TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION MEETING

Room 1A.1 200 East Riverside Drive Austin, Texas

Thursday, May 31, 2012

COMMISSION MEMBERS:

Ted Houghton, Chair Jeff Austin III Ned S. Holmes Fred Underwood

STAFF:

Phil Wilson, Executive Director John A. Barton, Deputy Executive Director Bob Jackson, General Counsel Rose Walker, Chief Clerk Kristen Webb, Chief Minute Order Clerk

I N D E X

AGENDA ITEM						
CONVENE MEETING 7						
1.	Safet	cy Briefing	8			
2.		oval of Minutes of the April 26, 2012, ing of the Texas Transportation Commission	12			
3.	Resol	Lution Recognize Maintenance Division Director Toribio Garza, Jr., P.E., upon his retirement from the department after 26 years of service.	13			
	В.	Recognize General Services Division Director Scott D. Burford, upon his retirement from the department after 31 years of service.	18			
4.	Discu a.	Discussion on strategies for engaging the public in establishing and developing mobility investment priorities	21			
	b.	Legislative Appropriations Request Report Update and discussion regarding the development of the Texas Department of Transportation's Fiscal Year 2014-2015 Legislative Appropriations Request (LAR)	32			
5.	Aviation Various Counties - Award federal and state grant funding for airport improvement projects at various locations (MO)					
6.	Publi	Various Counties - Award federal 5311(f) and 5316 funds for the FY 2012 coordinated call for projects, and award transportation	41 n			
	b.	development credits (MO) Various Counties - Award state funds to Concho Valley Transit District to provide funds for the completion of their multimodal transit facility (MO)	44			
	C.	Various Counties - Award federal and state funds to Southwest Area Regional Transit District (MO)	45			
7.	Title	ulgation of Administrative Rules Under e 43, Texas Administrative Code, and the nistrative Procedure Act, Government Code,				

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

	Chapt	ter 2001:	
	a	Final Adoption	
		Chapter 6 - State Infrastructure Bank (MO)	46
		Amendments to §§6.2-6.4 (General Provisions);	
		Amendments to §6.12 and Repeal of §6.13	
		(Eligibility); Amendments to §§6.21-6.23,	
		the Repeal of §6.24 and §6.25, and New §6.24	
		(Procedures); Amendments to §§6.31 and 6.31	
		and Repeal of §6.33 (Department and	
		Commission Action); Amendments to §§6.41-	
		6.43, the Repeal of §§6.44-6.46, and New	
	7 ~ ~ ~ ~	§6.44 and §6.45 (Financial Assistance	
	_	ements)	
	b.	Proposed Adoption	47
		(1) Chapter 4 - Employment Practices (MO)	47
		Repeal of §4.16, Veterans Employment	
		Preference (Job Application Procedures)	4.0
		(2) Chapter 15 - Financing and Construction	48
		of Transportation Projects (MO)	
		Amendments to §15.90, Reports and	
	~	Audits (Transportation Corporations) Rule Review	49
	C.	In accordance with Government Code,	49
		§2009.039, Readoption of Title 43 Texas	
		Administrative Code, Chapter 1, Management,	
		and Chapter 11, Design (MO)	
		and Chapter II, Design (MO)	
^	_		
8.	Offic	ce of Compliance and Ethics Report	49
9.	Ethic	cs Policy	49 51
	Ethi c	es Policy ider amendments for clarification of the	
	Ethi c	cs Policy	
	Ethic Consi emplo	es Policy ider amendments for clarification of the	
9.	Ethic Consi emplo	es Policy ider amendments for clarification of the oyee ethics policy (MO)	
9.	Ethic Consi emplo	cs Policy ider amendments for clarification of the oyee ethics policy (MO) gn-Build Projects Nueces and Kleberg Counties - Authorize	51
9.	Ethic Consi emplo	ider amendments for clarification of the oyee ethics policy (MO) gn-Build Projects Nueces and Kleberg Counties - Authorize the department to issue a request for qualifications for the development, design,	51
9.	Ethic Consi emplo	cs Policy ider amendments for clarification of the oyee ethics policy (MO) gn-Build Projects Nueces and Kleberg Counties - Authorize the department to issue a request for	51
9.	Ethic Consi emplo	der amendments for clarification of the oyee ethics policy (MO) gn-Build Projects Nueces and Kleberg Counties - Authorize the department to issue a request for qualifications for the development, design, construction, and potentially maintenance of the project for the US 77 Project from	51
9.	Ethic Consi emplo	der amendments for clarification of the oyee ethics policy (MO) gn-Build Projects Nueces and Kleberg Counties - Authorize the department to issue a request for qualifications for the development, design, construction, and potentially maintenance of the project for the US 77 Project from the north portion of Kingsville in	51
9.	Ethic Consi emplo	der amendments for clarification of the oyee ethics policy (MO) gn-Build Projects Nueces and Kleberg Counties - Authorize the department to issue a request for qualifications for the development, design, construction, and potentially maintenance of the project for the US 77 Project from	51
9.	Ethic Consi emplo	der amendments for clarification of the oyee ethics policy (MO) gn-Build Projects Nueces and Kleberg Counties - Authorize the department to issue a request for qualifications for the development, design, construction, and potentially maintenance of the project for the US 77 Project from the north portion of Kingsville in Kleberg County, and continuing north along the existing US 77 facility, south of the	51
9.	Ethic Consideration of the consideration of the con	der amendments for clarification of the oyee ethics policy (MO) gn-Build Projects Nueces and Kleberg Counties - Authorize the department to issue a request for qualifications for the development, design, construction, and potentially maintenance of the project for the US 77 Project from the north portion of Kingsville in Kleberg County, and continuing north along the existing US 77 facility, south of the city of Driscoll in Nueces County (MO)	51
9.	Ethic Consi emplo	der amendments for clarification of the oyee ethics policy (MO) gn-Build Projects Nueces and Kleberg Counties - Authorize the department to issue a request for qualifications for the development, design, construction, and potentially maintenance of the project for the US 77 Project from the north portion of Kingsville in Kleberg County, and continuing north along the existing US 77 facility, south of the city of Driscoll in Nueces County (MO) Bexar County - Authorize the department to	51
9.	Ethic Consideration of the consideration of the con	der amendments for clarification of the oyee ethics policy (MO) gn-Build Projects Nueces and Kleberg Counties - Authorize the department to issue a request for qualifications for the development, design, construction, and potentially maintenance of the project for the US 77 Project from the north portion of Kingsville in Kleberg County, and continuing north along the existing US 77 facility, south of the city of Driscoll in Nueces County (MO) Bexar County - Authorize the department to issue a request for qualifications for the	51
9.	Ethic Consideration of the consideration of the con	der amendments for clarification of the oyee ethics policy (MO) gn-Build Projects Nueces and Kleberg Counties - Authorize the department to issue a request for qualifications for the development, design, construction, and potentially maintenance of the project for the US 77 Project from the north portion of Kingsville in Kleberg County, and continuing north along the existing US 77 facility, south of the city of Driscoll in Nueces County (MO) Bexar County - Authorize the department to	51
9.	Ethic Consideration of the consideration of the con	der amendments for clarification of the oyee ethics policy (MO) gn-Build Projects Nueces and Kleberg Counties - Authorize the department to issue a request for qualifications for the development, design, construction, and potentially maintenance of the project for the US 77 Project from the north portion of Kingsville in Kleberg County, and continuing north along the existing US 77 facility, south of the city of Driscoll in Nueces County (MO) Bexar County - Authorize the department to issue a request for qualifications for the	51
9.	Ethic Consideration of the consideration of the con	der amendments for clarification of the oyee ethics policy (MO) gn-Build Projects Nueces and Kleberg Counties - Authorize the department to issue a request for qualifications for the development, design, construction, and potentially maintenance of the project for the US 77 Project from the north portion of Kingsville in Kleberg County, and continuing north along the existing US 77 facility, south of the city of Driscoll in Nueces County (MO) Bexar County - Authorize the department to issue a request for qualifications for the development, design, construction, and potentially maintenance for the Loop 1604 Project, preliminary limits from SH 16	51
9.	Ethic Consideration of the consideration of the con	der amendments for clarification of the oyee ethics policy (MO) gn-Build Projects Nueces and Kleberg Counties - Authorize the department to issue a request for qualifications for the development, design, construction, and potentially maintenance of the project for the US 77 Project from the north portion of Kingsville in Kleberg County, and continuing north along the existing US 77 facility, south of the city of Driscoll in Nueces County (MO) Bexar County - Authorize the department to issue a request for qualifications for the development, design, construction, and potentially maintenance for the Loop 1604 Project, preliminary limits from SH 16 (Bandera Road) to south of Wiseman Boulevard	51
9.	Ethic Consideration of the consideration of the con	der amendments for clarification of the oyee ethics policy (MO) gn-Build Projects Nueces and Kleberg Counties - Authorize the department to issue a request for qualifications for the development, design, construction, and potentially maintenance of the project for the US 77 Project from the north portion of Kingsville in Kleberg County, and continuing north along the existing US 77 facility, south of the city of Driscoll in Nueces County (MO) Bexar County - Authorize the department to issue a request for qualifications for the development, design, construction, and potentially maintenance for the Loop 1604 Project, preliminary limits from SH 16	51
9.	Ethic Consideration of the con	der amendments for clarification of the oyee ethics policy (MO) gn-Build Projects Nueces and Kleberg Counties - Authorize the department to issue a request for qualifications for the development, design, construction, and potentially maintenance of the project for the US 77 Project from the north portion of Kingsville in Kleberg County, and continuing north along the existing US 77 facility, south of the city of Driscoll in Nueces County (MO) Bexar County - Authorize the department to issue a request for qualifications for the development, design, construction, and potentially maintenance for the Loop 1604 Project, preliminary limits from SH 16 (Bandera Road) to south of Wiseman Boulevard (MO)	52
9.	Ethic Consideration of the con	der amendments for clarification of the oyee ethics policy (MO) gn-Build Projects Nueces and Kleberg Counties - Authorize the department to issue a request for qualifications for the development, design, construction, and potentially maintenance of the project for the US 77 Project from the north portion of Kingsville in Kleberg County, and continuing north along the existing US 77 facility, south of the city of Driscoll in Nueces County (MO) Bexar County - Authorize the department to issue a request for qualifications for the development, design, construction, and potentially maintenance for the Loop 1604 Project, preliminary limits from SH 16 (Bandera Road) to south of Wiseman Boulevard	52

additional funding of \$1.5 million to
Grand Parkway Association to conduct
environmental studies and additional work
to support the development of Segments E,
F-1, F-2 and G of the SH 99 (Grand Parkway)
From south of I-10 West in Harris County
to east of US 59 North in Montgomery County
(MO)

- b. Various Counties Approval to exceed the dollar limit and contract term restrictions in 43 TAC 9.39(b)(1) for the procurement under indefinite deliverable contracts of the services of right-of-way acquisition, procurement, engineering and general engineering consultants for projects developed under comprehensive development agreements, design-build agreements, or other innovatively funded or developed methods (MO)
- 12. State Infrastructure Bank
 Delta and Lamar Counties Sulphur River 66
 Regional Mobility Authority Consider granting
 final approval of an application from the
 Sulphur River Regional Mobility Authority to
 borrow, in two loans, an aggregate amount of
 \$4,428,039 from the State Infrastructure Bank
 (SIB) to pay for costs of right-of-way
 acquisition and utility relocation relating
 to the widening of SH 24 from FM 904 to FM 64
 (MO)
- 13. Contested Case
 Freestone County Lamar Advantage Outdoor 66
 Company, LP v. Texas Department of
 Transportation Consider action on an
 administrative law judge proposal for decision
 concerning cancellation of an outdoor
 advertising permit (MO)

14. Contracts

Award or reject contracts for maintenance, highway and building construction

- a. Highway Maintenance and Department
 Building Construction
 (see attached itemized list) (MO)
- b. Highway and Transportation 68
 Enhancement Building Construction
 (see attached itemized list) (MO)
- 15. Eminent Domain Proceedings 74
 Various Counties Authorize the filing of condemnation proceedings to acquire real

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

5/31/2012

68

75

property by eminent domain for non-controlled and controlled access highways (see attached list) (MO)

16. Routine Minute Orders

. Donations to the Department

- (1) Austin District Consider a donation from the Lower Colorado River Authority (LCRA) for the design, survey and construction of a deceleration lane and shoulder widening on SH 261 at the Black Rock Park entrance in Llano County (MO)
- (2) Bryan District Consider a donation from Mueller, Inc. for the design and construction of a deceleration right-turn lane from the SH 6 northbound frontage road to the new Mueller, Inc. facility (MO)
- (3) Houston District Consider a donation from HEB Grocery Company, LP, for the design and construction of a right-turn lane from US 290 to the new donor's facility and commercial development (MO)
- (4) Houston District Consider a donation from Lamar Consolidated Independent School District (LCISD) for the design and construction of a right-turn lane from FM 1093 to the new LCISD Building at the secondary access drive of the Satellite Transportation Center (MO)
- (5) Rail Division Consider a donation from BNSF Railway for 17,700 linear feet of 133 pound surplus rail to be used to construct a new interchange track and extend sidings on the South Orient Railroad (MO)

b. Right of Way Dispositions and Donations

- (1) **Burnet County** US 281 north of SH 71 Consider the quitclaim of surplus right of way to the county (MO)
- (2) Galveston County FM 646 from FM 517 to SH 6 - Consider the acceptance of a donation of land for a highway improvement project (MO)
- (3) Hays County I-35 at FM 3407 in San Marcos - Consider the sale of surplus right of way to an abutting landowner (MO)
- (4) **Leon County** FM 39 northwest of Jewett Consider the amendment of MO 110207, passed August 25, 2005 (MO)

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

- (5) Lubbock County US 62/82 west of
 University Avenue in Lubbock Consider the sale of surplus right of
 way to the abutting landowners (MO)
- (6) Navarro County FM 2859, old alignment at Richland Chambers Lake -Consider the sale of surplus right of way to the abutting landowners with reservation of an easement interest (MO)
- (7) Polk County Recreation Road 5 at FM 1988 at Lake Livingston Reservoir Consider removal from the system, transfer of control, jurisdiction and maintenance to the county and transfer of a surplus right way easement to the county (MO)
- (8) Throckmorton County US 380, 4 miles east of Throckmorton Consider the quitclaim of a surplus right of way easement to honor a reversionary clause (MO)
- c. Release of Access Rights Fort Bend County - I-10, immediately east of FM 1463 in Katy - Consider the designation of a location on the highway at which access will be permitted to the abutting property (MO)
- d. Highway Designations
 - (1) **Polk County** Designate FM 3488 on a new location along existing local routes from US 59 in the City of Corrigan to approximately 0.6 mile north of CR 111 (MO)
 - (2) Williamson County Redesignate a segment of RM 2338 as State Spur 158 in the City of Georgetown (MO)
- e. Reports
 Accept the annual Inspection Report for the Central Texas Turnpike System (MO)
- f. Speed Zones
 Various Counties Establish or alter
 regulatory and construction speed zones
 on various sections of highways in the
 state (MO)
- 17. Executive Session (none required) 76

 OPEN COMMENT PERIOD 76

 ADJOURN 79

1

PROCEEDINGS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HOUGHTON: Good morning. It is 9:01 a.m., and I call to order the regular May 31, last day of May, 2012 meeting of the Texas Transportation Commission.

Note for the record that public notice of this meeting, containing all items on the agenda, was filed with the Secretary of State at 4:33 p.m. on May 23, 2012.

I ask that before we begin today that you place all cell phones and other communication devices in the silent or off mode.

And if you wish to address the commission during today's meeting, please complete a speaker's card at the registration table in the lobby. To comment or register your opinion on an agenda item, please complete a yellow card and identify the agenda item. If not on an agenda item, we will take your comments in the open comment period and that will require a blue card. Regardless of the color, we need to have one filled out if you'd like to speak.

Before we open with comments from my fellow commissioners, I'd like to turn some time over to our executive director, Phil Wilson.

MR. WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I'd like to ask Jerral Wyer to come forward and provide a quick safety briefing. Jerral.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

MR. WYER: Thank you, Phil. For the record,

Jerral Wyer, director of the Occupational Safety Division.

First of all, I want to thank the commission

and our administration for making safety the number one

priority in this agency. Thank you for that.

Second, this morning I'd like to give a short briefing on our emergency response procedures for Building 200 East Riverside. First of all, if there's a medical emergency today, please report that to the guard and the guard will call 9-1-1 if necessary.

If there's a fire alert or alarm, please exit the west and main entrance to this building, and as you exit the doorway, turn right and walk toward the apartment complex. In the event that the exit on the west side is blocked, please walk to the east side of the building and walk out the exit doors and turn to your left and walk toward the apartment complex.

In the event we have a tornado alert or warning this morning, please stay in this room. If you're outside of this room in the break area, please make your way back into this room and stay until further notice.

If there's any other emergency, please listen to our security and facility staff because they will provide information as necessary.

MR. WILSON: With the safety briefing completed, I'll hand the gavel back to you, Mr. Chair, to complete opening remarks.

MR. HOUGHTON: Now we will hear from my fellow commissioners. Commissioner Austin.

MR. AUSTIN: Good morning, everyone. Glad to see everybody here. I know there's a lot of activity that's been going on the last week. I know there was a hearing the House Transportation Committee held looking at the impact of the Panama Canal on the state, and I was visiting yesterday with a retired admiral and he put it very simply: Look, when you come through the Panama Canal, you take a left and there's Texas. And I liked his concept to try to get the freight back up here.

Yesterday, Commissioner Underwood and I, he chairs the Audit Committee, and when you look at where this has come from, what we're doing, where we're going, really appreciate some of the things that are being put in place, but I just want to encourage to those across the agency and in the public to really understand that we're looking for best practices, to be good stewards of the state funds, also to identify best practices and there are a lot of them out there, and for those of you who have not seen any results, you'd be really proud of what's happening internally.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

At the hearing, we had an opportunity last week to tour the Port of Houston and to look at what's happening there, the activity. As we begin to go forward, looking at multimodal, the coordination with all of the ports in Texas, it really signifies what's happening with Interstate 69. That is our port-to-port connecting route, and I know we have coming up in the next couple of months a new report coming up on the early implementation, and I look forward to seeing that.

I thank you all for being here today.

MR. UNDERWOOD: Good morning. We don't have as many people in the front row; I feel like I'm in church.

I just wanted everybody to know today that TxDOT is on track to be the best, safest DOT in the nation. As of right now we're number one in terms of employee safety. And with the summer coming on, we just need to be really careful because that's the scariest time of the year for our employees that are out working the roads. So to our employees, please stay hydrated, stay sharp because we need you and your families need you, so be smart, be safe. Drive safe, everybody.

MR. HOLMES: Good morning. Just as a followup, we talked about this last month from the safety standpoint, even though we have made great improvements, last year we still had 100 workers on the roadway killed, ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

and it's a stunning number. A portion of those are TxDOT, but quite a lot of them are private contractor employees.

And so just to reinforce Commissioner Underwood's statement about drive safely, distracted driving is one of the great risks and we need to be really focused on that.

Austin's mention of the Panama Canal hearing held by the House Transportation Committee in Houston last week, I think it was important to note, and Commissioner Austin's testimony there and Phil Wilson's testimony there that in point of fact, our ports are not ready. The canal is going to be able to accept of 50-foot draft vessels. We have no ports currently in Texas that will allow that. We have two that are either authorized or very close to being authorized, Corpus and Freeport, but we are a long way away from having a 50-foot draft port in practicality, and so we've got quite a lot of work to do.

A very significant portion of the funding for deep draft below 45 feet comes from the federal government, and as you speak with and see our federal representatives, our U.S. congressmen and senators, remind them of the fact that we need additional funding for our ports, not just to deepen their draft but to maintain the project depth that already exists. And then TxDOT needs to continue to focus on the land transportation system

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

5/31/2012

that connects the ports to the markets in Texas and the 1 rest of the U.S. 2 3 I thank you for being here. Appreciate all of 4 your interest in the activities of TxDOT and your support for it. Thanks. 5 6 MR. HOUGHTON: Thank you, commissioners. 7 A lot of activity on the coast. By God's 8 grace, geographically we sit in a very, very favorable 9 place. 10 Mr. Wilson, have you noticed how quiet it is up 11 here today? It's been very quiet. 12 MR. WILSON: I don't know why, Mr. Chairman. 13 MR. HOUGHTON: I just wondered is it me. I'm amiss at the silence. 14 15 (General talking and laughter.) MR. HOUGHTON: With that, we'll move on and the 16 first order of business is to approve the minutes of the 17 April 26, 2012 commission meeting. Members, there's a 18 19 draft you've been provided in your briefing materials. Ι there a motion? 20 21 MR. UNDERWOOD: So moved. 22 MR. HOLMES: Second. MR. HOUGHTON: All in favor? 23 24 (A chorus of ayes.) 25 MR. HOUGHTON: Thanks. Phil, it's all yours. ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

(512) 450-0342

MR. WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Our first agenda item today includes two resolutions to recognize Toribio Garza, Jr. and Scott D. Burford, who both will be retiring from TxDOT. I would like to invite Toribio to come forward first so we may present his resolution.

Good morning.

"Whereas, the Texas Transportation Commission takes great pride in recognizing Toribio Garza, Jr., P.E. for his outstanding service to the Texas Department of Transportation and the State of Texas after a long and distinguished career of 26 years;

"And whereas, Garza earned a bachelor's degree in civil engineering from the University of Texas at Austin in 1986 and became a licensed professional engineer in 1991;

"And whereas, he held various positions in the Pharr and Corpus Christi districts, then becoming Pharr District's director of maintenance in 1999 and holding that position until he was named deputy director of the Maintenance Division in 2007 and director in 2009;

"And whereas, Garza ably led numerous

maintenance efforts, including statewide emergency

operations, managing facility and security needs

statewide, and conducting district maintenance operations

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

5/31/2012

peer reviews, as well as overseeing the department's pavement management plan;

"And whereas, Garza was a member of the TxDOT team that received the 2011 International Road Federation's Global Road Achievement Award, IRFGAA, for TxDOT's pavement management program;

"And whereas, he was widely respected by his staff for his dedication, selflessness, trustworthiness, honesty, problem-solving ability and common sense approach;

"And whereas, Garza will be remembered for his important contributions to the growing role of the Maintenance Division during state emergency events and for his 'Think Maintenance' motto, a message he spread to encourage long-term, forward thinking among maintenance offices;

"And whereas, he led by example of what it means to be guardians of the road, proactively doing what it took to keep roads safe while promoting the value of taking ownership of this important public service;

"Now, therefore, be it resolved that the Texas

Transportation Commission does hereby extend its sincere

best wishes to Toribio Garza in recognition of his

considerable professional achievements in a career of

loyal service to TxDOT on behalf of the State of Texas and

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

5/31/2012

its citizens.

"Presented this day, Thursday, the 31st of May, 2012."

Are there any comments from the commission?

MR. AUSTIN: I have one. The things that

you've been involved in, maintenance, emergency

preparedness, these are things that a lot of the public do

not have the opportunity to see the face behind these good

things that take place that make it safe for our citizens

across the state. I just want to say thank you and good

best wishes.

MR. UNDERWOOD: I'll miss your smiling face.

Thank you for what you do. It's not a glamorous job,

maintenance, but I really appreciate what you do for the

taxpayers of Texas because you keep the roads safe for

them and you protect their investment. So thank you very

much for what you do and your staff.

MR. HOLMES: We're going to miss you, Toribio. Thank you for all you've done.

MR. HOUGHTON: Toribio, I guess a couple of years ago it was brought to my attention that the truckers of the country rated Texas as the best roads in the country, and it's by no accident because of people like you, and I thank you for your dedicated service to this agency. And congratulations and good luck in the future.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

5/31/2012

MR. WILSON: Toribio, would you like to say a 1 2 few words? 3 Sure. How much do I have, about an MR. GARZA: 4 hour and a half? 5 MR. WILSON: It's your party. 6 (General laughter.) 7 It has been an honor and a great MR. GARZA: privilege to work with the Texas Department of 8 9 Transportation. I has been an honor and a great privilege 10 to be a part of the rich history that our 95-year-old agency has experienced. A lot of people in this room and 11 12 a lot of people all over the state contributed to the fine 13 transportation system that we have, and it's been an honor for me to have been part of that. 14 15 I want to thank a lot of people, I won't names, 16 but a lot of people have helped me in my career back in 17 Corpus and in the Valley and here in Austin, and I thank 18 I want to thank our employees, hundreds of them.

but a lot of people have helped me in my career back in Corpus and in the Valley and here in Austin, and I thank them. I want to thank our employees, hundreds of employees statewide that every day they continue to design and build and maintain the best transportation system in the country. And Chairman, you alluded to that. That's the true test in my mind is the truck drivers that rate the roads. We can brag about it all day about how we have the best system, but truck drivers, that says something.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

employees that Commissioner Underwood mentioned and Commissioner Austin, that they're out there every day, quietly but very pridefully maintaining our system as best as we can in a safe manner. And my hat's off to them.

They tell me that we continue to grow as a state by a thousand new Texans every day, that's adding the City of San Antonio every three years, and while we all understand those challenges and what that does to our system, more congestion and more delays and more of everything, more pavement to stress, many times people aren't mindful that that's a lot more complaints, that's a lot more drop-offs, that's a lot more signs that get hit and bridges that get hit, that's a lot more dead rabbits on the road.

(General laughter.)

MR. GARZA: There's a lot more of everything because our state is growing, and all of that stuff, to start to address is originates in our maintenance sections in our area offices, and they quietly do a great job every day, and so my hat's off to our employees.

Again, thank you for your support, thank you for what you do for this agency, good luck to you all, and may God continue to bless the Texas Department of Transportation. Thank you.

MR. WILSON: Thank you, Toribio. If you'll

ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

(512) 450-0342

stand by.

(Applause.)

MR. WILSON: Next I would like to have Scott Burford move to the podium and be recognized for his service.

Good morning, Scott.

"Whereas, the Texas Transportation Commission takes great pride in recognizing Scott D. Burford as an outstanding, dedicated administrator who has served the Texas Department of Transportation for more than 30 years.

"And whereas, Burford, a native of Austin, graduated from Southwest Texas State University in 1980 with a degree in business administration, and began his TxDOT career in 1981 with the former Automation Division, coordinating administrative support service programs;

"And whereas, he was named director of the former Information Systems Division Resource Management and Procurement Services Section in 1998, and became director of the General Services Division in 2003, where he's administered diverse support programs including purchasing and contracting, supply chain management and online information services;

"And whereas, Burford, in his distinguished career has served as interim chief programs officer since November 2011, overseeing various technical and ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

5/31/2012

administrative program under the agency's new 1 2 organizational structure; 3 "And whereas, Governor Rick Perry appointed 4 Burford to serve on the Texas Council on Purchasing for People with Disabilities in 2005; 5 6 "And whereas, in his retirement Burford plans to stay busy and find more time for hunting, fishing and 7 playing golf; 8 "And whereas, Burford has devoted his 9 10 professional life to improving the quality of life for all 11 Texas; 12 "Now, therefore, be it resolved that the Texas 13 Transportation Commission does hereby extend its sincere best wishes to Scott D. Burford in recognition of his 14 professional achievements in a career of loyal services on 15 behalf of the State of Texas and its citizens." 16 17 Are there any comments from the commission? MR. AUSTIN: Thank you very much for what 18 19 you've done. I know in your area you've seen a lot of changes during your career, and thank you for your loyalty 20 21 in your tenure. 22 MR. UNDERWOOD: Scott, thank you for being behind-the-scenes support of all the men and women of 23 TxDOT for all you've done for us, and wish you the best in 24 25 the future. Thank you, sir.

(512) 450-0342

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

MR. HOLMES: Hunting and fishing, Scott, you're 1 2 kind of right down my alley. Thank you for all you've 3 done and best of luck in the future. 4 MR. HOUGHTON: The question is how much can you hunt and fish, how many things can you kill. 5 6 Congratulations, Scott. 7 MR. UNDERWOOD: The answer is there's not enough hours in the day. 8 (General laughter.) 9 10 MR. HOUGHTON: I hear you. Thanks a lot. 11 There's a lot of unsung heroes in this agency and you are 12 one of them that doesn't get a lot of the accolades but 13 keeps the lights on, the place going, the wheels greased, 14 and I appreciate your service to the agency. Thank you. 15 MR. WILSON: Scott, would you like to say a few 16 words? 17 MR. BURFORD: Toribio is a tough act to follow, 18 isn't he? 19 First, I'd like to thank the department for all the opportunities to help others in support of the folks 20 in the field. I think that's where the heart of the 21 22 agency is. With respect to change that the department has 23 24 gone through, the department has gone through lots of 25 change that I've seen in the last 30 years. This most ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

(512) 450-0342

5/31/2012

recent change I think is very good. I think it's going to 1 2 make a great agency greater, and I was really excited to 3 be part of that. 4 And I'd like to recognize my new employer, if that's appropriate, my wife Angie, and my daughter Erin. 5 6 (General laughter and applause.) MR. BURFORD: And with that, thank you very 7 much, all of you. 8 9 (Applause; pause for presentations and photos.) 10 MR. WILSON: Now moving to our next item, 11 Shannon Crum, our Data Management director, will present 12 discussion item 4a regarding strategies for engaging the 13 public in establishing and development mobility and investment priorities. Shannon. 14 MS. CRUM: Good morning, commissioners. For 15 16 the record, my name is Shannon Crum and I'm with the Transportation Planning and Programming Division. 17 In Rider 42 to the department's current 18 19 appropriation, the 82nd Legislature directed the Texas Transportation Institute to facilitate and coordinate 20 21 studies in the four most congested areas of the state in 22 order to identify transportation projects that will have the greatest impact on congestion, economic benefits, user 23 24 costs, safety and pavement quality. A key element of this 25 charge was that TTI should work to ensure open and

(512) 450-0342

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

transparent public participation throughout the project identification process.

This morning, Tim Lomax, senior research engineer at TTI, is here to present an overview of the key principles and best practices they use for public engagement that foster informed, engaged decision-making by all of TxDOT's partners.

MR. LOMAX: Thank you, Shannon. Thank you, commissioners. For the record, Tim Lomax with the Texas Transportation Institute.

So the work that we've done, not me so much, but Bernie Fette and Tina Geiselbrecht from our staff, has involved a tremendous amount of cooperation and coordination with not only your department but also the MPOs around the state and other transportation partners. I think what they've found is that there's a lot of effort going on right now that we would characterize as sort of on the left side of this diagram, there's a lot of informing and consulting and some involving.

If you look around the country at the best practices and even the best practices within Texas, we tend to see more effort on the right side of the diagram in the collaborating and empowering, and I think when we look at the future that we have in transportation, that's really where we think the department and the

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

5/31/2012

transportation world ought to go, that we should be involving people in the discussion, collaborating with them and designing the projects so that we get their support when we ask for more funding and project approval.

So our charge was to look at a number of elements. To ensure open and transparent public participation is what we're talking about today, but the other three things really involve a lot of public participation as well, it's not just do the public participation, it's make sure that that gets nested in all the things that we're trying to identify in terms of selecting projects and identifying funding mechanisms.

So the report that we submitted identifies the key principles, some best practices, we identify some case studies and provide you with some information. I thought I'd go over a few of those today. Again, this comes as a result of a lot of work by your department, your staff and other transportation agencies around the state that we've talked to, so by no means is this a TTI only effort.

When we look at recommendations, we've got eight of them specifically listed. A number of these comes from these case studies and come from the best practices that we've seen in Texas and across the country.

The first ones sort of talk about starting the discussion, and I think this is really where we need to do ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

a better job of making this personal to the people of the State of Texas. We need to get to the point where they understand the value of transportation like they understand the value of schooling or food or other aspects of their life. I think agencies that do a really good job of this capitalize on events, the Minneapolis bridge collapse, special events that involve transportation, all of these are ways that transportation can tell the story of their value to society.

When we talk about sustaining the discussion, I think that we've got some really good examples.

Commissioner Austin, you were involved in the Loop 49 discussion in Tyler where we had the chamber talking to the Rotary talking to the civic associations. That's the kind of way that I think we can really get more active involvement from the community, it's not just holding a big public meeting, it's capitalizing on a number of different opportunities.

We talk about what we should do or sort of the strategy. I think obviously we need to develop some messages that work, but again, personalizing those, targeting them to the problem, and then making sure that we understand how those are being received and adjust those. Clearly, we need to convey a sense of urgency and need that's supported by fact, but that fact has to be ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

felt by the community, those terms have to resonate, and I think when we talk about messages, they need to be messages that are obviously fact-based, but they also have to be maybe a little more personal than an engineer like me is sort of trained to do. I think that's really where we see some huge benefit in looking at other agencies, other examples.

Minnesota Department of Transportation uses a panel of people that they poll regularly on issues to find out what they think, how they perceive transportation as a part of the economy, as a part of improving the quality of life.

When we look at the way these messages get transmitted, it's really important that we find partners. We can't just always be the transportation people coming to the community. A lot of times it's a lot more effective if it's the mayor or the business community, the football coach if he's having a winning record, if he's on his way out, maybe that's not the guy you want to get on your side, but I think finding ways to get this as part of the public discussion is really where we see a huge benefit when we look around the state.

You have been doing this on, for example, the My 35 project where you've got a big corridor but you've broken it up into segments, you've got folks in the

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

5/31/2012

communities along the corridor that are engaged in the discussion. Imagine Austin here in Austin did a really good job of involving the public. Involving decision—makers, crafting a vision that everybody can get behind so that we've got strategies that are oriented at finding people where they are and talking to them there, so 50 small neighborhood meetings might be better than one big meeting. Strategies like that are ones that I think the public is starting to expect.

I think part of that comes from the technology that we've got access to, but part of it also just comes from the evolution of using that technology and conveying information. I think part of the response is to provide adequate resources, people, time, effort, support, institutional support. I think one of the things that we heard that it's probably going to work better if we get the communications people involved with the project development staff, the folks doing design, right of way, environmental, we get them involved early on.

It probably doesn't have to be the same level of effort on every project. You know, big projects like the North Tarrant Express, the bigger projects, Katy Freeway in Houston, those are going to require a tremendous amount of public involvement, but we can point to smaller projects that have probably not succeeded as ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

well as they could if we had had more communication involved early on where we knew here's what the community issues are, here's the ideas that the community has about this project and the things that we need to make sure that our design, our planning addresses but also our messaging addresses as well.

So to conclude, I think we see this as a series of questions that need to be answered, and some of these are department, some of these are State of Texas, national questions but many of them are individual project, individual program kinds of discussions. We need to make sure that people understand the urgency of the problem, we need to make sure that they understand the problem in ways that they understand how to react to, but we also need to understand at the project and corridor level what kinds of projects are going to address the problems, how are they going to get funded, do the people trust that that funding and that project is going to get delivered on time and is going to be a success, how do we make that connection much more personal.

As I said, there's more detail in the report and we've been over the report with several members of your staff, and look forward to working with you some more on advancing these issues.

Questions?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

MR. HOUGHTON: Tim, what's the action item here? What's next?

MR. LOMAX: I think a couple of things. One is think about this issue of nesting the communications people or having them work with the design, right of way, environmental staff earlier on. So that's an action for you to consider, for Mr. Wilson to consider in terms of structural elements. I think in working through projects, if you get that to happen and then back that up with some resource issues, I don't know exactly how much money you ought to spend, but if 80 to 90 percent of the project is about how the public is going to be supportive of the project, you've probably got to spend more than 1 percent of your budget on making sure they understand the problem, the solution and get that messaging right.

I think the effort is going to vary, but working through this checklist or this answering the questions I think is the right way to go at that. Making sure that those issues get addressed earlier on is better. That's why we're talking about involving the staff, communications folks at an earlier date.

MR. HOUGHTON: In the information here that I'm looking at issues, it talks about increase public awareness of transportation funding challenges, work to build consensus on solutions, illustrate consensus,

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

5/31/2012

consequences of no change. I better be careful about what I say, but it sounds like lobbying to me, Coby, almost. And of course, we've got to go across the street and say here are the issues or challenges, we've been doing this forever. How do you build this consensus or how do you propose to build this consensus in the public that there need to be more resources to all modes of transportation?

MR. LOMAX: I'm going to give you my view. I'm sure there are other people who have informed views as well. You mentioned lobbying. There's a big gray area that we could call lobbying, but I think we in transportation do a terrible job of connecting people with their improved quality of life and our role in transportation and how it makes that happen. So I think there's a lot of information, a lot of connection.

When you send your kid to college, I think people intrinsically know what the value of that college education is. I don't know what they have that same connection with transportation, and yet think about people's choice where to live, where to work, that involves transportation. It may not be the number one thing on their list, and certainly in the economy right now it's not the number one thing on their list, but it's certainly a part of that discussion and certainly a part of what's allowed Texas to be economically prosperous and ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

to provide a really good quality of life for a lot of people. We have a pretty good transportation network that allows them to make choices that don't rely on making sure that I'm close to the train so that I can make my hour and a half trip to work.

MR. HOUGHTON: Any other questions?

MR. AUSTIN: I really appreciate your slides and condensing this down.

MR. LOMAX: I had a lot of help. I've got a Ph.D. so I start at 60 slides and work up from there.

(General laughter.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. AUSTIN: I can appreciate that.

I had a chance to visit with a chamber of commerce. They were beginning to form a transportation committee, and a lot of these questions came up, and initially, three or four different people had three or four different projects they were concerned about. And I kind of just stepped back and said, What you can do is be a facilitator, to help the district engineer and the local office, ultimately it would help us, is build the consensus, what are those priorities. So there's a lot of public involvement and engagement at the local level, because one question I always ask is there a consensus of the need, is it safety, is it expansion, is it economic development, is it connectivity, what is the consensus.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

And you look at some of the successful communities in developing projects and coming up with the concept and moving them forward, they've reached a consensus. And I think at all levels, whether it's the city, the chamber, the county, school districts, businesses, the COG, the MPO, elected officials, everybody has a voice. And where there's a public hearing, you know, sometimes we tend to focus on the negative comments because all comments do count, but we also want to hear every comment that says we support this and why. These comments do count and public involvement, whether it's safety, we like this long-range, your comments do count, and I think this is a very good template for us to share also when we're asked what can we do to advance a project.

MR. LOMAX: I think that really goes to the chairman's point too, that an engaged public is one where the few people who have a problem with the project aren't the only ones that show up. The people who commute through a corridor are there to make their wishes, desires, needs known and not just the folks who are motivated. Let's face it, the motivated folks show up. Public engagement, the kinds of issues that we're talking about here, are the way to get more people engaged in the discussion and designing the project, making sure that people know that they're willing to support the funding.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

5/31/2012

MR. AUSTIN: For my role, we need the help of 1 2 the public, we can't do it without, because they're the 3 eyes and ears, they travel the roads that we don't. 4 appreciate the help that the public does generate and bring us solutions as well. 5 6 MR. LOMAX: Absolutely. Tim, thank you. 7 MR. HOUGHTON: MR. WILSON: Mr. Chairman and commissioners, 8 9 you're aware of this, but for the audience, we have taken 10 a lot of the thought processes and patterns on embedding public involvement into communications, so it's part of 11 12 that overall organizational chart, for our audience now. 13 And we appreciate the thought our partner TTI has put into this effort. Thank you very much. 14 15 MR. LOMAX: Look forward to working with you. 16 MR. WILSON: Thank you. Moving to item 4b, our chief financial officer, 17 James Bass, will provide an update regarding the 18 19 development of TxDOT's LAR. James. 20 MR. BASS: Thank you. Good morning. Again for the record, I'm James Bass, chief financial officer at 21 22 TXDOT. 23 This morning I want to give you a brief update 24 on where we're at in the development of our appropriations 25 request that we anticipate to be due at the end of August. ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

(512) 450-0342

You'll recall the two primary parts of the LAR are the baseline request and the exceptional items. In our current approach on the baseline request, looking at the State Highway Fund, it's to assume that the level of the appropriation to all other agencies that received from the State Highway Fund remains flat, and then request the remainder of the projected revenues to the Highway Fund to TxDOT for our various programs.

In the general revenue funding element of the LAR, our approach is to request the required debt service for any of the Proposition 12 bonds that will have been issued at that point, and then also a continuation of a small amount of general revenue that goes to rail safety.

When we move to the exceptional items request, again starting with the State Highway Fund, the approach that we've done in the past couple of sessions is to include as one of the exceptional item requests the additional projects that could be delivered if the legislature so chose to reduce the amount of appropriations out of the Highway Fund to certain other agencies, if those were to be redirected to the department and our programs, what could be accomplished.

Another exceptional item request we plan to put in there is centered around the uncertainty of what the Federal Aid Highway Program is going to look like going

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

5/31/2012

forward. So if Congress acts and continues this elevated level of funding, we would have that represented as an exceptional item and again showing which programs and projects would move forward through that.

For the general revenue portion of the exceptional items request, we would anticipate to ask for additional debt service for future issuances of Prop 12. As the \$5 billion program and all of those projects continue to progress and the payouts increase, obviously we'll be issuing additional bonds that will require debt service. And the administration is also considering a couple of requests for increased funding for public transportation and rail at the moment.

Another key element in the overall appropriations request will be the full-time equivalents for the department, and here you see going back to 2007 the approved FTE allocations from the legislature for TxDOT, you can see that through the commission's leadership last session we reduced the number of FTEs in the department to just over 12,000 and the anticipation is for 2014 and 2015 to make the request at that same level.

Another key item is the capital budget items, and you've heard a lot about this through our modernization efforts, and we would anticipate at this point we're seeing requests from the districts and the ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

various division higher than our baseline in '12 and '13 in these three different categories. The first one, information technology, this is not news to anyone in this room, I think, that the department has operated under outdated IT systems that have made it difficult for us to not only communicate internally but also externally, and so there's very likely in the final LAR, or the draft that's presented to the commission, you'll see an increased level of funding for information technology.

Along the same lines on equipment, we're seeing through our general services division and different replacement criteria that they go through that looks at the level of maintenance that's being spent on pieces of equipment, the number of miles and years that they've been utilized, it's time for a lot of those pieces of equipment to be updated and to be replaced, and so again, we would anticipate seeing a bump in the request in that area.

The third major piece of capital budget is the buildings and the facilities that we have across the state, and it may include new facilities or repair to a lot of our existing facilities, and once again, the requests and information shows a need to address some critical safety items and energy savings and different things in our facilities, and we would anticipate a bump in our funding level for that as well.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I promised a brief update. I hope that was brief but still informative. I'd be happy to answer any questions you may have on where we're at on our preparation of the LAR.

MR. AUSTIN: I've got a guestion. I want to focus on IT just for a minute, and I kind of want to turn to Phil, if I may. You know, Phil, we've been here about the same time, you've been here for several months, coming in from outside and coming in and looking at systems to be able to ask for reports, respond, whether it's to members of the legislature, members of the public, how has it been to be able to pull the reports and get the systems to talk in an instant basis, regardless of what it is.

MR. WILSON: In an instant basis, it's impossible. And we've had this conversation, many of us, but when you have a mainframe that was built when Ronald Reagan was president -- and people think I'm making a joke when I say that, but it really was built when Ronald Reagan was president -- and we have a complexity of systems. And James and I talked the other day, we have basically a Harry Potter algorithm that four people understand that they get in the magic room and they can plug in the code in our financial data. And if those four guys were in a car wreck and were in the hospital for a month, we'd shut down, because we've had this bolt-on, ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

Frankenstein system that's been built year after year. 1 2 MR. HOUGHTON: Don't sugar coat any of this. 3 (General laughter.) 4 MR. WILSON: I know. In all honesty, on top of that, Mr. Chairman, it is truly our greatest internal need 5 6 from a technical standpoint, and we have a mission critical problem we have to address. And I commend Louis 7 Carr and the activities that he's done to try to lead us 8 9 out of this with the resources we have, and the people 10 that we're repositioning with our resources and with the 11 technology that we have, I think we're doing a lot. But 12 our challenge is we're resource constrained, and when 13 you're resource constrained there's only so much you can do in that effort, even with the best leadership. 14 15 And we're focused very diligently both on the 16 mainframe, software applications, our FIM system which is 17 our financial data reporting system and the complexity of 18 issues to have to maintain a set of Excel books in a 19 district office apart from FIM so you can get the answer. I mean, that's kind of the worst position government can 20 21 ever be in when you're trying to respond to the public. 22 So that's a long answer to your question, 23 Commissioner, on where we are. MR. AUSTIN: Well, that hits to the heart of 24

I look back at the independent studies that were ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

25

done, the Grant Thornton report, and this is one of the things that came out is to really look and focus at IT, so this has been identified not only internally but externally. And I guess the challenge going forward is to make sure when we come up with the request is let's get it right and fix it, let's don't try to patch it the best we can.

But in trying to be good stewards and be efficient and have a more responsive agency to the public, to whomever, it's going to mean having systems that integrate and talk to one another, be able to get the information. But also, we've got thousands of employees that we're going to have to educate and train and make sure they're up to speed on this as well.

And I have had a chance to visit with Louis and really proud of what he's doing with the initiatives. One of the initiatives that they're looking at migrating from one system to another is going to automatically save some money, and we like hearing that, and I think he's to be commended with his whole team as well.

MR. UNDERWOOD: A little bit of a summation for the public. TxDOT is more than just a road builder, we also provide information, whether it be to the legislature, to the commission, to our fellow employees, and we need the proper IT equipment to be able to do that ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

in a timely manner. We need to put down the Big Chief 1 2 Tablet and the Number 2 pencil and get into the 21st 3 Century. MR. WILSON: Yes, sir. 4 MR. HOUGHTON: James, thanks. 5 6 MR. BASS: Thank you. Item 5 is the awarding of Aviation 7 MR. WILSON: grants. Aviation Division Director Dave Fulton will 8 9 present this minute order. 10 MR. FULTON: Thank you, Phil. For the record, my name is Dave Fulton, director of TxDOT's Aviation 11 12 Division. 13 This minute order contains a request for grant funding approval for five airport improvement projects and 14 15 cancellation of one previously approved grant. The total 16 estimated cost of all requests, as shown in Exhibit A, is approximately \$10.2 million: approximately \$8.6 million 17 18 in federal funding, \$600,000 in state funding, and 19 approximately \$1 million in local funding. A public hearing was held on April 19, no 20 comments were received. We would recommend approval of 21 22 this minute order. 23 MR. HOUGHTON: Questions? 24 MR. UNDERWOOD: Dave, we've talked about this 25 before. Addison, is that one of the busiest airports in ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

the country? Is that correct? 1 2 MR. FULTON: It is. It's at least in the top 3 five, I don't know the exact ranking, for general 4 aviation/reliever airports in the nation. MR. UNDERWOOD: It's considered a reliever for 5 6 Isn't that correct? 7 MR. FULTON: Exactly, and Love Field. MR. UNDERWOOD: And Love. So this is very 8 9 needed, what they need. 10 MR. FULTON: Absolutely, yes, sir. I think the FAA saw that since, as I mentioned earlier in some notes 11 12 to you, they provided almost \$5 million in discretionary 13 funding for this project, in addition to our state apportionment funding. 14 15 MR. HOUGHTON: Additional questions? Motion? 16 MR. HOLMES: Motion. MR. AUSTIN: Second. 17 MR. HOUGHTON: All in favor? 18 19 (A chorus of ayes.) 20 MR. HOUGHTON: Thanks, Dave. 21 MR. FULTON: Thank you. 22 MR. WILSON: Item 6a is the awarding of federal funds for FY 2013, coordinated call for projects and 23 transportation development credits. Eric Gleason, 24 25 director of the Public Transportation Division will ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

present. Eric, item 6a.

MR. GLEASON: Good morning. For the record, I'm Eric Gleason, TxDOT's director of Public Transportation.

Agenda item 6a awards approximately \$9.5 million in federal funds and 604,000 transportation development credits for selected projects submitted in the department's annual coordinated call for transit projects. Federal Transit Administration Intercity Bus and Job Access and Reverse Commute program funds are awarded in this minute order.

Each year the department publishes a request for proposals for transit projects funded from a variety of different FTA programs. This year's RFP was published in the *Texas Register* on August 5, 2011, proposals were due by December 1 of 2011.

To date, Congress has only authorized threefourths of the funds for federal fiscal year 2012
programs. This minute order reflects project awards for
funds that are currently available. When the remaining
fiscal year 2012 funding is available, additional projects
will be brought to the commission for approval.

Today's awards are a combination of funding for intercity bus service operations and for projects associated with assisting lower income individuals get to ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

5/31/2012

jobs and job training activities. Transportation 1 development credits are used in lieu of local match for 2 3 eliqible capital program expenses such as fleet 4 procurement, preventative maintenance, planning and the 5 purchase of IT equipment. 6 These projects contribute to the department's goal to connect Texas communities, and staff recommends 7 your approval of this minute order. 8 9 MR. HOUGHTON: We have a speaker who would like 10 to address the commission. Javier Bañales, executive officer, LULAC Project Amistad. Javier, welcome. 11 12 MR. BAÑALES: Good morning, Ted. How are you? 13 MR. HOUGHTON: Nice seeing you. MR. BAÑALES: Nice seeing you. 14 15 Good morning, gentlemen. My name is Javier 16 Bañales. I'm the chief executive officer for LULAC Project Amistad in El Paso, Texas. 17 Our agency is a social service agency. 18 19 agency provides a number of social services, but without transportation for the recipients of services, the social 20 21 services that our agency would provide, along with many 22 other social service agencies throughout the state, would not be as efficient as it is today. 23 24 Our agency provides guardianship services 25 through the Department of Aging and Disability Services,

(512) 450-0342

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

we have contracts with Adult Protective Services, we were recently awarded an award letter from Center for Medicare/Medicaid Services to provide services to Medicare beneficiaries in hospitals.

But I'm here today wearing the hat of an agency director that has transportation as its main purpose, because without transportation, as I mentioned, a lot of the recipients of services could not receive those services, low income individuals, especially those in the rural areas of not only El Paso but throughout the state.

There is a continuing need, there is really a desperate need to continue to provide transportation through the Job Access and Reverse Commute programs and through the New Freedom program which provides transportation to persons in need. Each year thousands and thousands of individuals receive transportation services through these programs.

And I wanted to just follow up a little bit and share with you that what I see at our level when we, as the agencies that receive services, is the professional, the highly dedicated work and the high quality work that Mr. Eric Gleason and his staff provide not just to our agency but to all the other agencies throughout the state. We attend a number of seminars, workshops, annual meetings with the recipients of service agencies and we see the ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

support that is provided.

Their effort is to ensure that the funds that are awarded at the local level, whether it is to an entity such as a not for profit agency or a rural transit district, that it is spent in the best way possible, that the goals are met. They monitor, they audit, they provide support, and they're a great resource to use to ensure that the services that are provided certainly meet the expectations and the goals of the program. So I just wanted to comment a little bit about the work that I have seen with Mr. Gleason as the director of Public Transportation, and certainly the rest of his staff.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MR. HOUGHTON: Thank you, Javier.

Motion?

MR. UNDERWOOD: So moved.

MR. AUSTIN: Second.

MR. HOUGHTON: All in favor?

(A chorus of ayes.)

MR. HOUGHTON: Passes. Eric.

MR. GLEASON: Thank you.

Agenda item 6b awards up to \$15,000 in state

funds to the Concho Valley Transit District to assist with

the funding of building modifications to a multimodal

transit facility to better accommodate intercity bus

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

5/31/2012

carrier operations. The multimodal facility is located in San Angelo and was constructed using a variety of federal program funds, including Intercity Bus and Recovery Act funding. Modifications were agreed upon during a TxDOT site visit to the facility in March of this year.

This facility allows for connections between rural and urban services provided by the Concho Valley

This facility allows for connections between rural and urban services provided by the Concho Valley

Transit District and intercity carriers, such as Kerrville Bus, to other Texas destinations including the Dallas-Fort Worth area and San Antonio.

Staff recommends approval of this minute order.

MR. HOUGHTON: Any questions? Motion?

MR. HOLMES: So moved.

MR. HOUGHTON: Second.

MR. HOUGHTON: All in favor?

(A chorus of ayes.)

MR. WILSON: Eric will continue with item 6c awarding federal and state funds to Southwest Area Regional Transit District. Eric.

MR. GLEASON: Agenda item 6c awards the remaining balance of Community Council of Southwest Texas active grant contract shown in Exhibit A to the Southwest Area Regional Transit District. This award follows action by the community council on May 22 to separate out rural transit district functions from the activities of the ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

5/31/2012

community council. Southwest Regional Transit District 1 2 will officially continue as the rural transit district 3 providing rural transit service in Edwards, Real, Kinney 4 Uvalde, Maverick, Zavala, Dimmit, and La Salle counties. Staff recommends your approval of this minute 5 6 order. 7 Questions? Motion? MR. HOUGHTON: MR. HOLMES: Motion. 8 9 MR. UNDERWOOD: Second. 10 MR. HOUGHTON: All in favor? 11 (A chorus of ayes.) MR. HOUGHTON: Thanks, Eric. 12 13 MR. GLEASON: Thank you. MR. WILSON: Item 7a is the final adoption of 14 15 amendments to Chapter 6, State Infrastructure Bank. Brian Ragland, Finance Division director will present. Brian. 16 MR. RAGLAND: For the record, I'm Brian 17 Ragland, director of the Finance Division. 18 19 Item 7a is a minute order which makes changes to the rules related to the State Infrastructure Bank, or 20 21 the SIB. These amendments include removing references to 22 loans made from general obligation bond proceeds, as that 23 presumed capitalization SIB, as you know, never took 24 place. We're also shortening the process and simplifying 25 the rules for the majority of borrowers.

(512) 450-0342

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

1	We did receive comments on the proposed rules.
2	Those comments recommended a \$10 million threshold for
3	the loans which would need to come to the commission in
4	two separate meetings. We agree with those comments and
5	those changes have been incorporated here.
6	And finally, we're making some minor cleanup in
7	language that sometimes adds confusion for both borrowers
8	and internal staff, and staff recommends your approval.
9	MR. HOUGHTON: Questions? Motion?
10	MR. HOLMES: Motion.
11	MR. UNDERWOOD: Second.
12	MR. HOUGHTON: All in favor?
13	(A chorus of ayes.)
14	MR. HOUGHTON: Thank you.
15	MR. RAGLAND: Thank you.
16	MR. WILSON: The next item is item 7b(1), the
17	proposed adoption of amendments to Chapter 4, Employment
18	Practices. Chief people officer, Dee Porter, will
19	present. Dee.
20	MS. PORTER: Good morning, gentlemen, and thank
21	you. For the record, my name is Dee Porter.
22	Today we're asking to repeal one of our rules,
23	4.16. It has to do with veterans employment preferences.
24	The reason we're requesting this repeal is because this
25	item is already covered in Government Code, Chapter 657,
	ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

(512) 450-0342

and does not need to be repeated in department rules. 1 2 Staff requests your support of this proposal. 3 MR. HOUGHTON: Ouestions? Motion? 4 MR. HOLMES: Motion. MR. HOUGHTON: 5 Second. 6 MR. HOUGHTON: All in favor? 7 (A chorus of ayes.) 8 MR. HOUGHTON: Thank you. 9 MS. PORTER: Thank you. 10 MR. WILSON: Item 7b(2), the proposed adoption of amendments to Chapter 15, Financing and Construction of 11 12 Transportation Projects, will be presented by Finance 13 Division Director Brian Ragland. MR. RAGLAND: thank you. Again for the record, 14 Brian Ragland, director of the Finance Division. 15 16 This minute order relates to language in the rules which requires an annual financial audit be 17 18 conducted on the financials of transportation 19 corporations. The proposed rule amendment would exclude corporations from this requirement for which the board is 20 21 comprised of TxDOT employees. And staff recommends your 22 approval. MR. HOUGHTON: Questions? Motion? 23 24 MR. UNDERWOOD: So moved. 25 MR. AUSTIN: Second. ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

1	MR. HOUGHTON: All in favor?
2	(A chorus of ayes.)
3	MR. RAGLAND: Thank you.
4	MR. WILSON: Item 7c will be presented by Bob
5	Jackson, and it relates to rule review. Bob.
6	MR. JACKSON: Bob Jackson, general counsel.
7	State law requires state agencies to readopt
8	rules at least every four years and prior to readoption to
9	consider whether the reasons for each rule continue to
10	exist. Rules in Chapter 1, Management, and Chapter 11,
11	Design, were reviewed by the public. No comments were
12	received. The reasons for these rules continue to exist.
13	Staff recommends adoption of the minute order.
14	MR. HOUGHTON: Questions?
15	MR. HOLMES: Motion.
16	MR. UNDERWOOD: Second.
17	MR. HOUGHTON: All in favor?
18	(A chorus of ayes.)
19	MR. WILSON: Item 8 will be presented by
20	Suzanne Mann, director of the Office of Compliance and
21	Ethics. She will make her monthly report on the
22	department's Compliance and Ethics report. Suzanne.
23	MS. MANN: Thank you. For the record, I am
24	Suzanne Mann, director of the Office of Compliance and
25	Ethics for the Department of Transportation.
	ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

The purpose of this report is to provide a summary of information related to complaints and investigations for the month of April 2012. Statutory references to Transportation Code 201.452 provides that the compliance office has primary jurisdiction for oversight and coordination of all investigations occurring on department property or involving department employees. Transportation Code 201.454 requires the director of the compliance office to provide a monthly report to the commission regarding investigation and a summary of information relating to trends and recommendations.

The Human Resources Division and the Audit
Office notify OCE when investigations are initiated and
completed, and OCE monitors those investigations. In
accordance with the statute and our modernization plans,
all data is compiled by OCE for reporting purposes. There
were 35 complaints received during the month of April, 18
complaints were closed during the month. Allegations were
substantiated in two of the investigations and appropriate
action was taken.

There is no further action required.

MR. WILSON: Suzanne will also present item 9 considering amendments for clarification of the employee ethics policy. Suzanne.

Mann, director of the Office of Compliance and Ethics for TxDOT.

In December of 2007 the department adopted an ethics policy to comply with Government Code 572.051.

This minute order revises the ethics policy to clarify certain provisions and to remove references to divisions that no longer exist with the department.

Section 24, existing language in item 4 was deleted for clarification purposes. Item number 4 and former item number 8 were of similar intent regarding employee monetary interest in a contract or subcontract. The rest of the sections were then renumbered to accommodate the deleted item.

Section 45, we have further definition is needed to clarify that the executive director may waive the policy in specific cases where the general counsel determines that the waiver does not violate the Government Code or other law.

Section 25 was changed. For functions and divisions had been moved to the Department of Motor Vehicles, those have been deleted.

Section 27, the new language removes "with a department project" and inserts "of any kind." This language is needed to further employee monetary interest in a contract or subcontract for clarification in

ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

1	consistency with the human resources manual and department
2	practices.
3	Adoption of this minute order is recommended by
4	staff.
5	MR. HOUGHTON: Questions? Motion?
6	MR. HOLMES: So moved.
7	MR. UNDERWOOD: Second.
8	MR. HOUGHTON: All in favor?
9	(A chorus of ayes.)
10	MR. HOUGHTON: Thank you, Suzanne.
11	MR. UNDERWOOD: Suzanne, now that this has
12	passed, if you could get a copy to me of this, please.
13	MS. MANN: Yes, sir.
14	MR. WILSON: Item 10a is regarding the US 77
15	project in Nueces and Kleberg counties. This item will be
16	presented by Ed Pensock, director of Strategic Projects
17	Division. Ed.
18	MR. PENSOCK: Good morning, commissioners,
19	Phil. For the record, Ed Pensock, director of Strategic
20	Projects Division of TxDOT.
21	Item 10a authorizes the department to issue a
22	request for qualifications for the development, design,
23	construction and potentially maintenance of the US 77
24	project. The project will upgrade US 77 between
25	Kingsville and Driscoll from a four-lane, divided facility
	ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

with at-grade intersections to a full interstate standard facility with overpasses. The project is intended to improve safety and mobility, as well as foster economic development throughout South Texas.

The total project is approximately 12 miles in length and is part of an overall upgrade project to US 77 from Corpus Christi to Harlingen. The estimated construction cost is approximately \$60 million. This project can be expedited through the use of a design-build contract and the employment of innovative methods for the development and construction of projects that are available through the design-build process. The project will create a fully accessed controlled facility meeting interstate standards.

We intend to issue the request for qualifications if you approve later this summer, potentially in the next few days. Staff recommends your approval of this minute order, and I'll be happy to answer any questions.

MR. HOUGHTON: We have a speaker that would like to address us prior to our vote. John Wood, Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority vice chair.

MR. WOOD: For the record, my name is John Wood. I do serve as vice chair for the Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

5/31/2012

5/31/2012

Mr. Chairman, commissioners, Mr. Wilson. I 1 believe we also have County Commissioner David Garza here 2 3 with us, and he would like to speak. If I might bring him 4 up at this time? 5 MR. HOUGHTON: Sure. 6 MR. WOOD: He usually has a lot more to say than I do, so I think I'll let him go first and try to 7 pick up the pieces. And then he will probably also 8 9 indicate that my presence here is in lieu of our chairman, 10 David Allex, so that's a benefit also. Trying to be soft 11 to you guys. 12 (General laughter.) 13 MR. GARZA: Hello. How are you? Mr. Chairman, commissioners. It's a pleasure to be here before you, and 14 15 just for the record, as of last Tuesday I will probably be 16 around at least for another four years, so warning in advance. It was a good Tuesday for me. 17 MR. HOUGHTON: What was the margin? 18 19 MR. GARZA: A little over two to one, so I'm 20 happy. 21 But I do want to thank you in advance for this 22 project and what you have before you in consideration. 23 have and always will partner with TxDOT on projects. 24 We're coming to say thank you, we're not coming to bring

(512) 450-0342

complaints. We are saying that we can do more with less

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

most of the time. We have spreadsheets that can attest to that fact and we'll be more than happy to share some of those with you, not the true picture, you know. That's an IT issue that we've got going on right now.

But we want to tell you that we want to be partners with you and we thank you for the time that you have taken. I know our county judge was up here last week, he spent some time with the different folks in the department. He couldn't be here today, but in advance, we thank you. We want to be partners in this design-build project and we offer ourselves to be part of the process.

We also ask that you consider a \$15 million allocation for right of way acquisition. On the map you have two other bypass projects that are shown there. There's a bypass in Driscoll and a bypass in Rivera, and we commit to helping TxDOT with the planning and design that we can have some right of way dollars for those two other pieces.

I know that Mr. Austin wanted us to visit with him after your meeting, but you guys are so efficient that this meeting is going around so quickly that we're going to be able to catch a direct flight. I will be back up here for the I-69 Advisory Committee meeting next week, we will visit. We will visit with Ed Pensock and the district engineer on what you have a concern on at a later ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

date next week. Okay?

But we just want to tell you that we're really happy and proud of the work that you've done. We also came because Toribio is going back home and we need good people that have TxDOT experience in our county, and he lives in my precinct and I think will be a great asset for us at sometime in the future.

So with all that being said, thank you in advance for what you're about to do for us. Mr. Wilson, thank you, sir, for your time.

MR. WOOD: Again, thank you. You may have noticed me checking some things off. Those were things that I was going to cover but the commissioner did a fine job of that, so I appreciate his help in this.

I do want to thank John Barton for being down in our area not too long ago. We toured the almost complete new international rail bridge crossing that's going to be going into Mexico, the first one in over 100 years. It's coming along real well.

The only other thing I have to say, I do travel
77 quite a bit coming to Austin and San Antonio, and when
I get to that area around Corpus and we're actually I-69
at that point, things get quiet in the car and my wife
wonders what's wrong, what's going on, and I'm smiling and
just tooling along on I-69 and enjoying it, and we want to
ON THE RECORD REPORTING
5/31/2012

thank you for everything you've done for that. We've got a long way to go, and I know you are aware of that, and we do really certainly appreciate all of your help and work on that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In addition to that, I really do believe that I-69 completion and the work that we're getting done gradually on it will certainly benefit all of us the public traveling, economics, trade between U.S. and Mexico, Texas and Mexico, Mexico being Texas's number one trading partner. But also, I do really think that it will help reduce Toribio's dead rabbit population.

> Thank you very much. Appreciate being here. (General laughter.)

MR. HOUGHTON: Thanks, John.

Any other questions?

MR. AUSTIN: I just had a comment. John, I did want to make note of your I-69 pin, and I want to say thank you for your comments about Interstate 69, and kind of something you've illustrated with your presence here, this project is not in your neighborhood but it has great impact to your neighborhood. And back to our earlier point of communication, listening to the public, building consensus, your comments and what we've heard from others are a great example of that. I want to say thank you for continued support of working as a region to advance ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

Interstate 69. Thank you all. 1 MR. UNDERWOOD: Good comment. 3 MR. HOUGHTON: Motion? 4 MR. HOLMES: One other quick comment. appreciate the offer to help with the public involvement 5 6 of the bypasses. Those are not always simple processes. 7 MR. HOUGHTON: We need a motion. MR. HOLMES: Motion. 8 9 MR. UNDERWOOD: Second. 10 MR. HOUGHTON: All in favor? 11 (A chorus of ayes.) 12 MR. HOUGHTON: Thank you. 13 MR. WILSON: Item 10b is regarding the Loop 1604 project in Bexar County. Ed Pensock will continue to 14 15 present. Ed. 16 Thank you. Ed Pensock, Strategic MR. PENSOCK: Projects Division. 17 18 Item 10b authorizes the department to issue a 19 request for qualifications for the development, design, construction and potentially maintenance of the Loop 1604 20 21 project. This project is \$146 million in northwest San 22 Antonio. The preliminary project limits are approximately 7 miles from State Highway 16 (Bandera Road) south to 23 Wiseman Boulevard. The limits on funding are anticipated 24 25 to be approved by the San Antonio Bexar County ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012 (512) 450-0342

Metropolitan Planning Organization and the Transportation 1 Commission, yourselves, later this summer through the 2 3 Unified Transportation Program. 4 The project will include the expansion of Loop 1604 to a four-lane, non-tolled expressway section with 5 6 overpasses at Braun Road, New Guilbeau Road, Shaenfield Road, and Wiseman Boulevard. 7 The development and completion of the project 8 can be expedited through the use of a design-build 9 10 contract and the employment of the innovative methods for use in developing these projects. Development of the 11 12 project is a crucial element in responding to traffic 13 congestion throughout San Antonio and Bexar County. This project, like the previous one, will 14 15 require further approval from you for the request for 16 proposal process and other preliminary approvals also. Staff recommends your acceptance of this minute 17 order, and I'd be happy to answer any questions. 18 19 MR. HOUGHTON: Questions? Motion? MR. HOLMES: Motion. 20 21 MR. UNDERWOOD: Second. 22 MR. HOUGHTON: All in favor? 23 (A chorus of ayes.) MR. WILSON: Item 11 relates to toll road 24 25 projects. First up is item 11a regarding additional ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

funding to the Grand Parkway Association. Ed.

MR. PENSOCK: Ed Pensock, Strategic Projects.

Item 11a authorizes additional funding of \$1.5 million to the Grand Parkway Association to conduct environmental studies and additional work to support the development of Segments E, F and G of State Highway 99, the Grand Parkway project, from south of I-10 West in Harris County to east of US 59 in Montgomery County.

Funding for a total aggregate of \$11.5 million has previously been awarded for studies involving the segments of Grand Parkway. The previously approved funding by the commission has been insufficient for the association to complete all the required studies. The association has accelerated a lot of work and spent a lot of the money that they had anticipated using early on acceleration of projects, including the permitting process.

The association and the department have determined that \$1.5 million in funding is necessary to develop the project from the current status through right of way acquisition, environmental re-evaluation, final design, design changes and final construction. It is necessary to increase the funding to the association in order to expedite the timely construction of these segments which is essential to transportation needs in ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

5/31/2012

East Texas. 1 Staff recommends your acceptance of this minute 2 3 order. 4 MR. HOUGHTON: Any questions? MR. HOLMES: So moved. 5 6 MR. HOUGHTON: Second? MR. AUSTIN: Second. 7 MR. HOUGHTON: All in favor? 8 9 (A chorus of ayes.) 10 MR. WILSON: The next item is 11b, giving approval to exceed the dollar limit and contract term 11 12 restrictions for various toll road projects. Ed will 13 continue. Ed. MR. PENSOCK: Item 11b authorizes the 14 department to exceed the dollar limit and contract term 15 16 restrictions for the procurement under indefinite deliverable contracts of right of way acquisition, 17 18 procurement engineering and general engineering 19 consultants for projects developed under comprehensive development agreements, design-build agreements, and other 20 21 innovatively funded develop methods. 22 The department is currently in various stages 23 of planning, procurement and negotiation with respect to multiple projects that will be developed under these PPP 24

(512) 450-0342

agreements. In connection with these efforts, the

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

department intends to rely on firms employing professional 1 2 engineers, land surveyors and other professionals to 3 perform various roles within the project development and 4 implementation process. The department intends to issue notices of intent to solicit the services of firms 5 6 employing these professionals under indefinite deliverable contracts and to issue work authorizations for up to eight 7 of these firms to provide various services related to PPP 8 9 agreements. 10 Contracts shall be no more than \$30 million, initial work authorizations must be issued no later than 11 12 six years after the contract is executed. Higher dollar 13 limits and longer potential terms are essential to allow these professionals to provide the assistance necessary 14 15 for these PPP projects. 16 Staff recommends acceptance of this minute order. 17 MR. UNDERWOOD: Ouestions? 18 19 How many contracts are you going to issue? 20 MR. PENSOCK: Potentially up to eight. MR. HOUGHTON: And for what amounts? 21 22 MR. PENSOCK: They will vary from probably 23 about \$12 million up to \$30 million.

MR. HOUGHTON: So total liability?

MR. PENSOCK: Total liability, absolute total

ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

(512) 450-0342

24

liability would be about \$150 million. 1 2 MR. HOUGHTON: For eight contracts. 3 MR. PENSOCK: Yes, sir. 4 MR. HOUGHTON: And the projects identified? MR. PENSOCK: Will be the design and 5 6 construction of I-35E, the design and construction of SH 183, the design and construction of SH 288, the 7 procurement and implementation of US 77 and of Loop 1604, 8 9 and for assistance on the Horseshoe project. 10 MR. HOUGHTON: And the scope of the work? 11 MR. PENSOCK: There are actually three scopes 12 of work. The first two contracts would be intended to 13 provide right of way acquisition services. To purchase 14 property for these mega-projects is extremely labor intensive, takes a lot of staff, a lot of hands. 15 16 right of way services are limited on our staff so we intend to use two of these contracts to provide right of 17 way acquisition services. 18 19 Two more of the contracts would be very focused on providing procurement engineering services, helping the 20 21 department develop procurement documents, do feasibility 22 analyses, develop technical specifications, review 23 technical specifications for the procurement process of these CDAs. 24

ON THE RECORD REPORTING
(512) 450-0342

The final four would be general engineering

5/31/2012

1	consultants that would provide assistance to the
2	department and design and construction oversight during
3	the implementation of these projects.
4	MR. HOUGHTON: Is there any room in these
5	contracts for public information?
6	MR. PENSOCK: I think to a certain degree most
7	of the contracts have some involvement, some requirement
8	for public information.
9	MR. HOUGHTON: I think we heard from Tim Lomax
LO	earlier about our lack of public information on projects
L1	like this. This may be an opportunity, John Barton, on
L2	educating the public as to what we're doing.
L3	MR. PENSOCK: Exactly right, Chairman, and in
L4	fact, our intention is to work with John and Bob Kaufman
L5	and all the rest of the staff in ensuring that we're doing
L6	good public involvement.
L7	MR. HOUGHTON: I think there's some talent in
L8	those regions specific to those regions that could
L9	probably help us with public information.
20	MR. PENSOCK: Very critical part of the project
21	development process.
22	MR. HOUGHTON: Okay. Any other questions?
23	MR. HOLMES: Ed, as I was listening to your
24	recital of the projects that these would be used on, you
25	mentioned, among others, 35 East, 35 West, 288. I assume
	ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

you have another plan for 290 and the Grand Parkway 1 2 because you didn't mention those. 3 MR. PENSOCK: Actually, these projects could be used to support 290 and the Grand Parkway. At this time 4 5 actually we have a project-specific procurement for Grand 6 Parkway Segments F and G. These projects would likely be used to procure and support future segments of Grand 7 Parkway, H and I. And it could also be used to support US 8 9 290, should the department choose to go with a design-10 build or a public-private partnership delivery model on 11 those projects. 12 All the projects are indefinite deliverable 13 which means they are not tied to any required single specific project. They can be used on multiple projects 14 15 as needed as granted authority to develop by both the state legislature and yourselves. 16 MR. HOUGHTON: Questions, any additional? 17 Motion? 18 19 MR. HOLMES: Motion. MR. AUSTIN: Second. 20 21 MR. HOUGHTON: All in favor? 22 (A chorus of ayes.) 23 MR. HOUGHTON: Thank you, Ed. 24 MS. LOOMIS: Next is Brian Ragland, director of 25 Finance, to present item 12 relating to a SIB application. ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

Brian. 1 Thank you. Again for the record, 2 MR. RAGLAND: 3 Brian Ragland, director of the Finance Division. 4 This minute order gives final approval of a SIB application from the Sulphur River RMA for two loans in an 5 6 aggregate amount up to \$4,428,039. The loan proceeds will be used for right of way and utility relocation related to 7 the widening of State Highway 24 from FM 904 to FM 64. 8 9 This is part of a larger project which is approximately \$8 10 million funded with Prop 12. Staff recommends your approval. 11 12 MR. HOUGHTON: Questions? 13 MR. HOLMES: So moved. MR. UNDERWOOD: Second. 14 MR. HOUGHTON: All in favor? 15 16 (A chorus of ayes.) 17 MR. RAGLAND: Thank you. MR. WILSON: Moving on to item 13, the 18 19 contested case of Lamar Advantage Outdoor Company, presented by TxDOT Attorney Rich O'Connell. Rich. 20 21 MR. O'CONNELL: Good morning. My name is 22 Richard O'Connell, Office of General Counsel. This item is Lamar Advantage Outdoor v. TxDOT. 23 The case concerns an outdoor advertising sign owned by 24 25 Lamar Outdoor Company, L.P. that is located along US 84 in ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

Freestone County. On December 17, 2009 the department 1 2 staff gave notice to Lamar that the sign permit was being 3 revoked. Lamar timely filed an appeal which led to a 4 contested case hearing before an administrative law judge. 5 The judge has prepared a proposed order for 6 your consideration that would withdraw the proposed enforcement action. The enforcement action began when the 7 landlord for Lamar stated that the 2003 lease to Lamar had 8 9 been terminated. However, the administrative law judge

10 concluded that Lamar's lease of the property remains valid

under an earlier 1999 lease. No party filed exceptions to

12 the judge's recommendations.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We recommend that the commission adopt the judge's proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law and withdraw the staff's proposed enforcement action.

MR. HOUGHTON: Questions? Motion?

MR. UNDERWOOD: So moved.

MR. HOLMES: Second.

MR. HOUGHTON: All in favor?

(A chorus of ayes.)

MR. WILSON: The next item are the awards of contracts for maintenance and departmental building construction, highway construction contracts. John Obr, Construction Division director, will present these minute orders. John, item 14a.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

5/31/2012

5/31/2012

MR. OBR: Good morning. For the record, I'm 1 John Obr, director of the Construction Division. 2 3 Item 14a is for consideration of the award or 4 rejection of Highway Maintenance and Department Building Construction contracts let on May 2 and 3 of 2012. We 5 6 present 22 project today. The average number of bidders was 4.36; the low bid value was \$18,257,941.35; we had an 7 overall underrun of 4.39 percent. 8 Staff recommends award of all maintenance 9 10 projects. 11 MR. HOLMES: So moved. 12 MR. UNDERWOOD: Second. 13 MR. HOUGHTON: All in favor? (A chorus of ayes.) 14 MR. WILSON: John, please proceed with item 15 16 14b, construction contracts. MR. OBR: Item 14b is for consideration of the 17 award or rejection of Highway and Transportation 18 19 Enhancement Building Construction contracts let on May 2 and 3 of 2012. We present 97 projects today. The average 20 number of bidders was 4.2; the low bid value was 21 22 \$198,529,832.94; the awards are split as 10 projects in Mobility totaling \$51,989,169.18, and 87 projects in 23 Preservation totaling \$146,540,663.76; we had an overall 24 25 underrun of 1.31 percent.

(512) 450-0342

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

(512) 450-0342

Staff recommends award of all construction projects with the exception of the following four projects:

Bastrop County, project number STP

1102(492)SRS. Five bids were received for this project

with a low bid of 90.28 percent, or \$450,623.60 under the

engineer's estimate. This project is for the construction

of sidewalks and crosswalks. Within the time allotted in

the Texas Administrative Code, Title 43, Part 1, Chapter

9, Subchapter B, Rule 9.16, the apparent low bidder,

Encino Landscape, Inc. had notified the department of an

alleged bid error. Our review of Encino's bid reveals

that it met the criteria of a bid error as outlined in

Rule 9.16 of the TAC. Therefore, we are recommending

rejection of the contract to the apparent low bidder.

In Galveston County, project number STP

2012(516). One bid was received for this project. The
bid was 164.75 percent over, or \$287,158.56 over the
engineer's estimate. This project is for landscape
development. Upon comparing our engineer's estimate with
the single bid received, we found that costs associated
with the small quantities of work spread out over widely
separated work locations accounted for greater irrigation
and maintenance costs. We did not account for such
extreme increased prices and are unable to fund the

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

5/31/2012

overage. We believe that it would be in the best interest of the department to redesign and re-let the project at a later date, therefore, we are recommending rejection.

In Montgomery County, STP 2012(107). One bid was received for this project. The bid was 47.16 percent, or \$270,885.79 over the engineer's estimate. The project is for lane widening and the addition of left turn lanes. Upon comparing our engineer's estimate with the single bid received, we found that the overrun was attributed to items associated with time constraints, numerous traffic control setups, and work in high traffic volume environment. Although the engineer's estimate was structured to account for these factors, we did not anticipate the bid prices would be as high as they were. We believe that it would be in the best interest of the department to redesign and re-let the project at a later date, therefore, we are recommending rejection.

And finally in Val Verde County, ITS 99(709).

Two bids were received for this project. The low bidder was 56.38 percent, or \$186,401 over the engineer's estimate. This project is for the installation of Intelligent Transportation Systems deployment program traffic control devices. Our review of the plans revealed that they were not clear in communicating that all the dynamic message signs and ITS communications equipment

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

5/31/2012

would be supplied by the department. The estimated value for this equipment was \$250,000. In addition, our comparison of the engineer's estimate and the bids received reveals extreme unit bid prices on some of the items which we did not account for. We believe that it would be in the best interest of the department to redesign and re-let the project at a later date, therefore, we are recommending rejection.

MR. HOUGHTON: John, if you'd step aside just for a minute, somebody wants to talk about your fine work, Parker County Judge Mark Riley. Mark.

JUDGE RILEY: Thank you very much, Mr. Chair, commissioners, Mr. Wilson. And thank each of you for your service to the Great State of Texas, and yes, we appreciate the work you do and all others.

I'll be very brief, but I want to tell you that it is partnerships and it is the fine work that's been developed that puts Parker County in the position that it's in today. I know each of you get plenty of reading material, but sometime in the last couple of weeks you should have received a copy of our annual report. I know you're not interested in the little small details about each road, but the theme of that annual report was Partnerships Build Better Roads, and we talked about all of the partners.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

5/31/2012

We received our money in November '08, we turned dirt in May '09, and I was told by many people we would never complete a five-year goal that we had because we had to deal with Federal Highways, we had to deal with railroads, we had to deal with oil and gas companies and TxDOT. And it's a first for Parker County, all new to me, I mean, I'm a kindergarten member when it comes to dealing with these things, and I learned very quickly that to many people it was about process. Now, there's nothing wrong with process and we need process, but I also learned that there are some who understand that you take a legitimate process, not to slow it down but to make it work. And that's what we pushed, that let's take the process, follow the rules, but let's don't slow it down just for the purpose of doing that.

I'm here to tell you today that everybody has been onboard, we are going to complete in five years, we have more important part that will let at the end of this year. But this project you're going to let today is because of the partnership that Maribel Chavez and the district office, Brian Barth and John Cordary in the Weatherford office have worked with us, and I know they're going to be so glad to quit seeing me in the district office in Fort Worth when we're through.

But I want to thank you very much and with all ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

1	the comments about partnership and engaging the public
2	today, it does work. And Mr. Wilson, you're on the right
3	track. Thank you very much.
4	MR. HOUGHTON: You mean Commissioner Meadows
5	wasn't an integral part of this road project?
6	JUDGE RILEY: You know, I appreciate
7	Commissioner Meadows very much.
8	MR. HOUGHTON: And that's all you're going to
9	say.
10	JUDGE RILEY: I respect him and appreciate him
11	and just whatever he wants to do, Peru or South Africa or
12	wherever is fine with me.
13	MR. HOUGHTON: Thank you very much.
14	MR. HOLMES: As long as it's on a different
15	continent.
16	(General laughter.)
17	MR. HOUGHTON: All right.
18	MR. HOLMES: So moved.
19	MR. HOUGHTON: Is there a second?
20	MR. UNDERWOOD: Second.
21	MR. HOUGHTON: All in favor?
22	(A chorus of ayes.)
23	MR. WILSON: That brings us to item 15, Eminent
24	Domain Proceedings. John Campbell, director of the Right
25	of Way Division, will present this minute order. John.
	ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012 (512) 450-0342

MR. CAMPBELL: Good morning. For the record, my name is John Campbell, director of the Right of Way Division, and I'd like to present for your consideration this morning item 15.

Item 15 authorizes the filing of condemnation proceedings necessary to progress the acquisition of 17 non-controlled parcels, 67 controlled access parcels, for a total of 84 parcels this month. Staff recommends your approval of the minute order.

MR. HOUGHTON: The privileged motion.

MR. AUSTIN: Yes, but before I read the motion, a lot of these are on Interstate 35.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, sir.

MR. AUSTIN: And I would say that is much needed, there's not enough we can do, it feels like, to really improve that roadway, and I'm glad to see some of these projects moving forward.

Given that, I move that the Texas

Transportation Commission authorize the Texas Department
of Transportation to use the power of eminent domain to
acquire the property described in the minute order set
forth in the agenda for the current month for
construction, reconstruction, maintenance, widening,
straightening or extending the highway facilities listed
in the minute order as a part of the state highway system,

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

5/31/2012

1	and that the first record vote applies to all units of
2	property to be condemned.
3	MR. HOUGHTON: Is there a second?
4	MR. HOLMES: Second.
5	MR. HOUGHTON: All in favor?
6	(A chorus of ayes.)
7	MR. HOUGHTON: Thank you.
8	MR. HOLMES: John, just as a side note, over
9	the next couple of years you're going to have, what, a
10	thousand parcels to acquire or something with all these
11	big projects underway?
12	MR. CAMPBELL: That's a modest estimate, yes,
13	sir.
14	MR. HOLMES: That's a modest estimate. So put
15	your riding boots on, you guys are going to have to work
16	hard.
17	MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.
18	MR. WILSON: Item 16 contains the routine
19	minute orders, including donations to the department,
20	right of way dispositions and donations, release of access
21	rights, highway designations, reports and speed zones.
22	Department staff recommends the adoption of all of these
23	items and will be happy to explain any of these individual
24	items as needed.
25	MR. HOUGHTON: Questions? Motion?
	ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

1 MR. HOLMES: So moved. 2 MR. UNDERWOOD: Second. 3 MR. HOUGHTON: All in favor? 4 (A chorus of ayes.) MR. HOUGHTON: Do we have executive session? 5 6 No? 7 Oh, we have a blue card. I'm so sorry. 8 Transportation Advocacy Group, Houston Region, Executive Director Jim Fredrichs. 9 10 Jim, are you still here? Come on up. MR. FREDRICHS: Thank you, commissioners, and 11 12 good morning. I am Jim Fredrichs, executive director of 13 Transportation Advocacy Group. I just wanted to say briefly I appreciate the 14 15 comments by Tim Lomax this morning on the need for public 16 engagement. That's precisely what we're about in the Houston region. We're hard at work building a basis of 17 18 support, recruiting organizations, local firms, economic 19 development organizations, chambers of commerce and local elected officials, all to build that basis of support for 20 21 more adequate funding of transportation, not only in the 22 Houston region but really Texas as a whole. 23 And we're ramping up our communications 24 efforts. I'm going to be speaking to the August quarterly 25 meeting of a regional group of Houston economic ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

1	development organizations and be working with Tim on
2	developing that presentation. And really I just want to
3	say you can count on us. We're going to be hard at work
4	supporting the excellent work that TxDOT does in building
5	our transportation infrastructure.
6	And also, I want to say thank you, Mr. Wilson,
7	for agreeing to speak to our group in July. So we look
8	forward to that and look forward to hearing what you have
9	to say.
10	So again, thank you just for the chance to be
11	part of building that public engagement.
12	MR. HOLMES: Are you just batting cleanup for
13	Delvin from last month?
14	MR. FREDRICHS: Yes, I am.
15	(General talking and laughter.)
16	MR. HOUGHTON: Anybody else?
17	Chairman's privilege here. There are a couple
18	of folks who have been associated with this organization.
19	Zeke Reyna, come on up here. I want to talk to
20	you for a second. Zeke, where's Kelli?
21	MR. UNDERWOOD: You better practice, Zeke.
22	It's getting close to the deadline.
23	MR. HOUGHTON: I think next month at this time
24	things will change in your life, I understand, something
25	will change in your life. Is that correct?
	ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

(512) 450-0342

1	MR. REYNA: Yes, sir. I will be getting
2	married on June 9.
3	MR. HOUGHTON: Congratulations, Zeke.
4	MR. REYNA: Thank you very much. I appreciate
5	it, sir.
6	MR. HOUGHTON: And she hasn't come to her sense
7	yet.
8	MR. REYNA: Has not. She's got less than ten
9	days to do so. I'm holding out hope here.
10	MR. HOUGHTON: Congratulations to you and
11	Kelli. You were a part of this organization, still are,
12	and we're glad to have you, and just wish you the best of
13	luck and happiness.
14	MR. REYNA: Well, thank you. I appreciate it.
15	Thank you so much.
16	MR. HOUGHTON: Thanks, Zeke.
17	(Applause.)
18	MR. HOUGHTON: This completes all action items
19	on the agenda. Is there anyone signed up? We've done
20	that. Is there any other business to come before the
21	commission?
22	(No response.)
23	MR. HOUGHTON: There being none, I will
24	entertain a motion to adjourn.
25	MR. UNDERWOOD: So moved.
	ON THE RECORD REPORTING 5/31/2012

```
MR. AUSTIN: Second.

MR. HOUGHTON: All in favor?

(A chorus of ayes.)

MR. HOUGHTON: Thank you very much at 10:34.

(Whereupon, at 10:34 a.m., the meeting was concluded.)
```

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 MEETING OF: Texas Transportation Commission 4 LOCATION: Austin, Texas 5 DATE: May 31, 2012 I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, 6 7 numbers 1 through 79, inclusive, are the true, accurate, and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording 8 9 made by electronic recording by Nancy H. King before the 10 Texas Transportation Commission. 11 12 13 14 15 16 6/5/2012 (Transcriber) 17 (Date) 18 19 On the Record Reporting 20 3307 Northland, Suite 315 Austin, Texas 78731 21 22 23