

Texas Department

2022 PEPS Conference

Mindi Menzel & Gunalini Rajkumar



December 1, 2022

PS-CAMS Consultant Portal



Consultant Portal – Gaining Access

ftxdot.gov/business/peps/manage-active-contracts/manage-active-contracts-ps-cams.html

ips 🗸 Do business 🖌 Explore projects 🖌 Stay safe 🖌 About 🖌 📿

Contact PS-CAMS

Administrator

512-416-2712

PEPS_PS-CAMS@txdot.gov

anage active contracts

Manage active contracts with PS-CAMS

Professional Services - Contract Administration Management System (PS-CAMS) is an online reporting system designed for use by firms with active TxDOT contracts. Project managers can submit required reporting information directly into the system and view their contract data.

The <u>PS-CAMS online reporting system</u> Z supports direct entry of the following information:

- · Monthly reporting for HUB or DBE utilization (H-3 and H-6 form submission)
- Initial projection and monthly updates of spending projections (required for all firms with active professional services contracts and work authorizations; not applicable to vendors with purchase order contracts)

Obtain user ID for PS-CAMS

1. To obtain a User ID, follow 🏠 these instructions for completing and submitting the three forms:

- B PS-CAMS External User Information Sheet
- = Request for External Access to TxDOT Information Systems (Form 1980)
- =, Information Security Compliance Agreement (Form 1828b)

2. In approximately three days you will receive an email with your User ID and login instructions.

Instructions for using PS-CAMS

Follow the these instructions to log in and begin using the PS-CAMS online reporting system [2].

Additional resources

- Prime Provider Evaluation Criteria
- E Provider Evaluation Update Presentation July 2019
- FAQ FAQ

The instructions for gaining access and using the Consultant Portal are found on the TxDOT.gov website

Consultant Portal - Login Screen

Texas Department of Trans Providing sofe, effective and efficient movement of pe	portation pile and goods.
PS-CAMS portal	Professional Services - Contract Administration Management System
Email your Password enter	nail password ubmit forgot password?
COPYRIGHT	© 2022 TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Consultant Portal - Contract Payments

Entries are required every month from contract execution until contract termination

Print Forms Contact Us Password Logou Decoupt to you by bade Image: Contract Payments Projected vs Actual Evaluations Pending Payment Chart Contract # Exec Date Term Date Total Pd to HUBs HUB Target HUB Curr Nov 2021 362(DP5998 10/24/2021 10/24/2026 \$1,000,000.00 \$0.00 25.0% 0.0% Pending Payment: red = overdue; green = on time. Total Pd to HUBs HUB Target HUB Curr	Texas Departi	ment o	f Transpor	tation	CHANGE AND	NA MR		anna	1 A A
Description you by toda Mindi Menzel, Xyz Engineering, Luc Image: Ima						Print Forms	Contact Us	Password	I Logout
Contract Payments Projected vs Actual Evaluations Pending Payment Chart Contract # Exec Date Term Date Total Pd to HUBs HUB Target HUB Curr Nov 2021 Image: Micro Signal Sig	PS-CAMS brought to you by txdot	6 p	ortal			Mi	ndi Menzel,	, Xyz Engin	eering, Llc
Pending Payment Chart Contract # Exec Date Term Date Total Pd to HUBs HUB Target HUB Curr Nov 2021 3621DP5998 10/24/2021 10/24/2026 \$1,000,000.00 \$0.00 25.0% 0.0%	Contract Payme	ents	rojected vs Actu	al Evalua	tions				
Nov 2021 362IDP5998 10/24/2021 10/24/2026 \$1,000,000.00 \$0.00 25.0% 0.0%	Pending Payment	Chart	Contract #	Exec Date	Term Date	Total	Pd to HUBs	HUB Target	HUB Curr
Pending Payment: red = overdue; green = on time.	Nov 2021		362IDP5998	10/24/2021	10/24/2026	\$1,000,000.00	\$0.00	25.0%	0.0%

Enter the amount you received from TxDOT in the month you receive it.
Enter the amount you pay your subs in the month you pay them.



If no payments are received or paid to subproviders, enter zeros and submit

Texas Department of Tr	ansportation				and the second	A AL
		Print F	orms Conta	ct Us	Passv	vord Lo
PS-CAMS porta	l		Mindi Me	nzel, l	Xyz Enç	gineering,
Contract Payments Projec	ted vs Actual Eva	aluations				
Home / Contract Payment						
Payments for 362/DP5998 [show	v details]		Ρ	ayment	history: 2	021 2022
 Select Pay Period 	Nov 2021	~				
2 Payment to Contractor	\$ 10000	Total amount paid to	o you this reporting	period		
Subcontractor Payments						
Subcontractor	Paid This Period	Comment	Paid To Date	DBE	DBE Target	DBE Current
Abc Engineering Services	\$ 0	comment	\$0.00	1	20.0%	0.0%
MIm Surveying	\$ 1500	comment	\$0.00	-	5.0%	0.0%
Purple Clover Environmental	\$ 2500	comment	\$0.00	-	5.0%	0.0%
O Certify & Save	Save Payments	✓ I hereby ce November	rtify that the above 2021 are true and	paymer correct.	its for	
	any comments yo	ou'd like to add with submi	ssion of payments			



	IIIGIIL U	г п апорог	Lation	and the	Print Forms	Contact Us	Password	i Logou
PS-CAMS brought to you by txdo	S po	ortal			M	indi Menzel,	Xyz Engine	eering, Ll
Contract Payme	ents P	rojected vs Actu	ial Evalua	itions				
Pending Payment	Chart	Contract #	Exec Date	Term Date	Total	Pd to HUBs	HUB Target	HUB Cur
Pending Payment Dec 2021	Chart	Contract # 362IDP5998	Exec Date 10/24/2021	Term Date 10/24/2026	Total \$1,000,000.00	Pd to HUBs \$1,500.00	HUB Target 25.0%	HUB Cur 0.6%

You can go back one month to make corrections.

If corrections need to be made to other months contact PEPS_PS-CAMS@txdot.gov

Contract Payments Projected vs Actual	Evaluations
Home / Contract Payment	
Payments for 362IDP5998 [show details]	
Select Pay Period Dec 2021 Nov 2021	~
2 Payment to Contractor \$	Total amount
Subcontractor Payments	

All forms can be accessed from the Print Forms link at the top right

Texas Depart	ment o	f Transpor	tation	THUR ANAL	AN AM		anarth	NA A
				L	Print Forms	Contact Us	Password	I Logout
PS-CAMS brought to you by txdo	5 po	ortal			Mi	ndi Menzel,	Xyz Engine	eering, Llc
Contract Payme	ents	rojected vs Actu	al Evalua	tions				
Pending Payment	Chart	Contract #	Exec Date	Term Date	Total	Pd to HUBs	HUB Target	HUB Curr
Dec 2021		362IDP5998	10/24/2021	10/24/2026	\$1,000,000.00	\$1,500.00	25.0%	0.6%
Pending Payment:	red = ove	erdue; green = c	on time.					

Consultant Portal - Forms

The forms for a specific contract can be found by clicking on a year in the payment history

Contract Payments Projected vs Actual Evaluations							
Home / Contract Payment							
Payments for 362IDP5998 [show	Payments for 362IDP5998 [show details] Payment history: 2021 2022]
Select Pay Period	Dec 2021 🗸						
2 Payment to Contractor	 Payment to Contractor amount Total amount paid to you this reporting period 						
Subcontractor Payments							
Subcontractor	Paid This Period	Comment	Paid To Date	DBE	DBE Target	DBE Current	
Abc Engineering Services	\$ amount	comment	\$0.00		20.0%	0.0%	
Mlm Surveying	\$ amount	comment	\$1,500.00		5.0%	0.6%	
Purple Clover Environmental	\$ amount	comment	\$2,500.00	-	5.0%	1.0%	
Certify & Save	Save Payments	I hereby certify	that the above	paymen	ts for		

Consultant Portal - Forms

The forms for each month can be found here

PS-CAMS port	al		Mindi Menzel	, Xyz Eng	gineering, l
Contract Payments Proje	cted vs Actual	Evaluations			
Home / Contract Payment / 2021					
Payments Submitted 2 October Download H-3 Contractor was paid 50.00	2021 Form				
Subcontractor	Paid This Period	Comment	Total Paid To Date	HUB Target	HUB Current
Abc Engineering Services	\$0.00		\$0.00	n/a	n/a
MIm Surveying	\$0.00		\$0.00	n/a	n/a
Purple Clover Environmental	\$0.00		\$0.00	n/a	n/a
				-	0.0%
November Download H	1-3 Form				
Contractor was paid \$10,000	.00				
Subcontractor	Paid This Period	Comment	Total Paid To Date	HUB Target	HUB Current
Abc Engineering Services	\$0.00		\$0.00	n/a	n/a
MIm Surveying	\$1,500.00		\$1,500.00	n/a	n/a
Purple Clover Environmental	\$2,500.00		\$2,500.00	n/a	n/a
				-	1.6%

Anyone with the firm who has access can view the evaluations performed, individually



Contract	Payments Project	ed vs Actual	Evaluations				
Contract Eval Status PM Score Comment	362IDP5998 Mgmt Approved 75.00 %	Work Auth Eval'd By Firm Score	1 Gunalini Rajkumar 80.00 %	Eval Dat Firm Firm PM	e 10/24/20 XYZ Eng Menzel,	22 jineering, LLC Mary	
Criterion	Description			Raw Score	Weight	Wtd Score	Target
1.a	Quality of Deliverables:	Accuracy		5.00	7.5	37.500	External PM
1.b	Quality of Deliverables	Completeness		4.00	5	20.00	External PM
2	Timeliness of submittal	S		3.00	7.5	22.500	External PM
3.a	Contract administration	- Budget		2.00	1	2.00	External PM
3.b	Contract administration	- Schedule		1.00	1	1.00	External PM
3.c	Contract administration	- Invoices		4.00	1	4.00	External PM
4	Responsiveness and a	vailability of the P	м	5.00	7.5	37.500	External PM
5	Resolution of issues			4.00	2.5	10.000	External PM
6	Communication and co	ordination		2.00	2.5	5.000	External PM
7	Management of subpro	viders		1.00	2.5	2.500	External PM
В	Adequate use and pror	npt payment of H	UB/DBE firms	3.00	1	3.00	External PM
9	PM performance			5.00	1	5.00	External PM
1	Firm Responsiveness			4.00	10	40.00	Firm
2	Firm Invoicing			3.00	5	15.00	Firm
3	Firm Resource Manage	ement		5.00	5	25.00	Firm

Consultant Portal - Evaluations

1.a Deliverables submitted: were in excellent form; required few, if any, red lines saving TxDDT time 1.b Deliverables submitted: were complete and organized (e.g. NO missing details/information), AND I 10% of deliverable) clarifications to details or notes required and were corrected without causing d 2 Deliverables submitted: were received on time or within recommended time frames and overall promet expectations 3.a PM / WA Manager: had some budgeting issues and could have communicated issues more effections 3.b PM / WA Manager: frequently missed deadlines which significantly impacted the schedule 3.c Invoices: were submitted on time with no errors but required little in additional clarification/informat documentation) prior to approving invoices 4 PM / WA Manager: consistantly available and responsive to requests; showed initiative to quickly n returned calls or emails; attended meeting; anticipated needs. 5 PM / WA Manager: proactively identified issues and worked well with TxDOT PM to resolve issues prompting meeded 6 PM / WA Manager: had some issues with communication and coordination; some items were uncle prompting by TxDOT PM was required 7 PM / WA Manager: did not manage subproviders well thus causing delays or other problems, issue 8 PM / Firm: prime needed little to no prompting to use subproviders, including HUB/DBE and made contract HUB/DBE terms and conditions; met the prompt payment in PSCAMS 9	Criterion	Criterion Score Comment
1.b Deliverables submitted: were complete and organized (e.g. NO missing details/information), AND 1 10% of deliverable; clarifications to details or notes required and were corrected without causing d 2 Deliverables submitted: were received on time or within recommended time frames and overall promet expectations 3.a PM / WA Manager: had some budgeting issues and could have communicated issues more effections 3.b PM / WA Manager: frequently missed deadlines which significantly impacted the schedule 3.c Invoices: were submitted on time with no errors but required little in additional clarification/informat documentation) prior to approving invoices 4 PM / WA Manager: consistantly available and responsive to requests; showed initiative to quickly n returned calls or emails; attended meetings; anticipated needs. 5 PM / WA Manager: proactively identified issues and worked well with TxDOT PM to resolve issues prompting needed 6 PM / WA Manager: had some issues with communication and coordination; some items were unde prompting by TxDOT PM was required 7 PM / WA Manager: did not manage subproviders well thus causing delays or other problems, issue 8 PM / Firm: prime needed little to no prompting to use subproviders, including HUB/DBE and made contract HUB/DBE terms and conditions; met the prompt payment not pSCAMS 9 Firm PM / WA Manager: deliverables were high quality, complete, and on-time; managed budget al promptly res	1.a	Deliverables submitted: were in excellent form; required few, if any, red lines saving TxDOT time
2 Deliverables submitted: were received on time or within recommended time frames and overall promet expectations 3.a PM / WA Manager: had some budgeting issues and could have communicated issues more effections 3.b PM / WA Manager: frequently missed deadlines which significantly impacted the schedule 3.c Invoices: were submitted on time with no errors but required little in additional clarification/informat documentation) prior to approving invoices 4 PM / WA Manager: consistantly available and responsive to requests; showed initiative to quickly n returned calls or emails; attended meetings; anticipated needs. 5 PM / WA Manager: proactively identified issues and worked well with TxDOT PM to resolve issues prompting needed 6 PM / WA Manager: had some issues with communication and coordination; some items were uncle prompting by TxDOT PM was required 7 PM / WA Manager: did not manage subproviders well thus causing delays or other problems, issue 8 PM / Firm: prime needed little to no prompting to use subproviders, including HUB/DBE and made contract HUB/DBE terms and conditions; met the prompt payment requirements and consistently of payment in PSCAMS 9 Firm PM / WA Manager. deliverables were high quality, complete, and on-time; managed budget al promptly responded (within 12 hours); proactive and anticipated needs; took responsibility for all promotiversements)	1.b	Deliverables submitted: were complete and organized (e.g. NO missing details/information), AND REQUIRED FEW (less tha 10% of deliverable) clarifications to details or notes required and were corrected without causing delays
3.a PM / WA Manager: had some budgeting issues and could have communicated issues more effecti 3.b PM / WA Manager: frequently missed deadlines which significantly impacted the schedule 3.c Invoices: were submitted on time with no errors but required little in additional clarification/informat documentation) prior to approving invoices 4 PM / WA Manager: consistantly available and responsive to requests; showed initiative to quickly n returned calls or emails; attended meetings; anticipated needs. 5 PM / WA Manager: proactively identified issues and worked well with TxDOT PM to resolve issues prompting needed 6 PM / WA Manager: had some issues with communication and coordination; some items were uncle prompting by TxDOT PM was required 7 PM / WA Manager: did not manage subproviders well thus causing delays or other problems, issue 8 PM / Firm: prime needed little to no prompting to use subproviders, including HUB/DBE and made contract HUB/DBE terms and conditions; met the prompt payment nequirements and consistently of payment in PSCAMS 9 Firm PM / WA Manager: deliverables were high quality, complete, and on-time; managed budget al promptly responded (within 12 hours); proactive and anticipated needs; took responsibility for all promptly responded	2	Deliverables submitted: were received on time or within recommended time frames and overall project development progress met expectations
3.b PM / WA Manager: frequently missed deadlines which significantly impacted the schedule 3.c Invoices: were submitted on time with no errors but required little in additional clarification/informat documentation) prior to approving invoices 4 PM / WA Manager: consistantly available and responsive to requests; showed initiative to quickly n returned calls or emails; attended meetings; anticipated needs. 5 PM / WA Manager: proactively identified issues and worked well with TxDOT PM to resolve issues prompting needed 6 PM / WA Manager: had some issues with communication and coordination; some items were uncle prompting by TxDOT PM was required 7 PM / WA Manager: did not manage subproviders well thus causing delays or other problems, issue 8 PM / Firm: prime needed little to no prompting to use subproviders, including HUB/DBE and made contract HUB/DBE terms and conditions; met the prompt payment nPSCAMS 9 Firm PM / WA Manager: deliverables were high quality, complete, and on-time; managed budget al promptly responded (within 12 hours); proactive and anticipated needs; took responsibility for all promotivers promotivers.	3.a	PM / WA Manager: had some budgeting issues and could have communicated issues more effectively
3.c Invoices: were submitted on time with no errors but required little in additional clarification/informal documentation) prior to approving invoices 4 PM / WA Manager: consistantly available and responsive to requests; showed initiative to quickly relumed calls or emails; attended meetings; anticipated needs. 5 PM / WA Manager: proactively identified issues and worked well with TxDOT PM to resolve issues prompting needed 6 PM / WA Manager: had some issues with communication and coordination; some items were unde prompting by TxDOT PM was required 7 PM / WA Manager: did not manage subproviders well thus causing delays or other problems, issue 8 PM / Firm: prime needed little to no prompting to use subproviders, including HUB/DBE and made contract HUB/DBE terms and conditions; met the prompt payment n PSCAMS 9 Firm PM / WA Manager: deliverables were high quality, complete, and on-time; managed budget al promptly responded (within 12 hours); proactive and anticipated needs; took responsibility for all prompting to all prompting the submodel of the	3.b	PM / WA Manager: frequently missed deadlines which significantly impacted the schedule
4 PM / WA Manager: crossistantly available and responsive to requests; showed initiative to quickly r returned calls or emails; attended meetings; anticipated needs. 5 PM / WA Manager: proactively identified issues and worked well with TxDOT PM to resolve issues prompting needed 6 PM / WA Manager: had some issues with communication and coordination; some items were uncle prompting by TxDOT PM was required 7 PM / WA Manager: did not manage subproviders well thus causing delays or other problems, issue 8 PM / Firm: prime needed title to no prompting to use subproviders, including HUB/DBE and made contract HUB/DBE terms and conditions; met the prompt payment requirements and consistently of payment in PSCAMS 9 Firm PM / WA Manager: deliverables were high quality, complete, and on-time; managed budget al promptly responded (within 12 hours); proactive and anticipated needs; took responsibility for all promptily responded	3.c	Invoices: were submitted on time with no errors but required little in additional clarification/information (two or less pieces of documentation) prior to approving invoices
5 PM / WA Manager: proactively identified issues and worked well with TxDOT PM to resolve issues prompting needed 6 PM / WA Manager. had some issues with communication and coordination; some items were uncle prompting by TxDOT PM was required 7 PM / WA Manager. did not manage subproviders well thus causing delays or other problems, issue 8 PM / Firm: prime needed little to no prompting to use subproviders, including HUB/DBE and made contract HUB/DBE terms and conditions; met the prompt payment requirements and consistently of payment in PSCAMS 9 Firm PM / WA Manager. deliverables were high quality, complete, and on-time; managed budget al promptly responded (within 12 hours); proactive and anticipated needs; took responsibility for all prompting to use promotion and constraint of the prompting to be additioned and anticipated needs; took responsibility for all prompting to be additioned and anticipated needs; took responsibility for all prompting to be additioned and anticipated needs; took responsibility for all prompting to be additioned and anticipated needs; took responsibility for all prompting to be additioned and anticipated needs; took responsibility for all prompting to the prom	4	PM / WA Manager: consistantly available and responsive to requests; showed initiative to quickly resolve issues; promptly returned calls or emails; attended meetings; anticipated needs.
6 PM / WA Manager. had some issues with communication and coordination; some items were uncleprompting by TxDOT PM was required 7 PM / WA Manager. did not manage subproviders well thus causing delays or other problems, issue 8 PM / Firm: prime needed little to no prompting to use subproviders, including HUB/DBE and made contract HUB/DBE terms and conditions; met the prompt payment requirements and consistently or payment in PSCAMS 9 Firm PM / WA Manager. deliverables were high quality, complete, and on-time; managed budget an promptly responded (within 12 hours); proactive and anticipated needs; took responsibility for all prompting to see the promoted needs; took responsibility for all prompting the promoted promoted within the promoted of the promoted needs; took responsibility for all prompting the promoted	5	PM / WA Manager: proactively identified issues and worked well with TxDOT PM to resolve issues in a timely manner with no prompting needed
 PM / WA Manager: did not manage subproviders well thus causing delays or other problems, issue PM / Firm: prime needed little to no prompting to use subproviders, including HUB/DBE and made contract HUB/DBE terms and conditions; mel the prompt payment requirements and consistently of payment in PSCAMS Firm PM / WA Manager: deliverables were high quality, complete, and on-time; managed budget an promptly responded (within 12 hours); proactive and anticipated needs; took responsibility for all promptly responded (within 12 hours); proactive and anticipated needs; 	6	PM / WA Manager: had some issues with communication and coordination; some items were unclear or misleading; some prompting by TxDOT PM was required
PM / Firm: prime needed little to no prompting to use subproviders, including HUB/DBE and made contract HUB/DBE terms and conditions; mel the prompt payment requirements and consistently o payment in PSC-AMS Firm PM / WA Manager: deliverables were high quality, complete, and on-time; managed budget an promptly responded (within 12 hours); proactive and anticipated needs; took responsibility for all pro-	7	PM / WA Manager: did not manage subproviders well thus causing delays or other problems, issues, and/or disagreements
9 Firm PM / WA Manager: deliverables were high quality, complete, and on-time; managed budget a promptly responded (within 12 hours); proactive and anticipated needs; took responsibility for all put	8	PM / Firm: prime needed little to no prompting to use subproviders, including HUB/DBE and made a good faith effort to fulfill contract HUB/DBE terms and conditions; met the prompt payment requirements and consistently documented prompt payment in PSCAMS
	9	Firm PM / WA Manager: deliverables were high quality, complete, and on-time; managed budget and resources very well; promptly responded (within 12 hours); proactive and anticipated needs; took responsibility for all products
 Firm: consistently available and responsive when requested; resolved issues quickly; anticipated re the project. 	1	Firm: consistently available and responsive when requested; resolved issues quickly; anticipated resource needs to support the project.
2 Firm Invoices: were submitted on time with no errors but required some requests for additional clar or more pieces of documentation) prior to approving invoices	2	Firm Invoices: were submitted on time with no errors but required some requests for additional clarification/information (three or more pieces of documentation) prior to approving invoices
3 Firm: exceeded expectations; managed/maintained resources very well with minimal resource adju impacts to production	3	Firm: exceeded expectations; managed/maintained resources very well with minimal resource adjustments and with little or n impacts to production
		Submit
Submit		

The person logged into the Portal must have an evaluation as a PM to see their evaluations

Texas Depart	tment of Ti	ansportati	on	NALEN AL AL		annant
				Print Forms	Contact Us	Password Logout
PS-CAM	S porta	i		Ν	1indi Menzel	, Xyz Engineering, Llc
Contract Payn	nents Projec	ted vs Actual	Evaluations			
	0	Evaluations For	Firm	Evaluat	ions For Firm PM	
	Fir	m Avg:	0	Firm PM A	vg: 0	
Pending Action	Contract #	Work Auth	Date	Firm		Firm PM
No contract or v	vork authorizati	on evaluations v	were found.			

Consultant Portal - Evaluations

			Mindi	Menzel, Xy	z Engineering
Contract Payments Project	cted vs Actual	Evaluations	C Evaluations Fo	or Firm PM	
Fi	rm Avg:	82.50	Firm PM Avg: 77	.38	
Pending Action Contract #	Work Auth	Date	Firm		Firm PM
<u>Review</u> <u>362IDP5998</u>	362IDP5998-1	10/24/2022	XYZ Engineering, LLC		Menzel, Mary
Review 362IDP5998	362IDP5998-1	10/25/2022	XYZ Engineering, LLC		Menzel, Mindi

Consultant Portal - Evaluations

	ent of Tr	ansportatio	n		MARIA MA
PS-CAMS brought to you by bxdot	porta	d		Print Forms Contact Us	Password Logout
Contract Payments	s Projec	ted vs Actual	Evaluations		
	0	Evaluations For Fi	irm	Evaluations For Firm PM	
	Fin	m Avg:	85.00	Firm PM Avg: 79.75	
Pending Action C	Contract #	Work Auth	Date	Firm	Firm PM
Review 30	62IDP5998	362IDP5998-1	10/25/2022	XYZ Engineering, LLC	Menzel, Mindi

7

Questions and Discussion



PS-CAMS Evaluations

PS-CAMS: Link for Requesting Internal Access



PS-CAMS Evaluations: Contract-level

Contract						
Contract No	Prime Firm	Firm Project	Mgr TxDOT Pr	roject Mgr 1	fxDOT Admin	
111IDP1222	ABCYZ Consu	Bob, Smith	Gunalini 🔁	Rajki 🗁	Mary L Menze 📔	۲
PS Nbr			Service	Center	Rural Service Ce 🕚	1
Contract Informa	ation	(Hide De	stails1			
Original Amt:	\$350,000.00	Executed:	2/20/2020	D/D/O:	01 PARIS	~
Spimnt Amt:	\$100,000.00	Termination:	2/20/2025	Orig Term D	t: 2/20/2025	_
Total Amt:	\$450,000.00	Funding :	Federal 💙	Work Type:	Engineering	~
Comtd Amt:	\$0.00	Contract Type:	DV	Discipline :	BRG On/Off	Re 💙
Spent Amt:	\$0.00	Last Issue Dt:	2/20/2022			
Avibl Amt:	\$450,000.00	Close Out Dt:		Status :	Executed	~
Project Name:		Asgind Goal:	0.00 % 🕞			
Description of W	/ ork		Comment			
		~				~
		\sim				\sim
Supplementals			Contract Tea	m		
# Execute	d Purpose			Firm	Role Paid	
1 2/20/20	020 correct Attac	h E 🔁	🖹 ABCYZ 🖸	onsultant	Prm \$0.00	
P			E.			
F		_	P			
-						
Prompt Paymen	nt Audits					
Req'd	Completed Cor	nment				
10/5/2021	N					
10/5/2022	N	_				
▶ 10/5/2023	N	_				
	12					
Work Authorizat	tion Summary					
# Proj	Name Execute	d Terminated	Total Spent	Remaining	TxDOT PM Admin	2
E 1 SH 146 &	SH 288 3/9/2020	10/1/2023 \$1	01,266.98 \$0.00	\$101,266.98		
	i 1			W	<u> </u>	

PS-CAMS Evaluations: Work Authorization-level

Work Auth Subprovider	
Contract No WA No Prime Firm Firm 1	Project Mgr TxDOT WA Proj Mgr TxDOT WA Admin
362IDP5998 1 XYZ Engineeri	Menzel, Mindi Gunalini Rajki 🚘 [Not set]
PS Nbr	PS PO Serv Ctr Orban Service C V
_ Dreases Reports	now Detailsj
Progress Reports	Supplementals
Date Status	# Executed Purpose
Tasks	Deliverables
# Name Expected	Due Recv'd Deliverable
CSJs / Plans	Budgets
# Prime Highway	CSJ Budgeted
▶ 0094-01-026 SH 183	▶ 0094-01-026 \$500,000.00 ►
	Subproviders
Projections	River Manua UNUIdaniaa
CSJ Obligated Spent	ABC Engineerin \$30,000,00
▶ 0094-01-026 \$500,000.00 \$200,000.00	MLM Surveying \$20,000.00
	Purple Clover \$0.00
	12
Invoice Summary	
# Firm Invoice # Invoice Date	Received Date Executed Date Amount Status
	2/1/2022 \$200,000.00 Approved

PS-CAMS: All – Starting Evaluation

1111DP1222	ABCY	ZConsu	Bob, Smith	Gunalini f	Rajku N	lary L Menze
Evaluation Hi	story					
Date	Туре	WA F	irm Project Manager	Status		Evaluator
F 10/5/20	21 WORKAUEN	1 800,	Smith	Preliminary		
P.						
P						
- Edik Evaluat	tion Information					
Edicevalua	lonination				1	
Firm Name:	ABCYZ Cons	ultant	Y Firm Se	a: 0	Vendor#:	
	Deb, Cmith		Empse		Eval Type:	Work Auth
Contract:	1111DP1222	Exec:	2/20/2020	Work Auth: 1 🗸	WA Exec:	3/9/2020
Eval Status:	Preliminary	∨ рм	Score %: 0.00	Firm Score %: 0.00	Eval Stage:	Annual
				prvi By:		
Approved Dt			No Work Done:	Mamr	t Approved Dt:	
			aluates Comment			
		=	valuator comment			~
						~
Eirm Bies	00.05.6					
				-	_	
Firm R.es	ponse By:			Firm R.es p	onse Date:	
		Fir	m Response Comm	ent		Rectangul
						0
						~
		-				

PS-CAMS: All – Picking Who to Evaluate

If there is more than one consultant PM who has worked on the project, you can select them in the Firm PM drop down.

Work Auth	Evaluation	Subprovider				
Contract No 362IDP599	WA No 8 1	Prime Firm XYZ Engineer	Firm Project Mgr T i Menzel, Mindi	CDOT WA Proj Mgr Gunalini Raj	TxDOT WA Ad	min t set]
- Evaluation His	tory		P3 P0 1161			
Liverent			-	Charles -	_	
Da 10/25/	2022 Work Auth	1 Menzel	rm Project Manager	Status Momt Approved	Gunalini Bal	kumar
10/24/	2022 Work Auth	1 Menzel,	Mary	Mgmt Approved	Gunalini Rai	kumar
				5 11 1 11		_
						_
Insert Eval	luation Information					
Firm Nam	e: XYZ Er	ngineering, LLC	Y Firm Seq:	0	Vendor #:	
Firm PM:	Menzel	, Mindi	Emp Seq:		Eval Type:	Work Auth 🗸
Contract:	Menzel	, Mindi Mary	2021	Work Auth: 1 🗸	WA Exec:	12/7/2021
Eval Statu	is:	, way	Fi	rm Score %:	Eval Stage:	Annual 🗸
Approved	By:		Mgmnt	Aprvl By:		`
Approved	Dt:		No Work Done:	Mgmnt	Approved Dt:	
		Eval	uator Comment			
Firm F	Response					
Firm	Pernonea Bu			Firm Resno	nse Date:	
	response by:	Fin	m Response Comment			
	DÍ	at nt	-51	/=1		
					<u>~</u>	

PS-CAMS: All – Scoring Evaluation

EV	aluatio No	n Criteria Criterion Name		Target	Weight	Score
F	1.a	Quality of Deliverables: Accura	iq/	PM	7.5	3
Þ	1.b	Quality of Deliverables : Compl	eteness	PM	5	
Þ	2	Timeliness of submittals		PM	7.5	
1	3.8	Contract administration - Budg	et	PM	1	
	3.b	Contract administration - Sche	dule	PM	1	
	3.0	Contract administration - Invol	ices	PM	1	
	5	Resolution of issues	y di Lile PM	PM	7.3	
	6	Communication and coordination	on	PM	2.5	
	7	Management of subproviders		PM	2.5	
	1.a	Deliverables: Accuracy				
I	Descrip nforma orrect	tion tion and quantities are	Deliverables contained significant errors, apparent that QA/QC was not completed.	A reasonable level of corrections were required	Daliva: submit: excall Faw, i: correc: require TxDOT	mebles were ted in ant form. f any, tions were ad seving time
					•	
	12001	Comment				

PS-CAMS: All – Evaluation in TxDOT PM Approved Status

Evaluation His	tory Type	WA	Firm Proje	ct Manager	Status		Evaluator
10/29/202	21 Contract		Bob, Smith		TxDOT PM Aprv	rd Gunal	ini Rajkumar
P:							
Edit Evaluati	on Information						
	100/20						_
Firm Name:	ABCYZ Go	nsultant		Firm Seq:	0	Vendor#:	-
Firm PM :	Bob, Smith		~	Emp Seq:		Eval Type:	Contract
Contract:	Preliminary				ik Auth: 1 🗡	WA Exec:	
Eval Status:	TxDOT PM Momt Appr	Aprvd	Score %	57.25 FI	Score %: 60.00	Eval Stage:	Annuaí
Approved By:	Reviewed B	y Ext PN		Mgmnt A	prvi By:		
Approved Dt:	10/28/2021		No Wor	k Done:	Mgmni	t Approved Dt	:
			Evaluator C	omment			
Firm R.es p	onse						
Firm Resp	onse By:				Firm Ries po	onse Date:	
			Firm Respon	nse Comment			
							^

After scoring the evaluation, put it in TxDOT PM approved status. Print the evaluation and send to your manager through DocuSign.

PS-CAMS: All – Evaluation in Management Approved Status

After your manager has approved the evaluation, add their name and put the evaluation in Management Approved status

In (20/20/20)	100	Firm Project Manager	Status	Evaluator	
10/25/20	21 Contract	Bob, Smith	Mgmt Approved	Gunalini Rajkumar	
15					
Edit E valuati	on Information				
Firm Name:	ABCYZ Consultar	it. Y Firm Sea:	0 v	endor #:	
Firm PM :	Bob. Smith	- Emo Sec:	Ev	Contract V	
Contracto	Preliminary	2/20/2020 w		(Ever	
Collina.	Marnt Approved	60.00		Annual 🗙	
Eval Scicus:	Reviewed By Ext	PM	Dopy MNs		
Approved By:	10/20/2021	Mgmnt	Aprvi By: Danity Write	10/20/2021	
Approved Dt:	10/28/2021	No Work Done:	Mgmnt Appr	roved Dt: 10/28/2021	
		Evaluator Comment			
				0	
Firm Resp	onse				
			Firm Riesponsie D	ate:	
Firm R.es p	onse By:				
Firm Resp	ionse By:	Firm Response Comment	:		
Firm Resp	ionse By:	Firm Response Comment		~	

PS-CAMS: All – Evaluation in Management Approved Status

Date	Туре	WA Firm Pr	oject Manager	Status		Evaluator	
10/29/202	1 Contract	Bob, Smitt	n	Mgmt Approved	Gunalini	Rajkumar	
P.							
Edit E valuatio	n Information						
Firm Name:	ABCYZ Cons	ultant N	Erm San:	0	Vendorstr		
Firm PM :	Bob. Smith	\	Emo Seo:		Eval Type:	Contract 🗸	,
Contract:	Preliminary	2/20/2	2020 War	k Auth: 1 🗸	WA Exec:		
Eval Status:	Mgmt Approv	ed Score	%: 60.00 Firm	Score %: 60.00	Eval Stage:	Annual 🗸 🗸	
Approved By:	Reviewed By	Ext PM	Mgmnt A	prvi By: Danny M	Neal II		
Approved Dt:	10/29/2021	No W	(ark Dane:	Mgmnt	Approved Dt:	10/29/2021	
		Evaluato	r Comment				
						0	
·						· ·	
Firm R.es po	inse						
Firm R.es po	nse By:			Firm Respon	se Date:		
		Firm Res	ponse Comment				
						0	
						×	

The evaluation must be in at least Management Approved status for it to be counted in the ESA and for the Firm to view it in the portal

PS-CAMS: All – Evaluation in Reviewed by External PM approved Status

Once the evaluation has been reviewed by the external PM, the status is changed to Reviewed by external PM

PSIND	r				PS PO Nbr			
Evalu	uation History —							
	Date	Туре	WA	Firm Proj	ect Manager	Status		Evaluator
	10/25/2022	Work Auth	1	Menzel, Mindi		Reviewed By Ext PM	Gunalini R	ajkumar
	10/24/2022	Work Auth	1	Menzel, Mary		Mgmt Approved	Gunalini Ra	jkumar
	dit Evaluation In	formation ——						
	Firm Name:	XYZ Engir	neering,	LLC 🗸	Firm Seq:	0	Vendor #:	
	Firm PM:	Menzel, M	indi	~	Emp Seq:	_	Eval Type:	Work Au
	Contract:	362IDP59	98 Ex	ec: 10/24/	2021 w	ork Auth: 1 👻	WA Exec:	12/7/20
	Eval Status:	Reviewed	By Ext	Y PM Score %:	79.75 Firm	n Score %: 85.00	Eval Stage:	Annual
	Approved By:	Gunalini F	Rajkuma	ar	Mgmnt A	prvi By: Danny M	Neal II	
	Approved Dt:	10/25/202	2	No Wor	k Done:	Mamnt Apr	proved Dt:	10/25/20
				Evaluator Com	ment			
				Evaluator Con	ment			
	Firm Respons	se						
			Aindi Ma	onzol				0/28/202
	Firm Respo	nse By:		Firm Respon	se Comment	Firm Response	a Date:	0/20/202
	Tt has	heen a ni	easure	a to work wi	th Typot			
	It has	been a p.	Leasure	E CO WOLK W.	ten ixbor.			

PS-CAMS: All – Evaluation for No Work Done

The evaluation only needs TxDOT PM Approved status

Contract E	valuation			
Contract No	Prime Firm	n Firm Project Mgr	TxDOT Project M	gr TxDOT Admin
1111DP1222	ABCYZC	onsu Bob, Smith	Gunalini Rajki	Mary L Menze
ERP No				
E valuation Hist	ory			
Date	Туре ۱	WA Firm Project Manager	Status	Evaluator
▶ 10/29/202	1. Contract	Bob, Smith	TxDOT PM Aprvd	Gunalini Rajkumar
10/29/202	1 Contract	Bob, Smith	PM	Gunalini Rajkumar
Edit Evaluation	n Information			
Firm Name:	ABCYZ Consult	ant Y Firm Seq:	0 Ver	dor#:
Firm PM :	Bob, Smith	Emp Seq:	Eval	Type: Contract ▼
Contract:	1111DP1222	Exec: 2/20/2020 Wo	rk Auth: 1 🗸 WA	Exec:
Eval Status:	IXDOT PM Apr	/d ♥ PM Scare %: 0.00 Firm	Score %: 0.00 Eval	Stage: Annual
Approved By:	Gunalini Rajkur	nar Mgmnt /	Aprvi By:	
Approved Dt:	10/28/2021	No Work Done: 🗹 🤘	Mgmnt Appro	ved Dt:
		Evaluator Comment		<u></u>
				0
Eirm Ries op	ns e			
Firm Ries po	nse By:		Firm Response Da	te:
		Arm Kesponse Comment		
				\bigcirc
			17m	
				<u>S</u>

Questions and Discussion

New Functionality



Contract Errors and Omissions screen

Contract							
Contract No	Prime Firm	Firm Project Mg	r TxDOT Project	Mgr TxDOTA	dmin [Not set]	-1	
SoziDP5596 XTZ Engineen 🛃 Menzel, Mindr 🛃 Gunainin Rajki 🛃 [rvot set]							
Flide Details 1							
Contract Informatio	n						
Original Amt:	\$1,000,000.00	Executed:	10/24/2021	D/D/O: Orio Term Dt:	36 PEPS DIV	IS 🗸	
Total Amt:	\$1,000,000.00	Funding:	Federal V	Work Type:	Engineering	~	
Comtd Amt:	\$500,000.00	Contract Type:	ID V	Discipline:	PS&E	~	
Spent Amt:	\$200,000.00	Last Issue Dt:	10/24/2024				
Avibl Amt:	\$500,000.00	Close Out Dt:		Status:	Executed	~	
Project Name:		Asgnd Goal:	16.00% 🔁				
Description of Wo	rk	//	Comment				
Supplementals	uted Purp	ise 	Contract Teas	Firm gineering, ineering S vveying 1 2	Role Paid Prm \$10,000.0 Sub \$0.00 Sub \$1,500.00	•	
Prompt Payment	Audits		Errors and O	missions			
Req'd	Completed Co	mment		e1 (fe)	Change Order		
12/24/2022	2 N				change order		
12/24/2023	N						
12/24/2024	N	_					
	12						
- Work Authorization	on Summary						
# Pro	j Name Executer	Terminated	Total Speni	e Remaining	TxDOT PM Admin	- 21	
1	12/7/202	1 12/1/2023 \$5	00,000.00 \$200,000	.00 \$300,000.00			
1							
	<u>B</u>			Ø 📋	e		

Contract	Errors & Om	issions				
Contract No	Prin	ne Firm	Firm Project Mg	TXDOT	Project Mgr	TxDOT Admin
362IDP59	98 XYZ	Engineeri	Menzel, Mindi	Gunali	ni Rajkı	[Not set]
ERP No						
Errors and O	missions					
	CCSJ	CSJ		Change Order		Comment
-Insert Errors	and Omissions In	formation				
Project Id		CCSJ	CSJ		Chamge	e Ord #
Firm Contac	t Name		Phone		Email	
PS A/R Item	Id			Τx	DOT EO Coord	
- District ES	O Comittee Meetin	Dist	rict Meeting with	Consultant	Administrati	ve Review
Reimburs	Required	Dis	trict Mta Reast		Admin Rev	w Reast
Comit De	cision Date	Dis	trict Mtg Date		Admin Rev	w Date
Notif Lett	er Amnt	Not	tif Letter Amnt		Notif Lette	Amnt
Notif Lett	er Date	Not	tif Letter Date		Notif Lette	Date
Accounts	Receivable Activitie					
FIN Notif		Dmnd Letter	Enl	Dmnd Let	Pmnt	Recvd
Collection	Amt	Deposit Nbr			Dist	Notif
Comment:	<u> </u>					
Closeout Dt					Status	Preliminary V
			8		🥏	
Error and On	nission Phases —					
	Phase Nbr		Phase Name		Phase	Comment
Insert Errors	and Omissions Ph	ase Information				
Phase Typ	Under Commi	ttee Review	✓ st	art Date	En	d Date
			,			
Comment:						/
TxDOT Cnto	t					
		DI -	2			
					~	

Work Authorization – Subprovider screen

/ork Auth	
Contract No WA No Prime Firm Firm Project M 362IDP5998 1 XYZ Engineeri Menzel, PS Nbr PS PO	gr TxDOT WA Proj Mgr TxDOT WA Admin Mindi Gunalini Rajki 🔁 [Not set] Serv Ctr Urban Service C 🗸
[Show Deta	ils]
Progress Reports	Supplementals
Date Status	# Executed Purpose
E 🔁	E 🗲
4	E
Tasks	Deliverables
Name Expected	Due Recv'd Deliverable
E 🔁	
	E
P	E
CSJs / Plans	Budgets
a Prime Hiabway	CS1 Budgeted
▶ 0094-01-026 SH 183	▶ 0094-01-026 \$500,000,00
	Subproviders
Projections	
CSJ Obligated Spent	Firm Name Utilization
▶ 0094-01-026 \$500,000.00 \$200,000.00	MUM Supreving \$20,000.00
	Purple Clover 50.00
	12
Invoice Summary	
# Firm Invoice # Invoice Date Recei	ved Date Executed Date Amount Status
▶ 1 23321 1/21/2022	2/1/2022 \$200,000.00 Approved
	al ext en 🏘

Nbr		PS PO Nb			
Firm	Name	Vendo	r No	CCCIS No	Utilization
ABC Engineering Serv	ices	0	0		\$30,000.00
MLM Surveying		0	0		\$20,000.00
Purple Clover Environme	ental	0	0		\$0.00
×					
E .					
dit Subprovider Commitme	nt (H2)				

Firm Name ABC Engineering Services Vendor Id 0 CCIS No
0
Firm Comtd (H2) \$30,000.00 Total Comtd (H2) \$50,000.00 HUB % of WA Total 10.00%
Comment
🗠 🚯 🖬 🖉

Reports



PS-CAMS: Report

PS - CAMS Track	RFQ Contract	Report Con	ntrol	Maintain	Help
Reports © Contract System ŵ-RFQ System	Parameters D/D/O Scope • Scient D/D/Oc	 Select Region 		0 AL D.D/Dr]
	Contract Status Preilminary Executed Terminated Completed Suspended	Select D/D/Os and Work Types 01 PARIS 02 FORT WORTH 03 WICHITA FALLS 04 AMARILLO 05 LUBBOCK	~ >	Engineering Geotech Materials Engineering ROWAPS Scientific	~
	Contract 1111DP122	22 💙	Work A	utherization 1111DP1222 - 1	~

PS-CAMS: Reports Expanded

-Invoices -Summary -By D/D/O & Work Type By Work Authorization By Invoice Status -Spending for All CSJ/Functions -Spending for CSJ/Function -Invoice Details -Invoice Details -Invoice Details for Work Auth -Evaluations -Summary -For Firm -For Firm -For Firm -For Firm -For Firm -For A -PS-CAMS ESA -Detail -Evaluation Details

Contact Information

Mindi Menzel

PEPS / Center of Excellence

Mindi.Menzel@txdot.gov

737-701-4177

Gunalini Rajkumar PEPS / Center of Excellence Gunalini.Rajkumar@txdot.gov () 737-990-8788

PS-CAMS general email: PEPS_PS-CAMS@txdot.gov