting for HUB or DBE utilization (H-3 and H-6 form submission)
- Initial projection and monthly updates of spending projections (required for all firms with active professional services contracts and work authorizations; not applicable to vendors with purchase order contracts)

#### **Obtain User ID for PS-CAMS**

- 1. To obtain a User ID, follow these instructions for completing and submitting the three forms:
  - PS-CAMS External User Information Sheet
  - Request for External Access to TxDOT Information Systems (Form 1980)
  - Information Security Compliance Agreement (Form 1828b)
- 2. In approximately three days you will receive an email with your User ID and login instructions.

#### Instructions for Using PS-CAMS

Follow these instructions to log in and begin using the PS-CAMS online reporting system.

#### Additional Resources

- Prime Provider Evaluation Criteria
- FAQ

#### Notes:

Only Prime Provider personnel can request access to the PS-CAMS portal.

When requesting PS-CAMS access, the individual must use the exact same name that is used in the CCIS system

If the individual is not listed as precertified in the CCIS system, then the name should match the exact name used for professional license/registration.

July, 2019

Prime consultants can now view provider evaluations using the PS-CAMS portal

- Users at a firm can view all evaluations for that firm
- Individual PMs can view their own evaluations performed while at current and former employers

## To begin, log into the TxDOT PS-CAMS portal.



Provider Evaluation Update

### When the portal opens, click on the "Evaluations" tab.

Texas Depart	ment o	f Transpor	tation		MAMA		anna	1A
				1	Print Forms	Contact Us	Password	I   Logou
PS-CAMS	S po	ortal			Joe Gazelle	e, Zebra En	gineering, In	IC.
				7				
Contract Payme	ents P	rojected vs Act	ual Evalua	ations				
Contract Payme	ents P	rojected vs Act	ual Evalua	ations	-			
Contract Payme	ents P Chart	rojected vs Act Contract #	ual Evalua	ations Term Date	Total	Pd to HUBs	HUB Target	HUB Curr

#### The default view shows a list of evaluations for the firm.



#### Click on **Review** to view the details of an evaluation.



**Provider Evaluation Update** 

### Contract, WA, PM, and firm information is shown at the top.

Contrac Home / Eval	ct Payments Project	ed vs Actual	Evaluations	_			
Contract Eval Status PM Score Comment	369IDP5001 s TxDOT PM Aprvd 60.00 %	Work Auth Eval'd By Firm Score	John Q. Engineer 60.00 %	Eval Date Firm Firm PM	e 02/13/20 Zebra I Gazelle	<b>18</b> Engineering, Inc. e, Joe	
Criterion	Description			Raw Score	Weight	Wtd Score	Target
1.a	Quality of Deliverables:	Accuracy		3.00	7.5	22.500	External PM
1.b	Quality of Deliverables:	Completeness		3.00	5	15.00	External PM
2	Timeliness of submittals	6		3.00	7.5	22.500	External PM
3.a	Contract administration	- Budget		3.00	1	3.00	External PM
3.b	Contract administration	- Schedule		3.00	1	3.00	External PM
3.c	Contract administration	- Invoices		3.00	1	3.00	External PM
4	Responsiveness and ava	ailability of the PM		3.00	7.5	22.500	External PM
5	Resolution of issues			3.00	2.5	7.500	External PM
6	Communication and coo	ordination		3.00	2.5	7.500	External PM

#### PM and firm scores are also shown at the top.

Contrac Home / Evalu	t Payments Projecte	ed vs Actual	Evaluations	_			
Contract Eval Status PM Score Comment	369IDP5001 TxDOT PM Aprvd 60.00 %	Work Auth Eval'd By Firm Score	John Q. Engineer 60.00 %	Eval Date Firm Firm PM	e 02/13/20 Zebra I Gazelle	<b>18</b> Engineering, Inc. e, Joe	
Criterion	Description			Raw Score	Weight	Wtd Score	Target
1.a	Quality of Deliverables: A	Accuracy		3.00	7.5	22.500	External PM
1.b	Quality of Deliverables: (	Completeness		3.00	5	15.00	External PM
2	Timeliness of submittals			3.00	7.5	22.500	External PM
3.a	Contract administration	- Budget		3.00	1	3.00	External PM
3.b	Contract administration	- Schedule		3.00	1	3.00	External PM
3.c	Contract administration	- Invoices		3.00	1	3.00	External PM
1	Responsiveness and ava	ailability of the PM		3.00	7.5	22.500	External PM
5	Resolution of issues			3.00	2.5	7.500	External PM
6	Communication and coo	rdination		3.00	2.5	7.500	External PM

### Details are shown for each criterion.

Contrac Home / Evalu	t Payments Projected	d vs Actual	Evaluations	_			
Contract Eval Status PM Score Comment	369IDP5001 TxDOT PM Aprvd 60.00 %	Work Auth Eval'd By Firm Score	John Q. Engineer 60.00 %	Eval Date Firm Firm PM	e 02/13/20 Zebra Gazelle	<mark>18</mark> Engineering, Inc. e, Joe	
Criterion	Description			Raw Score	Weight	Wtd Score	Target
1.a	Quality of Deliverables: A	ccuracy		3.00	7.5	22.500	External PM
1.b	Quality of Deliverables: Co	ompleteness		3.00	5	15.00	External PM
2	Timeliness of submittals			3.00	7.5	22.500	External PM
3.a	Contract administration -	Budget		3.00	1	3.00	External PM
3.b	Contract administration -	Schedule		3.00	1	3.00	External PM
3.c	Contract administration -	Invoices		3.00	1	3.00	External PM
4	Responsiveness and avail	lability of the PM		3.00	7.5	22.500	External PM
5	Resolution of issues			3.00	2.5	7.500	External PM
6	Communication and coord	dination		3.00	2.5	7.500	External PM

#### Use the grey bar to scroll up and down the list

Contract	t Payments Projected	d vs Actual	Evaluations	_			
Contract Eval Status PM Score Comment	369IDP5001 TxDOT PM Aprvd 60.00 %	Work Auth Eval'd By Firm Score	John Q. Engineer 60.00 %	Eval Date Firm Firm PM	e 02/13/20 Zebra E Gazelle	18 ngineering, Inc. Joe	
Criterion	Description			Raw Score	Weight	Wtd Score	Target
1.a	Quality of Deliverables: A	ccuracy		3.00	7.5	22.500	External PM
1.b	Quality of Deliverables: Co	ompleteness		3.00	5	15.00	External PM
2	Timeliness of submittals			3.00	7.5	22.500	External PM
3.a	Contract administration - I	Budget		3.00	1	3.00	External PM
3.b	Contract administration -	Schedule		3.00	1	3.00	External PM
3.c	Contract administration -	Invoices		3.00	1	3.00	External PM
4	Responsiveness and avail	lability of the PM		3.00	7.5	22.500	External PM
5	Resolution of issues			3.00	2.5	7.500	External PM
5	Communication and coord	dination		3.00	2.5	7.500	External PM

#### Review comments related to specific criterion here.

6	Communication and coordination	3.00	2.5	7.500	External PM			
7	Management of subproviders	3.00	2.5	7.500	External PM			
8	Adequate use and prompt payment of HUB/DBE firms	3.00	1	3.00	External PM			
9	PM performance	3.00	1	3.00	External PM			
1	Firm Responsiveness	3.00	10	30.00	Firm			
2	Firm Invoicing	3.00	5	15.00	Firm			
3	Firm Resource Management	3.00	5	15.00	Firm			
Criterion Criterion Score Comment								
Firm Comment								
					^			
					0			
	Subm	it			\$			

### To return to the list of evaluations, click on the "Evaluations" tab.



Criterion	Description	Raw Score	Weight	Wtd Score	Target
1.a	Quality of Deliverables: Accuracy	3.00	7.5	22.500	External PM
1.b	Quality of Deliverables: Completeness	3.00	5	15.00	External PM
2	Timeliness of submittals	3.00	7.5	22.500	External PM
3.a	Contract administration - Budget	3.00	1	3.00	External PM
3.b	Contract administration - Schedule	3.00	1	3.00	External PM
3.c	Contract administration - Invoices	3.00	1	3.00	External PM
4	Responsiveness and availability of the PM	3.00	7.5	22.500	External PM
5	Resolution of issues	3.00	2.5	7.500	External PM
6	Communication and coordination	3.00	2.5	7.500	External PM

#### Click here to view evaluations for the Firm PM.

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

What if all of my evaluations as a PM aren't listed?							
Possible Cause	Example	Corrective Action					
Your name may be entered in PS-CAMS differently for different contracts	On contract A, the PM name is Joseph T. Gazelle, but on contract B, the PM name is Joe Gazelle	Contact the PEPS Service Center to request that the name on the contracts be updated to match CCIS					
The name you used when requesting PS-CAMS access does not match the name listed in CCIS.	When requesting PS-CAMS access, the PM name was given as Joe Gazelle, but in CCIS the PM name is Robert J. Gazelle	Contact the PS-CAMS administrator via email at <u>PEPS_PS-CAMS@txdot.gov</u> to request a change to the name used for PS-CAMS access					

Note: If a PM is not listed in CCIS, then the PM name should match the name listed on the applicable professional license/registration roster

#### **Responding to evaluations in the PS-CAMS portal**

# After reviewing an evaluation, the firm PM may enter response comments in this area and then click "Submit".

7	Management of subproviders	3.00	2.5	7.500	External PM
8	Adequate use and prompt payment of HUB/DBE firms	3.00	1	3.00	External PM
9	PM performance	3.00	1	3.00	External PM
1	Firm Responsiveness	3.00	10	30.00	Firm
2	Firm Invoicing	3.00	5	15.00	Firm
3	Firm Resource Management	3.00	5	15.00	Firm

#### Criterion Criterion Score Comment

Firm Comment	
	\$
Note: The firm comment data field is limited to 3000 characters, including spaces.	Submit

#### Appealing the results of a provider evaluation

Title 43 TAC §9.41 (f) defines the process for appealing provider evaluation results

TxDOT PM & Provider PM try to resolve the scoring dispute

If the PMs can't resolve the issue, then the TxDOT District Engineer or Division Director tries to resolve the dispute

If resolution is still not reached, the issue is escalated to the PEPS Division Director (PEPS DD).

PEPS DD will gather information, talk to staff of TxDOT and firm, and then provide the final decision to the firm in writing.

#### Appealing the results of a provider evaluation

## To resolve the appeal, the PEPS DD may decide to:

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Note: The decision of the PEPS DD is final.

Provider Evaluation Update

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

July, 2019

#### Using the evaluation scores during selection

Scenario	What scores will be use	ed to compute the ESA?
Both firm and PM have scores	Firm Actual	PM Actual
Firm has scores, but PM does not	Firm Actual	Median PM
PM has scores, but firm does not	Median Firm	PM Actual
Neither firm nor PM have scores	Median Firm	Median PM

Notes:
Median scores were computed using all scores in PS-CAMS as of 6/25/19.
Median PM Score = 74%
Median Firm Score = 70%
Median (PM and Firm) Score = 73.2%
Median scores used in selection may be updated periodically.

#### Using the evaluation scores during selection

The ESA will be used to determine the Selection Score used during the selection process.

ESA Range	Selection Score
80 ≤ ESA	5
60 ≤ ESA < 80	4
40 ≤ ESA < 60	3
20 ≤ ESA < 40	2
Note: There are no ESA scores lower than 20.	

#### Who to contact with questions

For general questions about the evaluation process, contact: the PEPS Service Center managing the contract or

the PEPS Center of Excellence E-mail: <u>PEPS\_COE\_Process@txdot.gov</u>

![](_page_24_Picture_3.jpeg)

**For specific questions about an evaluation, contact:** the TxDOT PM that is managing the contract or Work Authorization that is the subject of the evaluation