

## TEXAS TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

VARIOUS Counties

### MINUTE ORDER

Page 1 of 2

VARIOUS Districts

Title 43, Texas Administrative Code, §15.10 provides that the Texas Transportation Commission (commission) by order will create a corridor segment advisory committee to assist the Texas Department of Transportation (department) in the transportation planning process for the I-35 corridor and in the corridor planned as part of I-69, and may create a corridor segment advisory committee for any other corridor.

The purpose of corridor segment advisory committees is to facilitate and achieve support and consensus from affected communities, governmental entities, and other interested parties in the planning of transportation improvements in the segment of a corridor for which it is created and in the establishment of development plans for that segment.

As provided in 43 TAC §15.10(c), each of the corridor advisory committees created by this order will provide to the department its advice and recommendations on transportation improvements to be made in the segment of a corridor for which it is created, including facilities to be included in a development plan for that segment, and upgrades and other improvements to be made to existing facilities located in that segment, and other segment level planning, development, and financing matters as requested by the department.

In developing advice and recommendations, a corridor segment advisory committee will evaluate economic, political, societal, and demographic population trends affecting transportation, and will consider existing facilities, upgrades to existing facilities, new or planned facilities, multimodal solutions, and available financing options.

A corridor segment advisory committee's advice and recommendations will provide the department with an enhanced understanding of public, business, and private concerns about the segment for which it is created, facilitating the department's communications and project development objectives and resulting in greater cooperation between the department and all affected parties during project planning and development.

As provided in 43 TAC §15.10(b), a corridor segment advisory committee shall consist of the following members: (1) one member appointed by the county judge of each county in which the proposed segment may be located, representing the general public within the county; (2) one member appointed by each metropolitan planning organization within whose boundaries all or part of the proposed segment may be located, representing the general public within the metropolitan planning organization; (3) additional members representing the general public within cities designated by the commission, in which all or part of a proposed segment may be located, each of whom will be appointed by the mayor of a designated city; (4) additional members representing the ports, chambers of commerce, economic development councils and corporations, and other organizations that have an interest in transportation that are designated by the commission, within whose service area all or part of a proposed segment may be located, each of whom will be appointed by the governing body of a designated entity, and (5) an individual who resides or has a business in the area in which the segment may be located, has an interest in transportation, and is appointed to the committee by the commission.

TEXAS TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

VARIOUS Counties

MINUTE ORDER

Page 2 of 2


VARIOUS Districts

The entities listed in Exhibits A and B are the counties and metropolitan planning organizations within whose boundaries all or part of the I-35 and I-69 corridors may be located, and other entities designated by the commission so that they may appoint members to the I-35 Corridor Segment Advisory Committees and the I-69 Corridor Segment Advisory Committees, respectively. These designations will further the department's goal of achieving a diverse representation of community interests and stakeholders on each corridor segment advisory committee.


IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED by the commission that the I-35 Corridor Segment Advisory Committees are created and that the entities identified in Exhibit A may appoint members of the I-35 Corridor Segment Advisory Committees.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the commission that the I-69 Corridor Segment Advisory Committees are created and that the entities identified in Exhibit B may appoint members of the I-69 Corridor Segment Advisory Committees.

Submitted and reviewed by:

  
Director, Texas Turnpike Authority Division

Recommended by:

  
Executive Director

**112113 JAN 28 10**

Minute  
Number

Date  
Passed

**I-35 Segment 1 Committee - Recommendations for 22 positions**

**Counties**

1. Collin
2. Cooke
3. Dallas
4. Denton
5. Grayson
6. Hunt
7. Kaufman
8. Parker
9. Rockwall
10. Tarrant
11. Wise

**Metropolitan Planning Organizations**

12. North Central Texas Council of Governments
13. Sherman-Dension MPO

**Cities**

14. Dallas
15. Denton
16. Fort Worth
17. Gainesville
18. McKinney
19. Rockwall
20. Weatherford

**Other Organizations**

21. North Texas Commission
22. Texas Farm Bureau

**I-35 Segment 2 Committee - Recommendations for 23 positions**

**Counties**

1. Bell
2. Dallas
3. Ellis
4. Falls
5. Hill
6. Hood
7. Johnson
8. Kaufman
9. Limestone
10. McLennan
11. Navarro
12. Parker
13. Tarrant

**Metropolitan Planning Organizations**

14. Killeen/Temple Urban Transportation Study
15. North Central Texas Council of Governments
16. Waco MPO

**Cities**

17. Dallas
18. Fort Worth
19. Holland
20. Temple
21. Waxahachie

**Other Organizations**

22. Hillsboro Area Chamber of Commerce
23. Texas Farm Bureau

**I-35 Segment 3 Committee – Recommendations for 21 positions**

**Counties**

1. Bastrop
2. Bexar
3. Caldwell
4. Comal
5. Guadalupe
6. Hays
7. Travis
8. Williamson

**Metropolitan Planning Organizations**

9. Capital Area MPO
10. San Antonio/Bexar County MPO

**Cities**

11. Austin
12. Georgetown
13. Hutto
14. Lockhart
15. Manor
16. Pflugerville
17. Round Rock
18. Seguin

**Other Organizations**

19. New Braunfels Chamber of Commerce
20. Greater San Marcos Economic  
Development Corporation
21. Texas Farm Bureau

**I-35 Segment 4 Committee – Recommendations for 22 positions**

**Counties**

1. Atascosa
2. Bexar
3. Frio
4. Guadalupe
5. La Salle
6. Medina
7. Webb
8. Wilson
9. Zapata

**Metropolitan Planning Organizations**

10. Laredo Urban Transportation Study
11. San Antonio/Bexar County MPO

**Cities**

12. Cotulla
13. Laredo
14. Pearsall
15. San Antonio
16. Seguin
17. St. Hedwig

**Other Organizations**

18. Greater San Antonio Chamber of Commerce
19. Seguin Chamber of Commerce
20. South San Antonio Chamber of Commerce
21. Port of Laredo
22. Texas Farm Bureau

**I-69 Segment 1 Committee - Recommendations for 21 positions**

**Counties**

1. Angelina
2. Bowie
3. Cass
4. Harrison
5. Marion
6. Nacogdoches
7. Panola
8. Rusk
9. Shelby

**Metropolitan Planning Organizations**

10. Longview MPO
11. Texarkana MPO

**Cities**

12. Atlanta
13. Carthage
14. Jefferson
15. Lufkin
16. Nacogdoches
17. Tenaha
18. Texarkana

**Other Organizations**

19. Marshall Chamber of Commerce
20. Red River Redevelopment Authority
21. Texas Farm Bureau

**I-69 Segment 2 Committee - Recommendations for 21 positions**

**Counties**

1. Angelina
2. Chambers
3. Fort Bend
4. Harris
5. Liberty
6. Montgomery
7. Polk
8. San Jacinto

**Metropolitan Planning Organizations**

9. Houston-Galveston Area Council

**Cities**

10. Cleveland
11. Corrigan
12. Diboll
13. Humble
14. Houston
15. Livingston
16. Shepherd
17. Splendora

**Other Organizations**

18. Lufkin/Angelina Economic Development Corp.
19. Polk County Economic & Industrial  
Development Corporation
20. Port of Houston Authority
21. Texas Farm Bureau

**I-69 Segment 3 Committee - Recommendations for 23 positions**

**Counties**

1. Bee
2. Brazoria
3. Fort Bend
4. Galveston
5. Goliad
6. Harris
7. Jackson
8. Refugio
9. Victoria
10. Wharton

**Metropolitan Planning Organizations**

11. Houston-Galveston Area Council
12. Victoria MPO

**Cities**

13. Beeville
14. Edna
15. El Campo
16. Goliad
17. Houston
18. Refugio
19. Victoria
20. Wharton

**Other Organizations**

21. Port of Houston Authority
22. Port of Victoria
23. Texas Farm Bureau

**I-69 Segment 4 Committee - Recommendations for 23 positions**

**Counties**

1. Brooks
2. Cameron
3. Hidalgo
4. Jim Wells
5. Kenedy
6. Kleberg
7. Live Oak
8. Nueces
9. San Patricio
10. Willacy

**Metropolitan Planning Organizations**

11. Brownsville MPO
12. Corpus Christi MPO
13. Harlingen-San Benito MPO
14. Hidalgo County MPO

**Cities**

15. Corpus Christi
16. Falfurrias
17. Harlingen
18. Kingsville
19. McAllen

**Other Organizations**

20. Lower Rio Grande Partnership
21. Port of Brownsville
22. Port of Corpus Christi
23. Texas Farm Bureau

**I-69 Segment 5 Committee - Recommendations for 21 positions**

**Counties**

1. Duval
2. Jim Wells
3. Live Oak
4. McMullen
5. Nueces
6. San Patricio
7. Webb
8. Zapata

**Metropolitan Planning Organizations**

9. Corpus Christi MPO
10. Laredo Urban Transportation Study

**Cities**

11. Alice
12. Corpus Christi
13. Freer
14. Robstown
15. George West
16. Laredo

**Other Organizations**

17. Corpus Christi Chamber of Commerce
18. San Patricio Economic Development Corp.
19. Port of Corpus Christi
20. Port of Laredo
21. Texas Farm Bureau