

**Loop 1604 - Western Extension
Proposer QA Matrix #2
February 8, 2013**

<u>No.</u>	<u>RFQ Section/ Page No.</u>	<u>Question/Comment</u>	<u>Response</u>
34		As clarified in Addendum 1, the forms don't count toward the page limit, however the RFQ instructions limit proposers to only 3 page narratives for both <u>Management Structure</u> and <u>Technical Approach</u> . Given this limitation, it appears our response will be less than 15 pages of total "counted" pages. Where else in the QS are we allowed to expand on our qualifications within the 30 page limitation? Suggest expanding from 3 page limit to 5 in these two sections.	No change will be made regarding this item.
35	QA Matrix #1, Question 21, Part A, Section 5.1, last paragraph, Page 9	TxDOT's response to Question No. 21 was that "Key Personnel cannot be filled using sub-consultants, with the exception of the Design Quality Assurance Manager and Construction Quality Assurance Manager". This response would preclude using a sub-consultant for the Environmental Compliance Manager which is a Key Personnel position. Is this TxDOT's intent or an oversight?	This is TxDOT's intent, not an oversight.
36	Part A, page 6	<p><u>Reference:</u> Addendum 1 dated February 4th 2013 has amended section 4.2 Format, item (c), page 6 of the "final_redline RFQ" to read: "Volume 1 (as described in Part B) shall have all pages sequentially numbered and shall not exceed 30 pages, including any 11" x 17" pages (insofar as they are allowed as stated in Part A, Section 4.2(b)) but excluding all Forms..."</p> <p><u>Question:</u> With the additional pages the proposer has been allowed will TxDOT consider removing or revising the current subsection page constraints for: (b) Executive Summary – "...not exceeding four pages..." (b) Management Structure – "...no more than three pages..." Section D Statement of Technical Approach – "...no longer than three pages..."</p>	No change will be made regarding this item.

<u>No.</u>	<u>RFQ Section/ Page No.</u>	<u>Question/Comment</u>	<u>Response</u>
37	Part C, Form F	<p><u>Reference:</u> Addendum 1 dated February 4th 2013 has amended Part C, Form F, Part II: Instructions to the Reference of the “final_redline RFQ” to read: In order for this form to be evaluated as part of the Proposer’s submittal, after completing Part II, please print and sign this form and return a scanned copy by e-mail directly to TxDOT at TxDOTSAT-PPP-LP1604@txdot.gov so that it is received no later than 3:00 p.m. Central Time on February 15, 2013.</p> <p><u>Question:</u> Are proposers required to use Form F provided in Addendum 1 or are proposers allowed to use Form F provided with the RFQ issued on January 18, 2013?</p>	Form F only had a footer change. Either Form F can be used.
38	QA #1, Question #21	We feel compliance functions should be independent of the production team and request the Environmental Compliance Manager and the Professional Services Quality Control Key Personnel spots to be exempted similar to the Design Quality Assurance Manager and Construction Quality Assurance Manager. The addition of subconsultants as key personnel provides opportunities for D/M/WBE firms to gain experience on Design-Build projects.	No change will be made regarding this item.